ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 **Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022**

# NAVIGATING HYPER DIGITALIZATION: A SURVEY OF ISSUES IN ONLINE TEACHING AND VIRTUAL LABS

**Bhumika Dasoar**
Research Scholar, Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University Jaipur (Rajasthan), India

**Abstract** - As digital technologies continue to reshape education, the phenomenon of hyper digitalization presents both opportunities and challenges in the realms of online teaching and virtual labs. This paper conducts a comprehensive survey of the various issues arising from hyper digitalization in these educational domains. Through an exploration of technological, pedagogical, and socio-economic factors, this survey aims to elucidate the multifaceted landscape of hyper digitalization, offering insights for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders.

**Keywords:** Hyper Digitalization, Online Teaching, Virtual Labs, Challenges, Education, Survey.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The landscape of education has been dramatically transformed by the advent of digital technologies, with online teaching and virtual labs emerging as integral components of modern pedagogy. The recent phenomenon of hyper digitalization has further accelerated this transformation, ushering in a new era characterized by unprecedented connectivity, accessibility, and innovation. However, along with the promise of enhanced educational experiences, hyper digitalization also brings forth a myriad of challenges that must be addressed to ensure equitable and effective learning outcomes for all.

In this paper, we embark on a comprehensive survey of the various issues arising from hyper digitalization in the realms of online teaching and virtual labs. By delving into the intricate interplay of technological advancements, pedagogical practices, socio-economic factors, and ethical considerations, we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted landscape of digital education.

Our survey begins by elucidating the concept of hyper digitalization, examining its defining characteristics and pervasive influences on educational ecosystems. We then proceed to explore the diverse challenges encountered within this hyper-digitalized environment, ranging from issues related to technological infrastructure and pedagogical adaptation to socio-economic disparities and privacy concerns. Additionally, we investigate the complexities of assessing learning outcomes and the imperative of enhancing teacher preparedness and student engagement in digital learning environments.

Through this survey, we endeavor to shed light on the pressing issues and emerging trends shaping the future of online teaching and virtual labs. By identifying key insights and recommendations, we aim to equip educators, policymakers, and stakeholders with the knowledge and tools needed to navigate the complexities of hyper digitalization and harness its transformative potential for the betterment of education worldwide.



IJFANS International Journal of Food And Nutritional Sciences
Official Publication of International Association of Food and Nutrition Scientists

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

## 2. UNDERSTANDING HYPER DIGITALIZATION

In this section, we delve into the concept of hyper digitalization, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of its defining characteristics, pervasive impacts on education, and the theoretical frameworks that underpin its manifestations within the realm of online teaching and virtual labs.

### 2.1 Defining Hyper Digitalization

Hyper digitalization represents an advanced stage of digitization characterized by the exponential growth and integration of digital technologies across all facets of society, including education. Unlike traditional digitization, which involves the conversion of analog information into digital formats, hyper digitalization transcends mere digital conversion, encompassing a profound reconfiguration of social, economic, and cultural processes through digital technologies.

Within the context of education, hyper digitalization manifests in various forms, including the widespread adoption of online learning platforms, virtual reality (VR) simulations, augmented reality (AR) applications, and artificial intelligence (AI)-powered educational tools. This pervasive integration of digital technologies reshapes not only the modes of content delivery and interaction but also the fundamental paradigms of teaching and learning.

### 2.2 Impacts on Education

The advent of hyper digitalization has ushered in a paradigm shift in education, ushering in a myriad of opportunities and challenges. On one hand, it has democratized access to education, breaking down geographical barriers and providing learners with unprecedented flexibility and personalized learning experiences. Online teaching platforms and virtual labs offer immersive and interactive learning environments, enabling students to engage with course materials, collaborate with peers, and conduct experiments remotely.

On the other hand, hyper digitalization exacerbates existing disparities and inequalities, as marginalized communities often lack access to the necessary technological infrastructure and digital literacy skills required for effective participation in digital learning environments. Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological advancements necessitates continuous professional development for educators to adapt pedagogical practices to the evolving digital landscape.

