IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 03, 2022

The Impact of Augmented Reality (AR) Experiences on Consumer Purchasing Behaviour w.r.t Amazon

[1]Dr. Ratikanta Ray, [2]Mamatamayee Rout
[1]Assistant Professor, Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Management and Entrepreneur Development
[2]Assistant Professor, Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Management and Entrepreneur Development, Talegaon, Pune

ABSTRACT
This study analyses the impact of augmented reality (AR) experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour, focusing on three key dimensions: product visualization, interactivity, and perceived value versus novelty. The primary objectives were to assess and compare these influences between Amazon users with AR features and consumers on other platforms lacking AR functionalities. The research utilized a structured methodology involving a primary survey targeting E-commerce customers of Amazon and smaller platforms. Selective sampling was employed, with 20 participants experiencing AR features on Amazon and 20 from platforms without AR. Independent Sample t-tests were applied to analyse Likelihood of Purchase based on the three identified aspects.The results revealed noteworthy findings across the dimensions studied. In the case of AR product visualization, Amazon users exhibited a significantly higher likelihood of purchase compared to those on other platforms without AR. Similarly, AR interactivity played a crucial role, with Amazon users showing both a higher likelihood of purchase and greater consistency in responses. The perceived value versus novelty of AR experiences, however, exhibited a contrasting result, with Amazon users having a lower mean likelihood of purchase and greater variability in responses compared to other platforms without AR.Based on these findings, several recommendations are proposed for optimizing the impact of AR on consumer purchasing behaviour. These include optimizing AR product visualization on Amazon, enhancing AR interactivity features, fine-tuning perceived value and novelty aspects, investing in user education and communication, regular monitoring, iteration, and expanding AR support to new product categories. Collaboration with brands for AR integration is also recommended to enrich the overall shopping experience.

Keywords: Augmented Reality (AR), Consumer Purchasing Behaviour, E-commerce, Product Visualization, Interactivity, Perceived Value, Novelty, Amazon

1. INTRODUCTION
Augmented Reality (AR) has emerged as a transformative technology, profoundly influencing consumer behaviour within the realm of e-commerce. Specifically, the impact of AR experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour is a subject of increasing interest, and one of the platforms at the forefront of this evolution is Amazon. In this discussion, we explore the dynamics of AR in shaping consumer purchasing behaviour on Amazon, delving into its implications and potential for revolutionizing the online shopping experience.

Amazon, being a pioneer in e-commerce, has strategically integrated AR features into its platform to enhance the overall shopping journey. The introduction of AR has fundamentally changed how consumers interact with products, making the online shopping experience more immersive and interactive. One crucial dimension where AR plays a pivotal role is product visualization.

Product Visualization:

AR's ability to provide consumers with a lifelike visualization of products in their real-world environment has proven to be a game-changer. Amazon allows users to virtually try out products, such as furniture, clothing, and accessories, in their own homes using AR. This feature addresses a common challenge in online shopping – the inability to physically interact with products before making a purchase. By bridging this gap, AR significantly impacts consumer purchasing behaviour. The visual engagement and realistic representation of products empower consumers to make more informed decisions, reducing uncertainties and increasing the likelihood of a successful purchase.

Interactivity:

Another dimension that AR influences is interactivity. Amazon's implementation of AR goes beyond mere visualization; it incorporates interactive elements that engage users in a dynamic and personalized manner. For instance, users can engage with interactive features like product demonstrations, customization options, and guided experiences. This interactivity not only enhances user engagement but also plays a vital role in influencing purchasing decisions. When consumers actively participate in the shopping process through AR, it creates a more memorable and enjoyable experience, fostering a positive association with the brand and increasing the likelihood of conversion.

Perceived Value vs. Novelty:

The perceived value and novelty of AR experiences contribute significantly to the overall impact on consumer purchasing behaviour. The perceived value refers to how consumers assess the practical benefits and advantages of using AR features on Amazon. It encompasses factors such as convenience, ease of use, and the value-added to the shopping process. On the other hand, novelty reflects the innovative and cutting-edge aspects of AR that capture consumers' attention and interest.

AR experiences in Amazon Platforms:

AR Try-Before-You-Buy:

Amazon has experimented with AR applications that allow users to virtually try on products before making a purchase. This is particularly relevant for products like clothing, accessories, and furniture.

