

# The Ecology of Wildlife Illnesses and Urbanization

Kuldeep Mishra, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email id- mishraypikuldeep@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** Globally, urbanization is accelerating, with 2 different of the world's population predicted to live in cities over the next 30 years. Although cities are well-known for their significance in human infectious illness, little is known about how urban environments impact wildlife pathogen interaction. Humans use recent developments in wild-life epidemiology to investigate how urbanization affects the biology of hosts, diseases, or vectors. Although urbanization lowers the number among several wildlife parasites, the transmission may rise among urban-adapted hosts in certain instances, having an impact on rarer animals or those that live beyond city borders. Continued growing urbanization, along with the dangers presented by multi-host infections to people and fragile wildlife species, highlights the need for further study into wildlife illnesses in urban environments.

**KEYWORDS:** Diseases, Environments, Urbanization, Parasite, Pathogen.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Globally, urbanization is expanding, with ecological repercussions that reach beyond city limits. Urbanization, described as an increase in the size and population of cities, results in landscapes characterized by engineering infrastructure for human use. The majority of research on urbanization's ecological effect involves a pattern of species extinction, with reductions in abundance of species from agricultural to industrial locations observed across different taxonomic groupings. Ecologists have just lately started to investigate the ways by which urbanization impacts biodiversity, such as resource competition, changed trophic connections, or disease (Chang et al., 2020). Although a growing number of research relate human activities to the establishment of wildlife illnesses, just a

few look at how wildlife virus interactions are affected by urban land use (N. Kumar et al., 2018). Furthermore, changes in host geographic ranges or densities, interspecific interactions, or pathogen environmental contamination may all be influenced by urbanization (Aunan et al., 2018). A highly virulent nematode was found exclusively in areas affected by streams engineering or nitrogen fluxes throughout 176 foraging sites for waterfowl in coastal Florida. Several of the mechanisms mentioned above were researched about farmland use or woodland edge habitats, but they are equally important to disease transmission in urbanized settings. Urbanization is among the most significant land-use changes, described as the increase in the size or population of cities, which results in the creation of artificial landscapes of engineering infrastructure for human use (Mahat et al., 2021). With almost 65 percent of the world's population expected to live in cities by 2025, the ecological effects of urbanization are mostly focused on biodiversity loss, which is defined as a decrease in species abundance. Scientists have learned that loss of biodiversity is linked to increased transmission of infection and severity in people, animals, and some plant species, based on empirical data. When biodiversity is lost throughout the planet, the bigger, slower reproducing animal species are frequently the first to go extinct (Maini et al., 2021).

Key theories on the effect of urbanization inside the spread and effects of infectious illnesses in animal populations are identified here. We analyze patterns that occur throughout the urban-rural gradient in certain situations, concentrating on biodiversity that occupies both the urban center and adjacent suburban and rural regions, to capture a diversity of processes or variations in their intensity. Studying the ecology of animal diseases in urban settings will become more essential as disease hazards to wildlife or, in some circumstances, people grow more prevalent. Many viruses may infect numerous host species, or some of them are dangerous to human health or already endangered animal populations. Finally, we highlight several research goals for the future, such as determining which infections are most likely to be affected by urbanization (Wani et al., 2021).

### *1.1. In urban areas, wildlife communities:*

The makeup of animal groups is substantially altered by urbanization, resulting in species extinction or an increase in the number of species that flourish in urban environments. Indeed, recent research in North America that looked at the patterns but also causes of 'ecological homogenization' discovered a negative link between human population growth, urban land utilization, or species diversity across all collecting relevant groupings (Kumar Panda & Panda, 2015). Simplified habitat architecture, improved resource availability, or changed trophic interactions are all contributing to this outcome. Several animal species have vanished entirely from cities, or are relegated to parks, forest remnants, or other less densely populated locations. Since most wildlife parasites (particularly those limited to one or just a few host organisms) will be absent from cities, it's crucial to think about how urbanization affects the ecosystem of wildlife illnesses (Lal et al., 2019).