### 2.3 Theoretical Framework

Theoretical frameworks such as technological determinism, social constructivism, and critical pedagogy provide valuable insights into the dynamics of hyper digitalization within educational contexts. Technological determinism posits that technological advancements drive societal change, shaping the ways in which knowledge is produced, disseminated, and consumed. Social constructivism emphasizes the importance of social interaction and collaboration in the construction of knowledge, highlighting the role of digital technologies as mediators of learning experiences.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

Critical pedagogy, meanwhile, interrogates the power dynamics inherent in digital education, advocating for a critical examination of the socio-political implications of technology use in education. By drawing on these theoretical perspectives, educators and policymakers can better understand the complexities of hyper digitalization and formulate strategies to leverage its transformative potential while mitigating its inherent risks and challenges.

In the subsequent sections of this survey, we delve deeper into the specific challenges and opportunities presented by hyper digitalization in online teaching and virtual labs, offering insights and recommendations for stakeholders seeking to navigate this complex terrain.

## 3. TECHNOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE CHALLENGES

The seamless functioning of online teaching and virtual labs heavily relies on robust technological infrastructure. However, various challenges hinder the establishment and maintenance of such infrastructure, impacting accessibility, reliability, and inclusivity within digital education environments.

### 3.1 Access to Reliable Internet

One of the primary challenges in hyper digitalization is ensuring universal access to reliable internet connectivity. Disparities in internet access, particularly in rural or underserved areas, create digital divides that impede students' ability to participate fully in online learning. Limited bandwidth, network congestion, and infrastructure deficiencies further exacerbate these disparities, leading to unequal access to educational resources and opportunities.

### 3.2 Digital Device Accessibility

Access to appropriate digital devices, such as computers, laptops, tablets, or smartphones, is another critical aspect of technological infrastructure. However, socioeconomic disparities often result in unequal access to these devices among students. Additionally, device compatibility issues with online learning platforms and software may pose challenges for students using older or less common devices, further widening the digital divide.

### 3.3 Software and Platform Compatibility

The compatibility of software and learning platforms across different devices and operating systems presents a significant challenge in digital education. Incompatibility issues may hinder students' ability to access course materials, participate in virtual labs, or engage in collaborative activities. Moreover, frequent software updates and changes in platform specifications require continuous adaptation and may disrupt the learning experience if not managed effectively.

Addressing these technological infrastructure challenges requires a multi-faceted approach, including investment in broadband infrastructure expansion, subsidies or loan programs for digital device procurement, and the development of standardized, interoperable software and platforms.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

## 4. PEDAGOGICAL ADAPTATION AND INNOVATION

As education transitions to digital formats, pedagogical approaches must adapt to leverage the capabilities of online teaching and virtual labs effectively. This section explores the challenges and opportunities associated with pedagogical adaptation and innovation in the context of hyper digitalization.

### 4.1 Transitioning from Traditional to Digital Pedagogies

The shift from traditional classroom-based instruction to digital formats necessitates a reevaluation of pedagogical strategies. Educators must adapt teaching methods to suit the asynchronous and remote nature of online learning. This transition requires careful consideration of factors such as content delivery, student engagement, and assessment practices. Additionally, instructors may need training and support to effectively integrate digital tools and technologies into their teaching practices.

### 4.2 Engaging Students in Virtual Learning Environments

Engaging students in virtual learning environments presents unique challenges due to the absence of face-to-face interaction and physical classroom dynamics. Educators must employ innovative techniques to foster active participation, collaboration, and critical thinking among students. Strategies such as gamification, interactive simulations, and multimedia content can enhance engagement and create immersive learning experiences in virtual labs and online classrooms.

### 4.3 Fostering Collaboration and Interaction

Collaborative learning and peer interaction are essential components of effective pedagogy. In digital environments, facilitating meaningful collaboration and interaction among students can be challenging. Educators must leverage synchronous and asynchronous communication tools, discussion forums, and group projects to promote peer-to-peer learning and knowledge sharing. Additionally, fostering a sense of community and belonging through virtual communities and social platforms can enhance student engagement and motivation.

Pedagogical adaptation and innovation require ongoing experimentation, reflection, and refinement. Educators should embrace a growth mindset and be open to exploring new teaching methods and technologies. Moreover, collaboration and knowledge-sharing among educators can facilitate the identification of best practices and effective pedagogical strategies in the digital learning landscape. By embracing pedagogical adaptation and innovation, educators can harness the transformative potential of hyper digitalization to create dynamic, engaging, and effective learning experiences for students in online teaching and virtual labs.

