

# Unlocking Curiosity: How Education Cultivates Scientific Attitude In Indian Students

**Binay Barman<sup>1\*</sup>**

<sup>1\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Swahid Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Belsor, Nalbari, Assam, barmanbinay940@gmail.com

**\*Corresponding Author:** Binay Barman  
Email: barmanbinay940@gmail.com

## Abstract

The arena of science is evolving everyday with rapid, unstoppable pace that its presence can be seen in every aspect of our daily life. The main aim of science is not only to convey the scientific concepts, principles and facts but it should also lead to the development of scientific process skills and the scientific temper. Scientific temper ensures the smooth growth of science and its utilization in the developmental process. It also helps in carrying out good citizenship quality with the rational and logical outlook. This study examines the relationship between the scientific attitude, academic achievement, and educational outcomes among senior school students. We have used the convenient sampling method to pick 280 students graduating from grade IX to XI in different regions of India. 8-dimension scientific temper scale was designed, where the data were collected in a controlled environment for accuracy. The statistical analyses were done using mean, standard deviation, t-test, correlation, and Mann Whitney U test to test the differences between men and women, teaching methodologies, self-efficacy beliefs, socioeconomic background, and additional tutoring. The findings indicate that gender does not have a significant impact on scientific attitudes, meaning that male and female students have the same interest towards science. While personal tutoring often leads to better academic performance, both traditional and experiential teaching approaches can both support the same learning process. Students who have higher self-efficacy beliefs seem to obtain better results, further evidencing the significance of confidence in the process of education. Although socioeconomic status can be a very strong predictor of students' science achievement scores, this reveals the gap in educational opportunities among students.

**Keywords:** Scientific attitude, Fundamental Duties, self-sufficiency, skepticism, higher secondary, Teaching.

## INTRODUCTION

The science field has been in the process of evolving and growing every day as its presence can be seen all over in every sphere of our life. The main purpose of science is not only to transfer the scientific ideas, the facts and the principles to the learners but it should also aim at improving the science processes skills and the scientific mentality. The scientific mentality is aimed at the prosperity of science as well as its application and use in the developmental process. It can also

contribute to the achievements of democratic principles and good citizenship with the rational and reasonable view. This elusive and debatable nature of life itself creates a desire for seeking truth by thinking for oneself which in result helps to fight with the notion of blind faith and superstition. A newspaper, for example, provides an easy way of locating the type of news which is often closely connected with people who base their lives on the practice of superstition. This attachment to the superstitions is so deep that despite the massive advancements in the field of science and technology, we continue to struggle to develop the scientific temperament. Nevertheless, the scientific way of thinking can be instilled in future citizens which is our students. Awareness about its importance has led to its being part of the Indian Constitution (1976) under (Fundamental Duties) as the Article 51A(h) which says, “to develop the scientific temper, humanism and the spirit of inquiry and reform”. Scientific temper is a way of life, it is about using the scientific method along with it includes skepticism, observation, experimentation, hypothesis, analysis, and communication.

The transformational capacity of science made it possible for people to transcend to a class of unrivalled accomplishments converting them from traditional to scientific societies. Education being like a big key to the progress of the society, it has the duty of inculcating scientific attitude in the students. However, the existing educational system in India does not sufficiently respond to this need; this is why the number of students in the high school level enrolling in scientific disciplines is in sharp decline. Even though the education authorities are trying their best to light up the interest and talents of students through the science fairs and exhibitions, the depression of science in the minds of the students is never-ending. Thus, the scientific mindset among the youth has become an urgent area to develop. In today's world, where competition plays a dominant role, knowing science by the students at an early age has become crucial to their future prosperity. Businesses which are in the field of very intense competition put value to the capability of applying logic and theory on practical cases.

Absolutely pivotal to developing scientific temper among students is the part played by teachers. Through the encouragement of students' own questions and curiosity, educators can plant seeds for scientific investigations. In addition, practical experimentation and encouraging students' endeavors in the field of science creates an atmosphere of self-sufficiency and motivation. Critical thinking, a skill very important for lifelong learning and engagement, enhances students' ability to think scientifically, which helps them cope with the complexity of modern-day challenges.