Mobile AR:

Amazon has integrated AR features into its mobile app, leveraging ARKit for iOS and ARCore for Android. This integration enhances the shopping experience by allowing users to visualize products in their real-world environment through their smartphone cameras.

AR Cloud Services:

Amazon Web Services provides cloud-based solutions for AR developers. This includes tools and services for creating and deploying AR applications, incorporating features such as object recognition, spatial mapping, and more.

AR Content Creation:

Amazon Sumerian is a platform that allows users to create AR, VR, and 3D applications without the need for specialized programming or 3D graphics expertise. Developers can use Sumerian to build AR content that can be integrated into various Amazon services.

Virtual Fashion Stylist:

Amazon's Echo Look, which has a built-in camera, has been used to offer fashion advice. While not purely AR, it involves computer vision and AI to provide style suggestions, creating a blended experience.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The integration of Augmented Reality (AR) into the e-commerce landscape has garnered significant attention due to its potential to reshape consumer purchasing behaviour. This literature review synthesizes key findings from recent studies exploring the impact of AR experiences on consumer responses, drawing on a range of perspectives, including psychological, experiential, and technological dimensions.

Baek et al. (2018) emphasize the importance of self-viewing and narcissism in influencing consumer responses to AR experiences. Their study suggests that the virtual mirror effect, facilitated by AR, can significantly impact consumer perceptions and behaviours. This aligns with Beck and Crié's (2018) findings, indicating that virtual fitting rooms using AR enhance exploratory behaviour, patronage, and purchase intentions. The ability of AR to provide a realistic product visualization contributes to increased consumer engagement and informed decision-making.

Belk's seminal work on the extended self (1988, 2013) serves as a conceptual framework for understanding how AR experiences become integral to consumers' self-identity. As consumers virtually try on products or visualize items in their personal spaces through AR, these experiences become extensions of their selves, influencing the emotional and psychological aspects of their purchasing decisions.

Technological advancements in AR have been a focal point of research, with scholars exploring the effectiveness of AR interfaces compared to conventional ones (Brito et al., 2018). The study by Fan et al. (2020) takes a cognitive perspective, investigating the adoption of AR in online retailing and its impact on consumers' product attitudes. The integration of AR technologies is not limited to visual elements; Carrozzi et al. (2019) examine how shared AR experiences augment psychological ownership, highlighting the multi-sensory potential of AR in influencing consumer perceptions.

Hilken et al. (2019) delves into the social aspects of AR, exploring shared decision-making in the marketplace. Their study suggests that social augmented reality has the potential to transform customer experiences and decision-making processes. This aligns with Heller et al.'s (2019a) work, where they emphasize the transformation of the retail frontline through augmenting customers' mental imagery abilities.

Ownership is a crucial psychological aspect influencing consumer behaviour. Brengman et al. (2018) investigates the impact of AR versus touch and non-touch interfaces on perceived ownership. The study provides insights into how the tactile nature of AR interfaces may influence consumers' sense of ownership, affecting their overall engagement and purchasing decisions.

The emotional dimensions of AR experiences are explored by Hinsch et al. (2020), who investigate nostalgia's role in augmented reality marketing. Their study suggests that nostalgia can be a potent factor in creating meaningful associations through AR, transcending the mere wow-effect and fostering a deeper connection with consumers.

McLean and Wilson (2019) contribute to the literature by examining customer engagement through augmented reality mobile applications. Their study delves into the impact of AR on the online shopping experience, shedding light on how AR applications can enhance consumer engagement by providing interactive and immersive elements in the digital retail environment.

Park and Yoo (2020) explore the effects of perceived interactivity of augmented reality on consumer responses from a mental imagery perspective. Their study delves into the cognitive aspects of how perceived interactivity influences consumers' mental imagery and subsequently shapes their responses. This adds depth to the understanding of the psychological mechanisms at play when consumers interact with AR.

Hilken et al. (2017) investigates the strategic potential of augmented reality to enhance online service experiences. By examining how AR can augment the eye of the beholder, the study emphasizes the strategic implications of integrating AR into service offerings, providing insights into how AR can be leveraged to enrich customer interactions and service delivery.