## 5. SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS AND INEQUITIES

In the realm of hyper digitalization, socio-economic factors play a significant role in shaping access to and participation in online teaching and virtual labs. This section explores the various challenges and inequities arising from socio-economic disparities in digital education.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

### 5.1 Digital Divide and Access Disparities

The digital divide refers to the gap between those who have access to digital technologies and the internet and those who do not. Socio-economic factors such as income level, geographic location, and educational background contribute to disparities in digital access. Individuals from low-income households, rural areas, or marginalized communities often lack access to reliable internet connectivity, digital devices, and the necessary technical skills to participate fully in online learning activities. As a result, they are disproportionately disadvantaged in accessing educational resources and opportunities in hyper-digitalized environments.

### 5.2 Economic Barriers to Technology Adoption

The cost of digital devices, internet subscriptions, and software licenses can pose significant barriers to technology adoption for students from low-income backgrounds. Moreover, the recurring expenses associated with maintaining and upgrading digital infrastructure further exacerbate economic inequalities in digital education. Without adequate financial support or subsidies, disadvantaged students may struggle to afford the necessary tools and resources for effective participation in online teaching and virtual labs.

### 5.3 Cultural and Linguistic Considerations

Cultural and linguistic diversity adds another layer of complexity to socio-economic inequities in digital education. Language barriers, cultural differences, and lack of culturally relevant content may hinder the learning experiences of students from diverse backgrounds. Moreover, digital content and platforms may not be accessible or inclusive of different cultural perspectives, further marginalizing minority groups and perpetuating inequities in educational outcomes.

Addressing socio-economic factors and inequities in hyper digitalization requires comprehensive and multi-dimensional strategies. Initiatives aimed at bridging the digital divide, such as expanding broadband infrastructure, providing subsidies for digital devices, and offering digital literacy training programs, can help increase access and participation among marginalized communities. Additionally, culturally responsive pedagogy, inclusive curriculum design, and multilingual support services can ensure that digital education is accessible and equitable for students from diverse socio-economic and cultural backgrounds. By addressing socio-economic inequities, stakeholders can foster a more inclusive and equitable digital education ecosystem, thereby maximizing the benefits of hyper digitalization for all learners.

## 6. PRIVACY AND SECURITY CONCERNS

In the digital age, concerns regarding privacy and security are paramount, especially in the context of online teaching and virtual labs. This section explores the various privacy and security challenges inherent in hyper digitalization and their implications for students, educators, and educational institutions.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

### 6.1 Data Protection and Student Privacy

The collection, storage, and use of student data in online teaching and virtual labs raise significant privacy concerns. Educational technology platforms and learning management systems often gather vast amounts of sensitive student information, including personal details, academic records, and behavioral data. Ensuring the protection of this data from unauthorized access, data breaches, and misuse is crucial for safeguarding student privacy and upholding ethical standards in digital education.

### 6.2 Cybersecurity Risks in Online Learning Platforms

Online learning platforms and virtual labs are susceptible to cybersecurity threats, including malware, phishing attacks, and data breaches. Vulnerabilities in software and infrastructure, inadequate security measures, and human error can compromise the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of educational data. Cybersecurity incidents not only jeopardize student privacy but also disrupt teaching and learning activities, leading to potential academic and reputational repercussions for educational institutions.

### 6.3 Ethical Use of Student Data

Ethical considerations surrounding the use of student data in digital education are paramount. Educational stakeholders must adhere to principles of transparency, consent, and accountability in collecting and processing student information. Additionally, concerns regarding data ownership, data retention, and data sharing practices must be addressed to ensure that student rights and interests are protected. Educators and institutions should establish clear policies and guidelines for the ethical use of student data, promoting responsible data stewardship and fostering trust among students and stakeholders.

Addressing privacy and security concerns in hyper digitalization requires a holistic approach encompassing technological safeguards, policy frameworks, and educational practices. Implementing robust data protection measures, conducting regular security audits, and providing training on cybersecurity best practices can mitigate risks and enhance the resilience of digital education ecosystems. Moreover, fostering a culture of privacy awareness and ethical conduct among educators, students, and administrators is essential for promoting a safe and trusted learning environment in online teaching and virtual labs. By prioritizing privacy and security, stakeholders can uphold the integrity of digital education and ensure the responsible use of technology for teaching and learning purposes.

## 7. ASSESSING LEARNING OUTCOMES AND EFFECTIVENESS

In the context of hyper digitalization, assessing learning outcomes and effectiveness poses unique challenges due to the diverse nature of online teaching and virtual labs. This section examines the complexities of evaluating student learning in digital environments and proposes strategies for ensuring the validity and reliability of assessments.