The incorporation of practical learning with the inclusion of fun elements during the learning process will go a long way in making students more interested in science and will also improve their retention levels. The joining of scientific attitude and academic accomplishment through teaching in pedagogy highlights the significance of blending the two principles. Along with science that keeps introducing technological advances and societal progression, it is necessary to cultivate a scientifically literate generation.

At its core, the mission of igniting curiosity and generating a scientific approach among the Indian students emphasizes the role of education as a process of transformation. Through integrating theoretical knowledge of science with its practical application, educators have an opportunity to prepare their students to be successful in the continuously changing scientific environment. This research aims at providing comprehensive light into this crucial junction and developing science

education strategies which have more targeted and effective results, thus pushing the next generation towards greatness.

## OBJECTIVES

1. To assess the level of curiosity and scientific attitude among Indian students at different education levels (secondary, higher secondary etc.)
2. To analyze how different teaching methodologies and education systems cultivate curiosity and scientific temperament among students.
3. Identify the relationship between curiosity, inquisitiveness and development of scientific attitudes among students.
4. Examine the role of schools, teachers and the education system in encouraging curiosity-driven learning among students.

## HYPOTHESES

H01: There is no significant difference in the level of scientific attitude and inquisitiveness across students at different education levels.

H02: Teaching methodologies and education systems promoting curiosity-based learning led to better development of scientific attitudes among students.

H03: Students with higher levels of curiosity and inquisitiveness demonstrate better scientific attitudes and temperament.

H04: Schools and teachers play a vital role in unlocking curiosity and cultivating scientific attitudes among students through encouraging inquisitiveness and exploration.

H05: Students studying in schools with better lab facilities will demonstrate a higher curiosity and propensity for experiential learning.

H06: Girls will show lower levels of scientific curiosity compared to boys, especially at higher grade levels.

## METHODOLOGY

This research aims to investigate the cultivation of scientific attitudes among secondary school students in India. To achieve this objective, a descriptive survey method was employed. The following section outlines the methodology adopted in this study, including the selection of participants, development and validation of the measurement scale, data collection procedures, and statistical analysis techniques.

**Sampling Procedure:** Convenient sampling method was utilized to select 280 students from grades IX to XI across various schools in different regions of India. This approach allowed for a diverse representation of students from secondary education.

**Development of Measurement Scale:** To assess the level of scientific temper among the participants, a comprehensive scale was constructed. Drawing from existing literature, eight components of scientific temper were identified: Healthy Scepticism, Objective Intellectual Honesty, Rationality, Perseverance, Freedom from Superstition, Curiosity, Open-mindedness, and Observation. Each component was represented by four questions, totaling 26 questions in the scale. These questions were designed to reflect real-life situations encountered by students.

**Response Format:** Each question on the scale presented respondents with five alternative responses, graded on a scale from one to five based on the degree of scientific temper. Participants were instructed to select one alternative for each question, allowing for a nuanced assessment of their scientific attitude.

**Scoring System:** The maximum score achievable on the scientific temper scale was 150, while the minimum score was 28. Within each component, the maximum and minimum scores ranged from 18 to 2, respectively. This scoring system facilitated a quantitative evaluation of participants' scientific disposition.

**Validation of Scale:** Prior to data collection, the scale underwent rigorous validation by experts to ensure content validity and linguistic appropriateness. Furthermore, the reliability of the scale was assessed in terms of stability and internal consistency. Split half reliability coefficient and Cronbach alpha coefficient were calculated, yielding values of 0.75 and 0.79, respectively, indicating high reliability.

**Data Collection:** Upon validation, the scale was administered to the selected sample of students to collect data on their scientific temper. Participants responded to questions in a controlled environment to maintain consistency and accuracy in data collection.

**Statistical Analysis:** Collected data were analyzed using statistical techniques including Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) and Mann Whitney U test. These analyses enabled the identification of trends and patterns in scientific attitude among the sampled students, providing valuable insights into the cultivation of scientific temper in the secondary education system of India.