The work of Park et al. (2010) on brand attachment and brand attitude strength is relevant in the context of AR experiences. As consumers engage with brands through AR applications, understanding the emotional connections and attitudes formed becomes crucial. This literature contributes to the broader understanding of how AR can influence brand perceptions and relationships.

Liao (2019) provides insights into the future directions of mobile augmented reality research. As AR technologies evolve, understanding the relationships between AR users, content, devices, and industry becomes essential. This perspective helps guide researchers and practitioners in anticipating trends and potential areas of development in mobile AR.

Darley et al. (2010) provide a comprehensive framework for understanding online consumer behaviour and decision-making processes. While not specific to AR, their integrated framework offers valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of consumer decision-making in online contexts, providing a basis for understanding how AR fits into this broader landscape.

Huang (2018) explores the creation of commercially compelling smart service encounters, shedding light on the strategic implications of integrating AR into service-oriented businesses. This literature contributes to understanding how AR can transform traditional service encounters into engaging and commercially viable experiences.

3. RESEARCH GAP

The current state of research has extensively delved into the broader implications of augmented reality (AR) on consumer purchasing behaviour. However, a significant research gap exists in the nuanced exploration of specific dimensions, namely product visualization, interactivity, and the perceived value versus novelty of AR experiences, particularly in the context of diverse online shopping platforms.

While prior studies have acknowledged the general impact of AR on consumer decision-making, they often lack a comprehensive examination of how these specific dimensions operate in distinct online retail environments. The proposed study aims to bridge this gap by investigating and comparing the influences of AR product visualization, interactivity, and the perceived value versus novelty on consumer purchasing behaviour. The focus will be on discerning differences between Amazon users who engage with AR features and consumers who navigate platforms devoid of AR functionalities.

This research gap is not merely a void in the literature; it represents a critical oversight in understanding the granular effects of AR on consumer behaviour across different online shopping ecosystems. As the retail landscape continues to evolve, gaining insights into these specific dimensions becomes imperative for businesses, policymakers, and scholars to comprehend the unique dynamics at play in shaping consumer decisions. Closing this gap will contribute valuable knowledge to the field, aiding in the development of targeted strategies for enhancing the effectiveness of AR implementations in online retail settings.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. Evaluate and compare the influence of augmented reality (AR) product visualization experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour on Amazon
2. Investigate and compare the impact of augmented reality (AR) interactivity on consumer purchasing behaviour of Amazon users
3. Examine purchasing behaviour disparities based on the perceived value and novelty of augmented reality (AR) experiences for the Amazon users

5.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Research Frame:

The study is conducted within the context of online retail, focusing on the impact of augmented reality (AR) experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour. The primary emphasis is on three key variables: Product Visualization, Interactivity, and the Perceived Value vs. Novelty of AR features. The research frame aims to understand the nuanced differences in consumer behaviour between Amazon users engaging with AR and customers on smaller e-commerce platforms without AR.

5.2 Sampling Frame:

The primary survey involves a selective sampling strategy, targeting E-commerce customers of Amazon and other small e-commerce platforms. The sample size comprises 20 participants who have experienced AR features on Amazon and 20 participants who have made purchases from platforms without AR. The selection ensures a balanced representation of diverse consumer demographics and preferences within the online shopping landscape.

5.3 Data Collection:

Data is collected through structured interviews and surveys, allowing participants to express their experiences and preferences related to AR features. The interviews cover aspects of Product Visualization, Interactivity, and the perceived Value vs. Novelty of AR experiences. The use of MS-Excel software facilitates efficient organization, storage, and initial analysis of the collected data.

5.4 Statistical Tools & Techniques:

The study employs the Independent Sample t-test to compare Consumer Purchasing Behaviour based on the three identified aspects (Product Visualization, Interactivity, and Perceived Value vs. Novelty) between Amazon customers and those on other e-commerce platforms. This statistical analysis helps identify statistically significant differences in consumer behaviour, providing insights into the impact of AR experiences on purchasing decisions.

5.5 Data Analysis:

MS-Excel is utilized for preliminary data analysis, including descriptive statistics and basic comparisons. The Independent Sample t-test is employed to determine whether the observed differences in Consumer Purchasing Behaviour are statistically significant between the two groups (Amazon users with AR experiences vs. customers on other platforms without AR). Advanced statistical analysis may be considered based on the preliminary findings.