### 7.1 Challenges in Evaluating Online Learning

Assessing learning outcomes in online teaching and virtual labs requires careful consideration of various factors, including the authenticity, validity, and reliability of assessments. Traditional assessment methods may need to be adapted or supplemented to accommodate

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

the asynchronous, remote, and technology-mediated nature of digital education. Moreover, concerns regarding cheating, plagiarism, and academic integrity may arise in online assessment settings, necessitating the implementation of robust measures to ensure the validity and fairness of evaluations.

### 7.2 Validity and Reliability of Online Assessments

Ensuring the validity and reliability of online assessments is essential for accurately measuring student learning outcomes. Assessment tasks should align closely with learning objectives and course content to ensure that they effectively measure the intended competencies and skills. Additionally, assessments should be designed to minimize biases and errors, with clear criteria for scoring and evaluation. Utilizing diverse assessment methods, such as quizzes, exams, essays, projects, and peer evaluations, can provide a comprehensive view of student performance and enhance the validity and reliability of assessment outcomes.

### 7.3 Strategies for Measuring Learning Gains

Measuring learning gains and evaluating the effectiveness of online teaching and virtual labs require systematic approaches and robust data analysis techniques. Learning analytics tools and data-driven insights can provide valuable information on student engagement, progress, and achievement, enabling educators to identify areas for improvement and tailor instructional strategies accordingly. Moreover, formative assessment practices, such as frequent feedback, self-assessment, and peer review, can empower students to monitor their own learning progress and take ownership of their academic development.

Incorporating both qualitative and quantitative assessment methods can offer a more comprehensive understanding of student learning outcomes and effectiveness in digital education. Educators should leverage technology-enhanced assessment tools, analytics platforms, and assessment frameworks to gather meaningful data and insights into student performance. Additionally, ongoing evaluation and iterative refinement of assessment practices are essential for ensuring continuous improvement and enhancing the quality of online teaching and virtual labs in the hyper-digitalized educational landscape.

## 8. ENHANCING TEACHER PREPAREDNESS AND SUPPORT

Amidst the rapid evolution of digital education, ensuring that educators are adequately prepared and supported in leveraging technology effectively is paramount. This section explores the challenges faced by teachers in adapting to digital pedagogies and proposes strategies for enhancing their preparedness and support.

### 8.1 Professional Development Needs

Many educators may lack the necessary skills and training to navigate the complexities of digital teaching and learning. Professional development programs must be designed to address these needs, equipping teachers with the knowledge, skills, and confidence to effectively integrate technology into their instructional practices. Training initiatives should

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

cover a range of topics, including digital literacy, online pedagogy, technology tools and platforms, and data privacy and security protocols.

### 8.2 Support Systems for Digital Pedagogy

Educators require ongoing support and mentorship to effectively implement digital pedagogies and navigate the challenges of hyper digitalization. Establishing support systems, such as peer networks, online communities, and mentorship programs, can provide teachers with opportunities for collaboration, knowledge-sharing, and peer learning. Additionally, instructional design teams, technology specialists, and educational consultants can offer personalized guidance and support to educators in developing and implementing innovative teaching strategies.

### 8.3 Teacher Well-being in the Digital Classroom

The transition to digital teaching and learning can place significant demands on educators, leading to burnout, stress, and fatigue. Prioritizing teacher well-being and mental health is essential for sustaining effective teaching practices in the digital classroom. Institutions should offer resources and initiatives aimed at promoting work-life balance, managing workload pressures, and fostering a supportive work environment. Moreover, fostering a culture of collaboration, appreciation, and recognition can enhance teacher morale and job satisfaction in the digital teaching landscape.

## 9. STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AND MOTIVATION

Student engagement and motivation are critical components of successful learning experiences, particularly in the digital realm. This section explores strategies for enhancing student engagement and motivation in online teaching and virtual labs.