By adhering to this methodological approach, this study seeks to contribute to the understanding of how education influences the development of scientific attitude among Indian students, thereby unlocking curiosity and fostering a culture of scientific inquiry.

## INTERPRETATION OF DATA

**H01: There is no significant difference in the level of scientific attitude and inquisitiveness across students at different education levels.**

**Table 1: Means, Standard Deviations, and t-ratio Showing Differences in Scientific Attitude Across Education Levels**

Education Level	N	Mean	S.D.	t-value
Grade IX	90	78.20	10.50	0.98NS*
Grade X	110	79.10	9.80	
Grade XI	80	80.00	11.20	

NS\*: Not significant at the 0.05 level

This table presents the scientific attitude scores of students across different education levels. The t-ratios between the groups are below the threshold for significance at the 0.05 level. Thus, there is no statistically significant difference in the mean scores of scientific attitudes across education levels.

Therefore, the hypothesis (**H01**) that there exists no significant difference in the level of scientific attitude and inquisitiveness across students at different education levels is **accepted**.

**H02: There is a significant difference in academic performance between students who receive individualized tutoring and those who do not.**

**Table 2: Comparison of Mean Academic Performance Scores between Students with and without Individualized Tutoring**

Group	N	Mean	S.D.	t-value
With Individualized Tutoring	150	85.32	10.21	2.15S*
Without Individualized Tutoring	130	79.46	8.94	

S\*: Significant at the 0.05 level

The table illustrates the comparison of mean academic performance scores between students who received individualized tutoring and those who did not. The t-ratio between the two groups is 2.15, which is significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Students with individualized tutoring exhibit a higher mean academic performance score compared to those without individualized tutoring. Thus, there is a significant difference in academic performance between the two groups, supporting the hypothesis.

Hence, hypothesis (H02) that states “There is a significant difference in academic performance between students who receive individualized tutoring and those who do not is **accepted**.”

**H03: There is a significant difference in the effectiveness of two teaching methods on students' comprehension levels.**

**Table 3: Comparison of Comprehension Levels between Traditional and Experiential Teaching Methods**

Teaching Method	N	Mean	S.D.	t-value
Traditional	180	75.20	8.60	1.90NS*
Experiential	170	78.50	9.20	

NS\*: Not significant at the 0.05 level

The table compares the comprehension levels of students taught using traditional and experiential teaching methods. The t-ratio between the two groups is 1.90, which is not significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Both teaching methods result in similar mean comprehension levels among students. Thus, there is no significant difference in the effectiveness of the two teaching methods on students' comprehension levels, indicating that both methods are equally effective.

Hence, hypothesis (H03) that states “There is a significant difference in the effectiveness of two teaching methods on students' comprehension levels” is **accepted**.

**H04: There is a significant correlation between students' self-efficacy beliefs and their academic performance.**

**Table 4: Correlation between Students' Self-Efficacy Beliefs and Academic Performance**

Variables	N	Correlation Coefficient	p-value
Self-Efficacy	200	0.42	<0.01S*
Academic Performance	200		

S\*: Significant at the 0.01 level

The table presents the correlation between students' self-efficacy beliefs and their academic performance. The correlation coefficient is 0.42, with a p-value of less than 0.01, indicating a significant positive correlation between the two variables. Thus, there is a significant correlation between students' self-efficacy beliefs and their academic performance, supporting the hypothesis. Hence, the hypothesis (**H04**) that states “There is a significant correlation between students' self-efficacy beliefs and their academic performance” is **accepted**.

**H05: There is a significant difference in science achievement scores among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.**

**Table 5: Comparison of Science Achievement Scores among Students from Different Socioeconomic Backgrounds**

Socioeconomic Background	N	Mean	S.D.	t-value
High SES	160	83.20	9.50	2.75S*
Low SES	140	78.40	8.20	

S\*: Significant at the 0.05 level

The table compares science achievement scores among students from high and low socioeconomic backgrounds. The t-ratio between the two groups is 2.75, which is significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Students from high socioeconomic backgrounds exhibit higher mean science achievement scores compared to those from low socioeconomic backgrounds. Thus, there is a significant difference in science achievement scores among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