5.6 Limitations:

The study acknowledges certain limitations, including the potential for sampling bias due to the selective sampling strategy. The generalizability of the findings may be restricted to the chosen sample size and demographic characteristics. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data and the use of MS-Excel for statistical analysis may introduce limitations in the depth and sophistication of the study's findings. External factors, such as technological advancements and changes in consumer preferences, may also impact the study's applicability over time.

6.RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

1. **Product Visualization:**
    - **H0:** There is no significant difference in the impact of augmented reality (AR) product visualization experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.
    - **H1:** The impact of augmented reality (AR) product visualization experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour is significantly different between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.
2. **Interactivity:**
    - **H0:** There is no significant difference in the impact of augmented reality (AR) interactivity on consumer purchasing behaviour between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.
    - **H1:** The impact of augmented reality (AR) interactivity on consumer purchasing behaviour is significantly different between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.
3. **Perceived Value vs. Novelty:**
    - **H0:** There is no significant difference in consumer purchasing behaviour, concerning perceived value and novelty of augmented reality (AR) experiences, between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.

- **H1:** Consumer purchasing behaviour varies significantly, based on the perceived value and novelty of augmented reality (AR) experiences, between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR.

7. DATA ANALYSIS& INTERPRETATION

7.1 Consumers' Purchasing Behaviour based on Product Visualization

	Likelihood of Purchase based on Product Visualization on Amazon (using AR)	*Likelihood of Purchase based on Product Visualization on Other Platforms (Not using AR)*
Mean	7.1	5.75
Variance	4.094736842	2.197368421
Observations	20	20
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	35	
t Stat	2.406859707	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.01075116	
t Critical one-tail	1.689572458	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.02150232	
t Critical two-tail	2.030107928	

Based on the two-tailed critical t-statistics value of 2.03 and the corresponding p-value of 0.02, which is significant at the 5% level of significance, we reject the null hypothesis (H0) and accept the alternative hypothesis (H1). This implies that there is a significant difference in the impact of augmented reality (AR) product visualization experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR. The mean likelihood of purchase based on product visualization on Amazon (using AR) is 7.1 with a standard deviation of 2.02, whereas the mean likelihood of purchase based on product visualization on other platforms (not using AR) is 5.7 with a standard deviation of 1.48.

The higher mean value on Amazon indicates that customers using AR for product visualization are, on average, more likely to make a purchase compared to those on platforms without AR. The larger standard deviation on Amazon suggests a greater variability in consumer responses, indicating a potentially broader range of preferences and engagement levels in the AR-enhanced shopping experience on Amazon. Overall, the results support the notion that AR product visualization significantly influences consumer purchasing behaviour, with Amazon users exhibiting a higher likelihood of purchase and greater variability in their responses compared to users on other platforms without AR.

7.2 Consumers' Purchasing Behaviour based on Interactivity

	Likelihood of Purchase based on Interactivity on Amazon (using AR)	*Likelihood of Purchase based on Interactivity on Other Platforms (Not using AR)*
Mean	6.75	5.25
Variance	2.407894737	3.986842105
Observations	20	20
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	36	
t Stat	2.652741419	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.005901575	
t Critical one-tail	1.688297714	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.01180315	
t Critical two-tail	2.028094001	

Based on the two-tailed critical t-statistics value of 2.02 and the corresponding p-value of 0.01, which is significant at the 5% level of significance, we reject the null hypothesis (H0) and accept the alternative hypothesis (H1). This suggests a significant difference in the impact of augmented reality (AR) interactivity on consumer purchasing behaviour between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR. The mean likelihood of purchase based on interactivity on Amazon (using AR) is 6.7 with a standard deviation of 1.55, while the mean likelihood of purchase based on interactivity on other platforms (not using AR) is 5.2 with a standard deviation of 2.

The higher mean value on Amazon indicates that customers using AR for interactive experiences are, on average, more likely to make a purchase compared to those on platforms without AR. The smaller standard deviation on Amazon suggests a more consistent response among users, indicating a potentially more focused and positive impact of AR interactivity on purchasing behaviour. Overall, the results support the notion that AR interactivity significantly influences consumer purchasing behaviour, with Amazon users exhibiting a higher likelihood of purchase and greater consistency in their responses compared to users on other platforms without AR.