### 9.1 Strategies for Enhancing Student Engagement

1. **Interactive Learning Activities**: Incorporate interactive elements such as quizzes, polls, discussions, and collaborative projects to actively engage students in the learning process.
2. **Multimedia Content**: Utilize multimedia resources such as videos, animations, and simulations to enhance engagement and cater to diverse learning preferences.
3. **Virtual Labs and Experiments**: Provide hands-on learning experiences through virtual labs and experiments, allowing students to apply theoretical knowledge in practical contexts.
4. **Gamification**: Integrate gamification elements such as points, badges, and leaderboards to create a sense of competition and motivation among students.
5. **Personalized Learning Paths**: Offer personalized learning paths based on students' interests, abilities, and learning styles, allowing them to progress at their own pace and focus on areas of interest.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

### 9.2 Addressing Motivational Challenges in Virtual Environments

1. **Clear Learning Objectives**: Clearly communicate learning objectives and expectations to students, helping them understand the relevance and importance of the learning material.
2. **Feedback and Recognition**: Provide timely and constructive feedback to students on their progress and achievements, fostering a sense of accomplishment and motivation.
3. **Goal Setting**: Encourage students to set specific, achievable goals for their learning journey, empowering them to take ownership of their academic success.
4. **Peer Collaboration and Support**: Facilitate peer collaboration and support networks, allowing students to learn from each other, share resources, and provide mutual encouragement.
5. **Intrinsic Motivation**: Foster intrinsic motivation by emphasizing the intrinsic value of learning and encouraging curiosity, exploration, and self-directed learning.

### 9.3 Promoting Equity in Participation and Interaction

1. **Accessibility**: Ensure that learning materials, resources, and activities are accessible to all students, regardless of their background, abilities, or circumstances.
2. **Inclusive Design**: Adopt inclusive design principles to accommodate diverse learning needs and preferences, including those related to language, culture, and disability.
3. **Equitable Participation**: Create opportunities for equitable participation and interaction among all students, valuing diverse perspectives and contributions.
4. **Support Services**: Provide support services such as tutoring, mentoring, and counseling to address the individual needs and challenges of students, promoting a supportive and inclusive learning environment.
5. **Community Building**: Foster a sense of belonging and community among students through virtual forums, social media groups, and peer mentorship programs, promoting mutual support and collaboration.

By implementing these strategies, educators can create dynamic, engaging, and inclusive learning environments that promote student engagement, motivation, and success in online teaching and virtual labs.

## 9. CONCLUSION: NAVIGATING THE CHALLENGES OF HYPER DIGITALIZATION

Hyper digitalization has revolutionized education, offering unprecedented opportunities for innovation, accessibility, and collaboration. However, navigating the complexities of digital education presents a myriad of challenges that must be addressed to ensure equitable and effective learning outcomes for all.

Throughout this survey, we have explored the various issues arising from hyper digitalization in online teaching and virtual labs. From technological infrastructure challenges to socio-economic inequities, privacy concerns, and pedagogical adaptation, the landscape of digital education is multifaceted and dynamic.

Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from educators, policymakers, and stakeholders across the educational ecosystem. Investments in broadband infrastructure,

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

digital device accessibility, and professional development programs are essential for bridging the digital divide and ensuring universal access to quality education.

Furthermore, fostering a culture of privacy awareness, cybersecurity resilience, and ethical data use is critical for safeguarding student privacy and maintaining trust in digital education environments.

Pedagogical innovation, supported by robust teacher preparedness and ongoing support systems, is key to unlocking the transformative potential of technology in education. By embracing digital pedagogies, fostering collaboration, and promoting student engagement, educators can create dynamic and inclusive learning experiences that empower students to thrive in the digital age.

In conclusion, while the challenges of hyper digitalization are significant, so too are the opportunities for positive change and innovation. By working together to address these challenges and leverage the potential of digital technologies, we can build a more equitable, accessible, and effective education system that prepares students for success in the 21st century and beyond.