First, infection dynamics may shift over a gradient among habitats for illnesses like toxoplasmosis and rabies, which impact metropolitan wildlife species, leading to a higher incidence in certain instances in urban as well as suburban contexts. Second, infections maintained in urban adjusted hosts might harm uncommon wildlife species including numerous wood-warblers, flying squirrels, and other tiny rodents that live in city parks or nearby natural regions. Through competition facilitated by multi-host infections, the growing domination of a few critical host species, as well as situations that enhance interspecific interaction rates, rarer fauna may suffer reductions (Lal, 2019). Third, the 'dilution effect' might impact the transmission of certain vector-borne illnesses due to decreasing biodiversity of urban fauna. If vectors feed on many host species with varying levels of competence in contracting, multiplying, and transferring the disease, great host species richness may reduce parasite transmission. In urbanized settings, the opposite scenario might arise if low host diversity increases the proportionate abundance of critical reservoir hosts.

Provisioning of resources, host contact rates, or infection susceptibility. Several urban adapted species may be found in substantially larger concentrations in cities and suburbs than in less-developed locations. These populations are supported by

abundant resources that are not subject to seasonal changes, either via unintentional (e.g. domestic garbage) or planned provisioning. These highly populated densities may increase contact rates within or across animal species, favoring parasite spread by direct touch via oral feces. Increased fertility rates among urban-adapted animals in response to resource supply might give more possibilities for parasite dissemination by increasing the quantity of vulnerable young hosts (Chauhan et al., 2019). Even though human cases are mostly limited to rural regions in northern Europe, wherein outdoor labor or pet ownership are key risk factors, animal migrations constitute a substantial risk factor.

The introduction of diseased foxes into city environments might increase the danger of human infection. Current research shows that people residing in urban areas are unaffected; however, since *E. multilocularis* has a lengthy incubation time (decades in some cases), long-term observation will be necessary to evaluate variations in human infections linked to fox migrations. Host susceptibility or responses to both existing and imported diseases are also affected by resource allocation in urban contexts, mediated via impacts on the physical state and immunological systems. Animals who are malnourished due to a lack of protein, for example, might become immunosuppressed, releasing more parasitic eggs in their feces and having greater death rates after infection. Consequently, although increased food supplies for urban-adapted species may increase interaction rates or disease transmission, supplementary feeding may also enhance host condition, raise infection immunity, or reduce pathogen consequences on host reproductive success (Sinha et al., 2018).

### *1.2. Bacterium exposure, pollution, or stress are all factors to consider:*

Cities are important centers for pathogen invasions or sources of disease for animals living on the outskirts of cities. Infectious oocysts of *Toxoplasma gondii*, the protozoan parasite that causes toxoplasmosis, were shed in the feces of wild or domestic felids, for example. Other animals linked with both urban environments and more natural areas get this virus. *Toxoplasma* infections, for example, have been connected to the deaths of southern otters *Enhydra lutris nereis* off of the California coast, USA. Rates of infection in the otter population

were three times higher in places with the highest freshwater runoff along the California coast, most of which were linked with high human density. This link is most likely the consequence of cat feces contaminating the water and parasite amplification by benthic filter feeders, which make up a large part of sea otter diets (Taghizadeh et al., 2020).

### 1.3. *Environmental considerations:*

Population size, diversity, or composition is ecological characteristics that may influence disease persistence and dissemination. In the context of host-parasite interactions, the host total population is crucial because disease transmission requires a big enough host population to support parasitic interactions. The general population's health (as well as the amount of the weaker population members) will have an impact on how parasites and illnesses spread among members. Furthermore, the density of prospective hosts may be influenced by competition and predation dynamics in the environment, which can either perpetuate or restrict disease transmission (S. Kumar et al., 2021).

When an animal is weakened by a parasite, it might become easier prey for predator species. Because of the poor prey available, predators may sometimes choose to eat on sick or diseased prey, even if they carry a parasite. Without the existence of a predator, prey populations would likely grow to unmanageable proportions, allowing illnesses to spread rapidly across the prey population. When infected individuals are not eliminated because of poor predation, the number of available hosts increases. However, in other cases, predator feeding may wake up a virus that was previously latent, resulting in an epidemic that would not have developed otherwise. Some parasites were able to survive after their host species is eaten, resulting in the parasite being dispersed in the predator's excrement, potentially spreading illness further (S. Kumar et al., 2021).