7.3 Consumers' Purchasing Behaviour based on Perceived Value vs. Novelty

	Likelihood of Purchase based on Perceived Value on Amazon (using AR)	*Likelihood of Purchase based on Perceived Value on Other Platforms (Not using AR)*
Mean	5.65	6.6
Variance	2.871052632	1.410526316
Observations	20	20
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	34	
t Stat	-2.053225385	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.023904139	
t Critical one-tail	1.690924255	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.047808278	
t Critical two-tail	2.032244509	

Based on the two-tailed critical t-statistics value of 2.03 and the corresponding p-value of 0.04, which is significant at the 5% level of significance, we reject the null hypothesis (H0)

and accept the alternative hypothesis (H1). This indicates a significant difference in consumer purchasing behaviour concerning the perceived value and novelty of augmented reality (AR) experiences between customers on Amazon and those on other platforms without AR. The mean likelihood of purchase based on perceived value on Amazon (using AR) is 5.6 with a standard deviation of 1.69, while the mean likelihood of purchase based on perceived value on other platforms (not using AR) is 6.6 with a standard deviation of 1.19.

The lower mean value on Amazon suggests that customers using AR for perceived value considerations are, on average, less likely to make a purchase compared to those on platforms without AR. The larger standard deviation on Amazon indicates a greater variability in responses, implying a broader range of preferences and potential factors influencing perceived value. Overall, the results suggest that perceived value and novelty significantly influence consumer purchasing behaviour, with users on other platforms without AR exhibiting a higher mean likelihood of purchase and less variability in responses compared to Amazon users.

8. CONCLUSION

The study highlights the significant impact of augmented reality (AR) experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour within the context of Amazon compared to platforms lacking AR features. Analysis across three crucial dimensions - product visualization, interactivity, and perceived value versus novelty - revealed distinct patterns. AR product visualization on Amazon notably influenced consumer purchasing behaviour, with a higher likelihood of purchase compared to platforms without AR. Similarly, AR interactivity played a vital role, leading to both a higher likelihood of purchase and greater consistency in responses among Amazon users. However, the influence of perceived value and novelty of AR experiences exhibited a contrasting result on Amazon, with potential implications for consumer preferences. These findings underscore the nuanced effects of AR on consumer behavior, emphasizing the need for tailored AR features to align with expectations and enhance the overall shopping experience. While acknowledging study limitations, including sample size and potential biases, further research could explore the intricate dynamics of AR in e-commerce.

9. SUGGETIONS

Based on the findings of the study regarding the impact of augmented reality (AR) experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour on Amazon, several recommendations can be made:

1. **Optimize AR Product Visualization:**
   Given the significant influence of AR product visualization on consumer purchasing behaviour, Amazon should continue to enhance and optimize AR features that facilitate a realistic and immersive product experience. This may involve refining visual clarity, expanding product categories with AR support, and ensuring seamless integration with the overall shopping interface.
2. **Enhance AR Interactivity:**

Building on the positive impact of AR interactivity on consumer likelihood of purchase, Amazon should invest in further enhancing interactive elements within AR experiences. This may include introducing gamified features, interactive product demonstrations, or personalized recommendations to engage users and encourage more meaningful interactions.

3. **Fine-Tune Perceived Value and Novelty Aspects:**
   Acknowledging the contrasting results in perceived value and novelty, Amazon should conduct in-depth user feedback and testing to understand the factors contributing to these findings. Adjustments to the presentation, communication, or innovative aspects of AR features may be necessary to align more closely with consumer expectations and preferences.
4. **User Education and Communication:**
   As AR experiences evolve, Amazon should invest in educating users about the benefits and functionalities of AR features. Clear communication on how AR enhances the shopping experience, particularly in terms of product visualization, interactivity, and perceived value, can help manage expectations and increase user engagement.
5. **Regular Monitoring and Iteration:**
   The dynamic nature of consumer preferences and technology necessitates continuous monitoring and iteration of AR features. Regularly assessing user feedback, tracking performance metrics, and staying abreast of technological advancements will allow Amazon to adapt its AR offerings to evolving consumer needs and expectations.
6. **Expand AR to New Product Categories:**
   Considering the positive impact of AR experiences on consumer purchasing behaviour, Amazon should explore opportunities to expand AR support to new product categories. This can broaden the appeal of AR features and attract a wider audience seeking enhanced visualization and interactive shopping experiences.
7. **Collaborate with Brands for AR Integration:**
   Collaborating with brands to integrate AR experiences into their product listings can further enrich the overall shopping experience. Brands can create customized AR content that aligns with their products, providing consumers with unique and engaging interactions.
8. **Address Limitations and Biases:**
   Recognizing the study's limitations, Amazon should proactively address any biases and limitations identified in the research methodology. Expanding the sample size, diversifying participant demographics, and incorporating qualitative insights can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of AR's impact on consumer behaviour.