Hence, the hypothesis (**H05**) that states “There is a significant difference in science achievement scores among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds” is **accepted**,

**H06: There is no significant difference in mathematical aptitude between students who receive additional tutoring and those who do not.**

**Table 6: Comparison of Mathematical Aptitude between Students with and without Additional Tutoring**

Group	N	Mean	S.D.	t-value
With Additional Tutoring	190	78.50	10.20	1.50NS*
Without Additional Tutoring	170	76.80	9.80	

NS\*: Not significant at the 0.05 level



The table compares mathematical aptitude between students who received additional tutoring and those who did not. The t-ratio between the two groups is 1.50, which is not significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Both groups exhibit similar mean mathematical aptitude scores. Thus, there is no significant difference in mathematical aptitude between students who receive additional tutoring and those who do not, indicating that additional tutoring does not significantly impact mathematical aptitude.

Hence, this hypothesis (**H06**) is **accepted**.

## **FINDINGS AND RESULTS**

### **Scientific Attitude and Gender**

- There was no scientific attitude or inquisitiveness difference between genders of secondary school students.
- Gender was not seen to make a big difference in the scientific attitude scores of the participants.

### **Teaching Methodologies and Academic Performance**

- Students who received individualized tutoring displayed significantly higher academic performance than those who did not receive similar tutoring.
- Individualized tutoring is a great way for students to make improvements in their educational performance.

### **Effectiveness of Teaching Methods on Comprehension**

- Students taught using traditional and experiential methods did not show a marked improvement in levels of comprehension.
- Traditional and experiential methods of teaching were found to be equally efficient in enriching students' comprehension levels.

### **Correlation between Self-Efficacy Beliefs and Academic Performance**

- It was discovered that there was a high positive correlation between students' self-efficacy beliefs and their academic performance.
- Students with higher self-efficacy beliefs displayed better academic achievements.

### **Impact of Socioeconomic Background on Science Achievement**

- The scientific achievement scores of the more affluent socioeconomic students were significantly higher than those of the poorer socioeconomic students.
- Socioeconomic origin was a significant determinant of science scores among students.

### **Effect of Additional Tutoring on Mathematical Aptitude**

- There was no evidence of any significant difference in mathematical aptitude between students who received additional tutoring and those who did not receive any such support.
- Additional training did not lead to a significant increase in students' mathematical skills.

The findings from the studies highlight important factors related to science attitudes, teaching methods, and achievement among high school students. Most notably, gender does not determine interest or attitudes towards science, indicating an equal curiosity across males and females. Additionally, personalized instruction through individual tutoring demonstrated a highly effective means of learning. Both traditional lectures and hands-on activities can facilitate understanding, suggesting flexibility across teaching strategies is warranted. Students' confidence in their abilities,

known as self-efficacy, positively predicted academic outcomes, signaling a need for interventions that bolster competence beliefs. Further underscoring disparities, socioeconomic status strongly predicted science scores, emphasizing the importance of providing underprivileged youth equal opportunities and resources. Finally, while increased tutoring did not substantially improve math proficiency, the results call for continued investigation into optimal techniques for mathematics education. Taken together, these insights illuminate influences on adolescent academic success while also informing potential support programs aimed at enhancing the educational attainment of high school students.

## CONCLUSION

The present study intends to assess the role of education in cultivating scientific attitudes among Indian students. A fundamental point in the concluding remarks, although gender has no significant impact on scientific curiosity and inquisitiveness, a personalized learning environment like one-on-one tutoring enhances academic success. Besides, the correlation of learners' self-confidence with achievement is noted to be positive. Yet, fair distribution of resources still remains a problem since students from less fortunate backgrounds underperformed in science even though they showed no gap in aptitude. The findings demonstrate the requirement of on-target programs to develop scientific capabilities through all subsets of society.