### 1.4. *Consequences for public health or wildlife conservation:*

Infectious illnesses have become more important to animal conservation, even though habitat loss or overexploitation is well-acknowledged as key drivers of the wildlife population decreases. Further, then the direct effects of urbanization on

diversity, changing epidemiological mechanisms in urban settings might provide additional difficulties to animal populations. Multi-host infections, which impact animals that live at low concentration levels via interactions with some other host species, are particularly important. Cooper's hawks *Accipiter cooperii* nesting in cities, for example, had a nest failure rate more than twice that of hawks breeding in the suburbs. The majority of nestling victims were killed by trichomoniasis, a plasmodium illness spread by eating infected pigeons or doves. Efforts aimed at limiting disease transmission among urban-adapted animals (such as immunization, anti-parasitic medication treatment, or restricting supplementary food supplies) might thereby reduce pathogen dissemination to wildlife hosts with much fewer resources (Rastogi et al., 2019).

Many animal species are missing from urban areas, while those that thrive in urban areas are often unworthy of conservation attention. Several instances show, however, that activities in cities or suburbs have an impact on residual wildlife populations inside cities and may extend beyond city bounds. Environmental pollution by infected raccoons with *B. procyonis* has been associated with population decreases in an endangered host organism, the Allegheny woodrat *Neotoma magister*, in at least one instance. Limiting the development of environmental pathogen contamination, lowering reservoirs' host population numbers, or monitoring their travels from urban to more rural locations, might help reduce wild-life threats from this or other diseases.

#### *1.5. Future research concerns:*

Future study at the intersection of two rapidly increasing disciplines: urban ecological studies and communicable diseases ecology will be critical in controlling disease dangers for people and animals as human populations continue to move into cities or urban areas expand. Scientists' capacity to identify new zoonotic agents infiltrating cities or record infections of pre-existing diseases in novel host species might be improved by zoonotic government surveillance. Studies that discover host-pathogen species that are confined to animals and have severe urbanization implications are also required. These infections, which are often disregarded when it comes to zoonotic illnesses, might have serious effects on

animal species that are already endangered for other reasons (Kalra et al., 2016). Most significantly, extensive empirical techniques are required to transcend beyond free association patterns or disentangle the complex processes that influence hosts, diseases, and vectors as a result of urbanization. Such as an example, how much does air pollution, noise, or other ecological stressors impact animal infection susceptibility, but are these impacts counterbalanced by improved food supplies or warmer winter conditions in cities? What is the relationship between host immunity and physical landscape factors that affect host contact rates? In terms of vector-borne illnesses, more research is required on how the urban heat island, dispersion of breeding sites, and variations in host-species abundance across metropolitan gradients affect biting arthropods (Millán et al., 2016).

## 2. DISCUSSION

People, animals, domestic and wildlife are all affected by infectious illnesses, with many viruses capable of infecting several species. Following the widespread development and usage of antibiotics or vaccines fifty years ago, it appeared that humanity had won the war against illnesses. Since then, however, there's been an upsurge in the appearance of, largely viral, zoonotic illnesses from animals, occasionally generating lethal outbreaks of epidemic levels, in addition to increased antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria. Infectious illness, on the other hand, has been highlighted as a growing hazard to animal conservation. One Health refers to ways to treat illness (especially zoonoses) that take into account all factors that might cause or exacerbate the disease. Environmental and ecological/wildlife aspects, as well as domestic animals and people influences, are all included. The fourth category includes both behavioral and physical difficulties, but also cultural, political, or also other socioeconomic factors that may contribute to illness incidence or transmission. Although One Health gets closer to dealing with illness threats from and to biodiversity are still new and untested, all evidence suggests that they will be the most successful but also cost-effective if designed and deployed fully by all parties concerned, including policymakers or the medical profession.



Infections have an increased chance of spreading when infected or uninfected people come into touch. Because urbanization necessitates more interaction or exposure, it has far-reaching ramifications for the spread and development of infectious illnesses across the globe. This may result in significant landscape alterations, altered ecological functioning, or a decrease in biodiversity. However, as suburbia spreads, certain species will have a new opportunity to exploit additional materials. Even though many wild animals may benefit the lives of suburbanites, huge population surges in species like deer, beaver, or coyotes can shift their position from resource to nuisance. For centuries, wildlife administrators have alternated between embracing and ignoring urban or suburban animal challenges. The danger to habitat and biodiversity, as well as the issue of "overabundant" species, provide two difficulties to wildlife organizations today. This is not just a huge management task, as well as an educational opportunity for people to learn more about the natural environment and their part in it.