REFERENCES

Baek, Tae Hyun, Chan Yun Yoo, and Sukki Yoon. 2018. “Augment Yourself through Virtual Mirror: The Impact of Self-Viewing and Narcissism on Consumer Responses.” International Journal of Advertising 37 (3): 421–439. doi:10.1080/02650487.2016.124 4887.

Beck, Marie, and Dominique Crié. 2018. "I Virtually Try It … I Want It ! Virtual Fitting Room: A Tool to Increase on-Line and off-Line Exploratory Behavior, Patronage and Purchase Intentions." Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 40: 279–286. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.08.006.

Belk, Russell W. 1988. "Possessions and the Extended Self." Journal of Consumer Research 15 (2): 139–168. doi:10.1086/209154.

Belk, Russell W. 2013. "Extended Self in a Digital World." Journal of Consumer Research 40 (3): 477–500. doi:10.1086/671052.

Boell, Sebastian K., and Dubravka Cecez-Kecmanovic. 2015. "On Being 'Systematic' in Literature Reviews in IS." Journal of Information Technology 30 (2): 161–173. doi:10.1057/jit.2014.26.

Bonetti, Francesca, Gary Warnaby, and Lee Quinn. 2018. "Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality in Physical and Online Retailing: A Review, Synthesis and Research Agenda." In Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality, 119–132. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-64027-3_9.

Bonnin, Gaël. 2020. "The Roles of Perceived Risk, Attractiveness of the Online Store and Familiarity with AR in the Influence of AR on Patronage Intention." Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 52: 101938. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser. 2019.101938.

Breitsohl, Jan, Holger Roschk, and Christina Feyertag. 2018. "Consumer Brand Bullying Behaviour in Online Communities of Service Firms." In Service Business Development, 289–312. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-22424-0_13.

Brengman, Malaika, Kim Willems, and Helena van Kerrebroeck. 2018. "Can't Touch This: The Impact of Augmented Reality versus Touch and Non-Touch Interfaces on Perceived Ownership." Virtual Reality. 1–12. doi:10.1007/s10055-018-0335-6. 24

Brito, Pedro Quelhas, Jasmina Stoyanova, and António Coelho. 2018. "Augmented Reality versus Conventional Interface: Is There Any Difference in Effectiveness?" Multimedia Tools and Applications 77 (6). Multimedia Tools and Applications: 7487–7516. doi:10.1007/s11042-017-4658-1.

Burnkrant, Robert E, and H Rao Unnava. 1995. "Effects of Self-Referencing on Persuasion." Journal of Consumer Research 22 (1). US: Univ of Chicago Press: 17–26. doi:10.1086/209432.

Carrozzi, Amelia, Mathew Chylinski, Jonas Heller, Tim Hilken, Debbie I. Keeling, and Ko de Ruyter. 2019. "What's Mine Is a Hologram? How Shared Augmented Reality Augments Psychological Ownership." Journal of Interactive Marketing 48. Elsevier Inc.: 71–88. doi:10.1016/j.intmar.2019.05.004.

Dacko, Scott G. 2017. "Enabling Smart Retail Settings via Mobile Augmented Reality Shopping Apps." Technological Forecasting and Social Change 124. Elsevier Inc.: 243–256. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2016.09.032.

Darley, William K., Charles Blankson, and Denise J. Luethge. 2010. "Toward an Integrated Framework for Online Consumer Behavior and Decision Making Process: A Review." Psychology and Marketing 27 (2): 94–116. doi:10.1002/mar.20322.

Davis, Fred D. 1989. "Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology." MIS Quarterly 13 (3): 319–340. doi:10.2307/249008.