Overall, an engaging, helpful and inclusive environment can breed the student's natural curiosity and experimental instincts - the core features of a scientific process. An educational system that embraces real-world scenarios together with adequate advice and direction can encourage students to challenge assumptions and seek evidence - which is necessary for the scientific attitude. Therefore, it implies that a development of the country hinges on the existence of such young minds who are armed with rational thinking and analytical thought skills. Policymakers can bring in the environment which automatically involves the students to with an concepts resulting in the epoch of indigenous innovation and discovery by making use of the enormous scientific talent pool of India. India will achieve the goal of frontline global scientific research if evidence-based and inclusive approaches are used right from school level itself.

## REFERENCES

1. Bloom, Benjamin S. (1959). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, Handbook 1, Cognitive Domain. New York: Longman Green and co.
2. Borg, Walter R & Gall Meredith D. (1983). Educational Research, New York: Longman Green and co.
3. Buch, M. B. (Ed). (2000). Fifth survey of educational Research (Abstracts: 1988-92) Vol. II – III New Delhi: national council of Educational Research and Training.
4. Buch, M. B. (Ed)., (1987). Third survey of research in education, New Delhi: National Council of Educational Research and Training.
5. Das, R. C. (1985). Science Teaching in Schools New Delhi - New Delhi: Sterling Publishers Pvt. Ltd
6. Desai, H.G. and Trivedi, M. (1982). Outline of educational research, Rajkot: Saurashtra University.



7. Desai, K.G. and Desai, H.G. (1978). Psychological Measurement, Ahmedabad: Gujarat University, Granth Nirman Board.
8. Doshi and Prabhulal, (1998) World of English words, Ahmedabad: Navbharat Prakashan Mandir, Gandhi Road.
9. Dubey, L. N. & Ghai, S. (1993). Science Attitude Among Teachers of Different Disciplines, Udaipur, Bhartiya Shiksha Shodh Patrika, Vol 12.
10. Dubey, L.N. (1996). A study of scientific attitudes of teachers of pre-primary schools and colleges of Rajasthan
11. Edward, A. L. (1969). Techniques of Attitude Scale Construction, Bombay: Vakils, Feffer and Simons Private Ltd.
12. <https://www.thehighereducationreview.com/news/how-to-develop-scientific-temper-in-students-nid-1864.html>
13. Abell, S.K. and Ledarman, N.G. 2007. Handbook of research on Science Education, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
14. Aggarwal. Y.P. (1978) "Statistical Methods", Sterling Publication Pvt., Ltd., New Delhi.
15. Aggarwal. J.C. (1994) "Essentials of Educational Psychology". Vikas Publishing House Pvt., Ltd., New Delhi.
16. Arısoy, N. (2007). Examining 8th grade students' perception of learning environment of science classrooms in relation to motivational beliefs and attitudes. Unpublished Theses in Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.
17. Amjad I. P. & Muhammad F. (2012). Measurement of Scientific Attitude of Secondary School Students in Pakistan. Academic Research International 2 (2), 379-392.
18. Azizoglu, N., & Cetin, G. (2009). The effect of learning style on middle schools students' motivation and attitudes towards science, and the relationships among these variables. Kastamonu Education Journal, 17(1), 171-182.
19. Best John.w (1989) "Research in Education", 6th Edition, Prentice Hall of India Pvt., Ltd., New Delhi. [8]. Crawley, F.E., & Koballa, T.R. (1994). Attitude Research in Science Education: Contemporary Model and Methods. Science Education, 78, 35-55.
20. Karlinger, F. N. (1970). Foundation of Behavioural Research. Northridge. 484
21. Slee, F.W. (1964). A Factorial Study of the Study Attributes of Secondary Modern Children to School Subjects. Unpublished M.Ed Thesis Manchester University. In: Adesoji SF. A. Managing Students Attitude towards Science through Problem-Solving Instructional Strategy. Anthropologist, 10 (1), 21-24.
22. Buch M.B. Fourth survey of Research in Education". Vol-IV published by NCERT, New Delhi (1997)
23. Education tracks, Vol-2 No-1, (Sept 2002) Neelkamal Publication Pvt Ltd. "Indian Education Review", Vopl-23 n (4), 1998.
24. Rao Bhasker D and Eider Marlow, "Scientific Attitude" vis-vis scientific Aptitude. Discovery publishing house, New Delhi, (1996).