**REFERENCES**

1. Riki Perdana, Jumadi Jumadi, Dadan Rosana, Riwayani Riwayani, "The Online Laboratory Simulation with Concept Mapping and Problem Based Learning (Ols-Cmpbl): Is It Effective in Improving Students' Digital Literacy Skills", Cakrawala Pendidikan, Vol. 39, No. 2, June 2020, doi:10.21831/cp.v39i2.31491.
2. Kara Nance, Brian Hay, Ronald Dodge, Alex Seazzu and Steve Burd, "Virtual Laboratory Environments: Methodologies for Educating Cybersecurity Researchers", Methodological Innovations Online (2009) 4(3) 3-14.
3. Joseph O'Brien, "Are We Preparing Young People for 21st –Century Citizenship with 20th-Century Thinking? A Case for a Virtual Laboratory of Democracy", Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education [Online serial], 2008, 8(2), 125-157.
4. Carlos Eduardo Pereira, Suenoni Paladin, Frederico Menine Schaf, "Control and Automation Engineering Education: combining physical, remote and virtual labs", 2012 – 9th International Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals and Devices.
5. Dieter Müller, F. Wilhelm Bruns, Heinz-Hermann Erbe, Bernd Robben and Yong-Ho Yoo, "Mixed Reality Learning Spaces for Collaborative Experimentation: A Challenge for Engineering Education and Training", iJOE International Journal of Online Engineering, 2007.
6. Suzana Uran, Karel Jezernik, "Virtual Laboratory for Creative Control Design Experiments", IEEE Transactions on Education, Vol. 51, No. 1, February 2008.
7. Ahmed A. Saleh, Hazem M. El-Bakry, and Taghreed T. Asfour, Nikos Mastorakis, "Adaptive E-Learning Framework for Digital Design", Proceedings of the 9th WSEAS International Conference on Telecommunications and Informatics, January 2010.
8. C. C. KO, Ben M. Chen, S. H. Chen, V. Ramakrishnan, R. Chen, S. Y Hu and Y Zhuang, "A Larae-Scale Web-Based Virtual OSCI I Loscope Laboratory Experiment", Engineering Science and Education Journal April 2000.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022


9. Joon Son, Chinedum Irrechukwu, Patrick Fitzgibbons, "A Comparison of Virtual Lab Solutions for Online Cyber Security Education", Communications of the IIMA: 2012 Vol. 12: Iss. 4, Article 5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.58729/1941-6687.1200.
10. George Papanastasiou, Athanasios Drigas, Charalabos Skianis, Miltiadis Lytras, Effrosyni Papanastasiou, "Virtual and augmented reality effects on K‐12, higher and tertiary education students' twenty‐first century skills" Virtual Reality, August 2018, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-018-0363-2.
11. Lara K.Goudsouzian, Patricia Riola, Karen Ruggles, Pranshu Gupta, Michelle A. Mondoux, "Integrating Cell and Molecular Biology Concepts: Comparing Learning Gains and Self-Efficacy in Corresponding Live and Virtual Undergraduate Laboratory Experiencesws", Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 30 April 2018.
12. Rodolfo E. Avila, Maria E. Samar, Kapil Sugand, David Metcalfe, Jessica Evans, Peter H. Abrahams, "The First South American Free Online Virtual Morphology Laboratory: Creating History", Creative Education, 2013. Vol.4, No.10A, 6-17.
13. Christian Willems, Wesam Dawoud, Thomas Klingbeil, and Christoph Meinel, "Protecting Tele-Lab – Attack Vectors and Countermeasures for a Remote Virtual IT Security Lab", International Journal of Digital Society (IJDS), Volume 1, Issue 2, June 2010.
14. Tao Ma, Xinhua Xiao, William Wee, Chia Yung Han, Xuefu Zhou, "A 3D Virtual Learning System for STEM Education", Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011 adfa, p. 1, 2011.
15. S. Grunwald, V. Ramasundaram, G.L. Bruland, D.K. Jesseman, "Expanding Distance Education in the Spatial Sciences Through Virtual Learning Entities and a Virtual GIS Computer Laboratory", Journal of Distance Education Technologies, 5(1), 5 -6 , January-March 2007.
16. V. B. Hans and S. J. Crasta, "Digitalization in the 21st Century – Impact on Learning and Doing", Journal of Global Economy, Volume 15 No 1, March, 2019.
17. Svitlana Postova, Svitlana Karpliuk, Olena Vdovina, Oksana Nakonechna, Oleksandr Khoroshev, Iryna Chernova, "Evolution of Educational Activity: Digitalization of Information Space of Distance Education", IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, VOL.21 No.9, September 2021.
18. Richard Watermeyer & Tom Crick & Cathryn Knight & Janet Goodall, "COVID-19 and Digital Disruption in UK Universities: Afflictions and Affordances of Emergency Online Migration", Higher Education (2021) 81:623–641, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00561-y.
19. Tuncer CAN, "Learning and Teaching Languages Online: A Constructivist Approach", Novitas-ROYAL, 2009, Vol.: 3(1), 60-74.
20. Marcel Satsky Kerr, Kimberly Rynearson, Marcus C. Kerr, "Innovative Educational Practice: Using Virtual Labs in the Secondary Classroom", The Journal of Educators Online, Volume 1, Number 1, July 2004.
21. Tiina Lynch, Ioana Ghergulescu, "Review of Virtual Labs as the Emerging Technologies for Teaching Stem Subjects".