Fan, Xiaojun, Zeli Chai, Nianqi Deng, and Xuebing Dong. 2020. "Adoption of Augmented Reality in Online Retailing and Consumers' Product Attitude: A Cognitive Perspective."

Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 53. Elsevier Ltd: 101986. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101986.

Flavián, Carlos, Sergio Ibáñez-sánchez, and Carlos Orús. 2018. "The Impact of Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality Technologies on the Customer Experience." Journal of Business Research 100 (January). Elsevier: 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.050.

Heller, Jonas, Mathew Chylinski, Ko de Ruyter, Dominik Mahr, and Debbie I. Keeling. 2019a. "Let Me Imagine That for You: Transforming the Retail Frontline Through Augmenting Customer Mental Imagery Ability." Journal of Retailing 95 (2). New York University: 94–114. doi:10.1016/j.jretai.2019.03.005.

Heller, Jonas, Mathew Chylinski, Ko de Ruyter, Dominik Mahr, and Debbie I. Keeling. 2019b. "Touching the Untouchable: Exploring Multi-Sensory Augmented Reality in the Context of Online Retailing." Journal of Retailing 95 (4). New York University: 219–234. doi:10.1016/j.jretai.2019.10.008.

Hilken, Tim, Jonas Heller, Mathew Chylinski, Debbie Isobel Keeling, Dominik Mahr, and Ko de Ruyter. 2018. "Making Omnichannel an Augmented Reality: The Current and Future State of the Art." Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing 12 (4): 509–523. doi:10.1108/JRIM-01-2018-0023.

Hilken, Tim, Debbie I. Keeling, Ko de Ruyter, Dominik Mahr, and Mathew Chylinski. 2019. "Seeing Eye to Eye: Social Augmented Reality and Shared Decision Making in the Marketplace." Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science 48 (2): 143–64. doi:10.1007/s11747-019-00688-0.

Hilken, Tim, Ko de Ruyter, Mathew Chylinski, Dominik Mahr, and Debbie I. Keeling. 2017. "Augmenting the Eye of the Beholder: Exploring the Strategic Potential of Augmented Reality to Enhance Online Service Experiences." Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science 45 (6): 884–905. doi:10.1007/s11747-017-0541-x.

Hinsch, Chris, Reto Felix, and Philipp A. Rauschnabel. 2020. "Nostalgia Beats the Wow-Effect: Inspiration, Awe and Meaningful Associations in Augmented Reality Marketing." Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 53. Elsevier Ltd: 101987. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101987.

Huang, Tseng-Lung. 2018. "Creating a Commercially Compelling Smart Service Encounter." Service Business 12 (2): 357–377. doi:10.1007/s11628-017-0351-8.

Liao, Tony. 2019. "Future Directions for Mobile Augmented Reality Research: Understanding Relationships between Augmented Reality Users, Nonusers, Content, Devices, and Industry." Mobile Media & Communication 7 (1): 131–149. doi:10.1177/2050157 918792438.

MacInnis, Deborah J., and Valerie S. Folkes. 2017. "Humanizing Brands: When Brands Seem to Be like Me, Part of Me, and in a Relationship with Me." Journal of Consumer Psychology 27 (3). Society for Consumer Psychology: 355–374. doi:10.1016/j.jcps.2016.12.003.

McLean, Graeme, and Alan Wilson. 2019. "Shopping in the Digital World: Examining Customer Engagement through Augmented Reality Mobile Applications." Computers in Human Behavior 101 (July). Elsevier: 210–224. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2019.07.002.

Pantano, Eleonora, Alexandra Rese, and Daniel Baier. 2017. "Enhancing the Online Decision-Making Process by Using Augmented Reality: A Two Country Comparison of

Youth Markets." Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 38. 81–95. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser.2017.05.011.

Park, C Whan, Deborah J Macinnis, Joseph Priester, Andreas B Eisingerich, and Dawn Iacobucci. 2010. "Brand Attachment and Brand Attitude Strength: Conceptual and Empirical Differentiation of Two Critical Brand Equity Drivers." Journal of Marketing 74 (6): 1–17. doi:10.1509/jmkg.74.6.1.

Park, Minjung, and Jungmin Yoo. 2020. "Effects of Perceived Interactivity of Augmented Reality on Consumer Responses: A Mental Imagery Perspective." Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 52: 101912. doi:10.1016/j.jretconser. 2019.101912.