ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

Recent development in restorative dental ceramics

Dr. Chetna Arora[1] Dr. Sumita Giri Nishad[2]*, Dr. Shubhra Malik[3]

1. Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad.
2. Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad.
3. Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Santosh Dental College & Hospital, Santosh Deemed to be University, Ghaziabad.

Dr. Sumita Giri Nishad – Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Since the early 1900s, when porcelain jacket crowns were introduced, dentistry The aesthetic appearance of ceramics has been commended. However, there are further factors to consider: additional advantages, durability, and restrictions. This article examines significant advancements in modern dental ceramics and metal-ceramics. Should the restoration's durability and cost per year of care be the determining criteria in treatment planning? This article highlights key breakthroughs in dental ceramics and metal-ceramics.

Keywords: alternatives , conventional, feldspathic , aluminous, porcelains , esthetic , performance , life expectancy.

INTRODUCTION

During the past two decades, several novel types of dental ceramics have been introduced. There are alternatives to standard feldspathic and aluminous porcelains that improve their attractive performance without diminishing their durability. In advertisements for items intended for all-ceramic restorations, the superior strengths of the new ceramics are frequently contrasted with the inferior strengths of feldspathic porcelains used in metalceramic (PFM) restorations. However, all-ceramic crowns are significantly weaker than porcelain-fused-to-metal crowns.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

They have been linked to higher rates of clinical failure than PFM crowns and bridges. Several important questions are raised by this distortion of technical facts. Does the durability of dental ceramics depend on their strength? Should solely mechanical and physical property data serve as the basis for clinical use of a dental ceramic? To get an aesthetic result, what proportion of clinical failures is acceptable to the dentist? Shouldn't tooth conservation, life expectancy of the treated teeth, durability of the restoration, and cost/year of service life be the key considerations in treatment planning, as opposed to aesthetic improvement?

DEVELOPMENTS IN METAL-CERAMICS

Much of the success of metal-ceramic restorations today can be credited to Weinstein and Katz and Weinstein1 and Weinstein1 and Weinstein 2 were granted U.S. patents in 1962 for a gold alloy composition and a feldspathic porcelain developed for the manufacturing of PFM restorations. These patents ensured that porcelain would adhere sufficiently to the metal oxide while creating low tensile strains during cooling.

The Rochette bridge, a more conservative alternative to typical metal-ceramic prosthesis, was launched in 1973.[3] This bridge had relatively wide perforations in the metal that extended from the metal or metal-ceramic pontic section on each side.

These holes served as attachment points for the bridge to the minimally-prepared abutment teeth. This innovation in conservative bridge design predated the Maryland bridge, whose retention portions required an electrolytic etching process. [4,5]

Rexillium III is a Ni-Cr-Be alloy with exceptional adhesion to its oxide. At present, The failure of a resin-bonded prosthesis is more likely to be caused by design, judgement, and material manipulation errors than by material defects. Au-Pd-Ag and Pd-Ag alloys were launched in 1974 as cheap alternatives to metal-ceramic alloys with a high gold content. They were followed by Ni-Cr alloy in 1976, Ni-Cr-Be alloy in 1977, Au-Pd in 1978, and Co-Cr in 1980.

Using Au-Pd alloys prevented the possibility of porcelain discolouration following fire with alloys containing silver.It is likely not a coincidence that porcelain repair techniques and the

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

cast-joined framework technique were introduced in the late 1970s to replace broken porcelain sections and to avoid soldering Ni-Cr alloys, given the early troubles with base metal alloys.In the early 1980s, the aesthetic potential of metalceramic restorations was improved with the use of thinner Ni-Cr and Ni-Cr-Be copings and the introduction of metalceramic crowns with porcelain margins. Another approach, the Inzoma/RPS design, lowered tensile stresses in metalceramic prostheses due to the different thermal expansion rates of metal and porcelain. The pontic was constructed with an interlaced mesh pattern so that porcelain could permeate the pontic casting.Because of its biocompatibility, pure titanium has been introduced as a coping and framework metal for metalceramic restorations.

However, porcelain casting, soldering (brazing), and bonding have proven to be problematic. In order to overcome these obstacles, copy milling is utilised to create duplicate graphite dies and to machine the exterior shape of a titanium crown.The graphite die is then employed as a positive electrode in a spark erosion system to act as a template for the removal of the crown's interior section. In a clinical examination, titanium crowns with an acrylic veneer created using this method were still acceptable after two years.

Materials for allceramic restorations

Since Michigan dentist Dr. Charles Land constructed the first porcelain jacket crown in from 1903 until 1965, when McLean launched the aluminous porcelain jacket crown method, there were few significant developments in dental ceramics. On a substrate of platinum foil, a core of aluminous porcelain was placed and burned using this method.

The crown shape was then finished by applying and firing successive layers of translucent yet brittle porcelain. The foil was removed from the crown after the burning process was complete.McLean and Seed19 attempted to reinforce porcelain jacket crowns constructed with aluminous core porcelain (Vitadur S) by glueing the core to tin-plated and oxidised platinum foil in order to lower failure rates in the posterior regions.

In comparison to typical porcelains used for all-ceramic dental restorations, castable glass systems offer more potential for dental applications due to their ease of fabrication and minimal processing shrinkage.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

Remaining foil would lessen the severity of defects on the ceramic's surface, and enhanced bonding of glass ionomer to the tin oxide layer would reduce the possibility of crown debonding and improve the ceramic's stress distribution. Nonetheless, failure rates for molar crowns in the first five to seven years were greater than 15 percent. These clinical findings suggest that aluminous porcelain jacket crowns should be limited to the anterior teeth only.