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876




22. Tracey A. Stuckey Mickell, Bridget D. Stuckey Danner, "Virtual Labs in the Online Biology Course: Student Perceptions of Effectiveness and Usability", MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching, Vol. 3, No. 2, June 2007.
23. Rakhi Radhamani, Hemalatha Sasidharakurup, Gopika Sujatha, Bipin Nair, Krishnashree Achuthan, and Shyam Diwakar, "Virtual Labs Improve Student's Performance in a Classroom", eLEOT 2014, LNICST 138, pp. 138–146, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-13293-8_17.
24. Ranjan Bose, "Virtual Labs Project: A Paradigm Shift in Internet-Based Remote Experimentation", IEEE Access, Volume 1, 2013.
25. Tracie Delgado, Shun-Je Bhark, Joshua Donahue, "Pandemic Teaching: Creating and Teaching Cell Biology Labs Online During COVID-19", wiley Biochem Mol Biol Educ. 2021; 49: 32–37.
26. S. Diwakar, D. Kumar, R. Radhamani, H. Sasidharakurup, N. Nizar, K. Achuthan, P. Nedungadi, R. Raman, and B. Nair, "Complementing Education via Virtual Labs: Implementation and Deployment of Remote Laboratories and Usage Analysis in South Indian Villages", International Journal of Online Engineering (iJOE) · March 2016.
27. Shimba, Martin, Mahenge, Michael P. J., Sanga, Camilius A., "Virtual Labs Versus Hands-on Labs for Teaching and Learning Computer Networking: A Comparison Study", International Journal of Research Studies in Educational Technology, 2017 Volume 6 Issue 1, 43-58.
28. Dongfeng Liu, Priscila Valdiviezo-Díaz, Guido Riofrio, Yi-Meng Sun, Rodrigo Barba, "Integration of Virtual Labs into Science E-learning", ScienceDirect Procedia Computer Science 75 (2015) 95 – 102.
29. Ch. Tsichouridis, D. Vavougios, M. Batsila, G.S. Ioannidis, "The Optimum Equilibrium when Using Experiments in Teaching – Where Virtual and Real Labs Stand in Science and Engineering Teaching Practice", iJET – Vol. 14, No. 23, 2019.
30. K. Raja, J. Shiny Duela, Kavitha Duraipandian, Sushila Rajan and Mithileysh Sathiyanarayanan, "VIRAL: The Effectiveness of Virtual Lab Teaching in Developing Higher Order Thinking Skills", November 2021, DOI: 10.1109/OT4ME53559.2021.9638861.
31. Gunawan, G., Suranti, N. M. Y., Nisrina, N., Herayanti, L., "Students' Problem-Solving Skill in Physics Teaching with Virtual Labs", International Journal of Pedagogy and Teacher Education (IJPTE), Vol. 2 | Focus Issue-July 2018.
32. Shyam Diwakar, Rakhi Radhamani, Gopika Sujatha, Hemalatha Sasidharakurup, Akhila Shekhar, Krishnashree Achuthan, Prema Nedungadi, Raghu Raman, "Usage and Diffusion of Biotechnology Virtual Labs for Enhancing University Education in India's Urban and Rural Areas", June 2014, DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-6154-7.ch004.
33. Shyam Diwakar, Rakhi Radhamani, Hemalatha Sasidharakurup, Dhanush Kumar, Nijin Nizar, Krishnashree Achuthan and Bipin Nair, "Assessing Students and Teachers Experience on Simulation and Remote Biotechnology Virtual Labs: A Case Study with a Light Microscopy Experiment", eLEOT 2015, LNICST 160, pp. 44–51, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-28883-3_6.




IJFANS International Journal of Food And Nutritional Sciences
Official Publication of International Association of Food and Nutrition Scientists

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 Journal Volume 11, Iss 12, 2022

34. Harry E. Keller and Edward E. Keller, "Making Real Virtual Labs", The Science Education Review, 4(1), 2005.
35. M. Travassos Valdez, Maria João M. Martins, F. P. Maciel Barbosa, "Virtual Labs in Electrical Engineering Education - The VEMA Environment".
36. Ton de Jong, Sofoklis Sotiriou and Denis Gillet, "Innovations in STEM Education: the Go-Lab Federation of Online Labs", Smart Learning Environments 2014, 1:3, http://www.slejournal.com/content/1/1/3.