### **3. CONCLUSION**

The purpose of this study was to develop important ideas about how wildlife-pathogen interactions would adapt to urbanization, as well as to highlight a few cases that best represent these interactions. Unlike many other land-use changes that might impact the onset of wildlife diseases (for example, forest fragmentation, agricultural expansion), the dramatic changes that follow urbanization are likely to result in the decrease or extinction of most wildlife species or parasites. Nevertheless, as more data is released, the argument over the good vs negative consequences of urbanization on the incidence and impacts of animal illnesses is expected to heat up. A good understanding of the kinds of wildlife pathogenic organisms that do remain in urbanized areas, as well as the processes that cause a significant increase in preponderance or impacts, can lead to new techniques for limiting wildlife population's publicity in urban areas, as well as a greater understanding of the environmental drivers behind bacterium occurrence variation across space.

### **REFERENCES:**

Aunan, K., Hansen, M. H., & Wang, S. (2018). Introduction: Air Pollution in China.



In *China Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305741017001369>

Chang, Y. S., Chiao, H. T., Abimannan, S., Huang, Y. P., Tsai, Y. T., & Lin, K. M. (2020). An LSTM-based aggregated model for air pollution forecasting. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2020.05.015>

Chauhan, P., Meena, D., Hazarika, N., Mrigpuri, S., & Parsad, D. (2019). Generalized Hailey-Hailey disease with flexural keratotic papules: An interesting presentation and remarkable response with minocycline. *Dermatologic Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.12945>

Kalra, M., Tangade, P., Punia, H., Gupta, V., Sharma, H., & Jain, A. (2016). Assessment of two-way relationship between periodontal disease and gestational diabetes mellitus: A case-control study. *Indian Journal of Dental Research*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9290.191888>

Kumar, N., Singh, A., Sharma, D. K., & Kishore, K. (2018). Novel Target Sites for Drug Screening: A Special Reference to Cancer, Rheumatoid Arthritis and Parkinson's Disease. *Current Signal Transduction Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1574362413666180320112810>

Kumar Panda, S., & Panda, S. K. (2015). Phytoresources, Traditional Medicine and their Conservation. In *RRJBS| Plant Microbe Interaction*.

Kumar, S., Jain, A., Shukla, A. P., Singh, S., Raja, R., Rani, S., Harshitha, G., Alzain, M. A., & Masud, M. (2021). A Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms for Detection of Organic and Nonorganic Cotton Diseases. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1790171>

Lal, S. G. (2019). Knowledge and practice on dietary management among patients with gallbladder diseases. *Medico-Legal Update*. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-1283.2019.00031.8>

Lal, S. G., Chithra, K., & Nageshwar, V. (2019). Is dietary management is essential for gallbladder diseases? A review based on available literature. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-5506.2019.00251.1>

Mahat, R. K., Panda, S., Rathore, V., Swain, S., Yadav, L., & Sah, S. P. (2021). The dynamics of inflammatory markers in coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. In *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2021.100727>

Maini, E., Venkateswarlu, B., Maini, B., & Marwaha, D. (2021). Machine learning-based heart disease prediction system for Indian population: An exploratory study done in South India. *Medical Journal Armed Forces India*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2020.10.013>

Millán, J., Proboste, T., Fernández de Mera, I. G., Chirife, A. D., de la Fuente, J., & Altet, L. (2016). Molecular detection of vector-borne pathogens in wild and domestic carnivores and their ticks at the human-wildlife interface. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2015.11.003>

Rastogi, T., Chowdhary, Z., Krishna, M., Mehrotra, S., & Mohan, R. (2019). Prevalence of periodontitis in patients with pulmonary disease: A cross-sectional survey in the industrial district of India. *Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology*. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp\\_435\\_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/jisp.jisp_435_18)

Sinha, P., Rastogi, R., Pratap, V., & Singh, V. K. (2018). Role of carotid doppler and coronary CT angiography as predictors of coronary artery disease in patients of acute stroke. *Journal International Medical Sciences Academy*.

Taghizadeh, B., Ghavami, L., Derakhshankhah, H., Zangene, E., Razmi, M., Jaymand, M., Zarrintaj, P., Zarghami, N., Jaafari, M. R., Moallem Shahri, M., Moghaddasian, A., Tayebi, L., & Izadi, Z. (2020). Biomaterials in Valvular Heart Diseases. In *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.529244>

Wani, A. M., Rastogi, R., Pratap, V., Ashraf, O., & Neha. (2021). Comparative role of ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of biliary tract anomalies and pericholecystic adhesions in patients with gall bladder stone disease. *Journal International Medical Sciences Academy*.