CERAMIC VENEERS

Porcelain acid etching determined the success rate. veneers made of etched and bonded porcelain. A two-year evaluation of 200 porcelain veneers revealed that neither dislodgement nor failure occurred. 34 37 porcelain veneers were successful after two years, while nine of 36 resin veneers failed. 35 Little information is available to evaluate the durability of these restorations to that of PFM or PJC crowns over the long term.

There is no doubt that the use of ceramic veneers is more conservative than the use of whole crowns.However, the management of aesthetics is somewhat technique-dependent, as the resin cement, whose colour properties are subject to alter over time, is crucial to the overall appearance.

Posterior restoration materials include amalgam, compacted gold, lab-processed gold alloy, clinically cured composite, lab-processed composite, clinic-processed ceramic (CAD-CAM), and labprocessed ceramic. Even though the focus of this study is on ceramic products, the relative durability of these products in comparison to other materials should be questioned.

Christensen and others37 documented poor performance of ceramic and composite inlays during a two-year period, with the following failure rates for ceramic and resin inlays after two years: Cerapearl-hydroxyapatite glass-ceramic had a two-year failure rate of 39 percent, Cerinate porcelain of 26 percent, Mirage porcelain of 12 percent, Brilliant directly processed resin of 10 percent, Estilux CVS indirectly processed resin of 7 percent, and Dicor glassceramic of 7 percent (6 percent). The two resin systems practically eliminated tooth wear between opposing teeth.In 45 percent and 32 percent of Dicor and Mirage instances, respectively, significant wear of opposing teeth was noted.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

CAD-CAM INLAYS Through CAD-CAM systems, Dicor or other machinable glassceramics may be used to produce machined inlays, onlays, and crowns.[18] The dentist must coat the prepared teeth with a light-reflecting powder, image the preparation with a hand-held intraoral camera, define the borders and anatomical limits on a computer monitor, and then grind in the occlusal structure once the crown or on lay has been installed.

The accuracy of this method is relatively poor, with typical marginal deviations of 100 microns or greater.[20-22].However, with the exception of individuals with a moderate- to high-caries risk, considerable marginal disparities are not regarded a clinical issue when the acid-etch method and resin cement are utilised. This theory requires long-term data on restorations that have been removed to be confirmed.

CONCLUSION

Both materials contribute to the success of ceramic and metal-ceramic restorations techniques. Since the debut of the first porcelain jacket, numerous new materials have been included to maintain the jacket's aesthetic appeal while enhancing its durability. These advancements are examined.

REFERENCES

1. Thompson VP, Del Castillo E, Livaditis GJ. Resin bond to electrolytically etched nonprecious alloys for resin bonded prostheses. J Dent Res 1981;60:377, Abstract No. 265.

2. Eshleman JR, Moon PD, Douglas HB, Stall M. Retentive strength of acid etched fixed prostheses. J Dent Res 1981;60:349, Abstract No. 153.

3. Thompson VP, De RijK W. Clinical evaluation and lifetime predictions for resinbonded prostheses. In: Anusavice KJ, ed. Quality evaluation of dental restorations: criteria for replacement and replacement. Chicago: Quintessence 1989;373-84.

4. Anderson M, Anderson M. Swedish patent No. 8400396-1,1987.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper **© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022**

5. Bergman B, Bessing C, Ericson G, Lundquist P, Nilson H, Anderson M. A 2-year follow-up study of titanium crowns. Acta Odontol Scand 1990;48:113-7.

6. Adachi M, Mackert JR, Parry EE, Fairhurst CW. Oxide adherence and porcelain bonding to titanium and Ti-6A1-4V alloy. J Dent Res 1990; 69:1230-5.

7. Kerschbaum T, Voss R. Guss- und Metall-keramische Verblendkrone im Vergleich-Ergebnisse Einer Nachuntersuchung bei Teilprothesentragem. Dtsch Zahnaerztl Z 1977;32:2006.

8. Coornaert J, Adriaens P, De Boever J. Long-term study of porcelain-fused-to-gold restorations. J Prosthet Dent 1984; 51:338-42.

9. Leempoel PJB, Eschen S, De Haan AFJ, Van't Hof MA. An evaluation of crowns and bridges in a general private practice. J Oral Rehabil 1985;12:515-28.

10. Morris HF. Clinical performance of metal ceramic restorations: A 5-year progress report. In: Anusavice KJ, ed. Quality evaluation of dental restorations: criteria for placement and replacement. Chicago: Quintessence; 1989:325-37.

11. Grossman DG. (1973): Tetrasilicic mica glass-ceramic method. U.S. Patent 3,732,087, May 8,1973. Washington, D.C.:U.S. Patent Office.

12. Grossman DG. Cast glass ceramics. In: O'Brien WJ, ed. Dent Clinics North Am. Philadelphia: Saunders 1985;725-39.

13. Grossman DG. The science of castable glass ceramics. In: Preston JD, ed. Perspectives in dental ceramics. Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Ceramics. Chicago: Quintessence 1988;117-33.

14. McLean J. The future for dental porcelain. In: McLean JW, ed. Dental ceramics. Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Ceramics. Chicago: Quintessence 1983; 14-28.

15. Linkowski G. Langzeituntersuchung bei Einem Vollkeramik-Kronensystem (Cerestore) (Dissertation). University of Zurich 1989.

16. Wohlwend A, Strub JR, Scharer P. Metal ceramic and all-porcelain restorations: current considerations. In t J Prosthodont 1989;2:13-26.

17. Moffa JP. Clinical evaluation of dental restorative materials—Final report. Interagency Agreement No. 1Y01-DE40001- 05. San Francisco:Letterman Army Institute of Research;1988.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 7, Oct 2022

18. Hojjatie B, Anusavice KJ. Threedimensional finite element analysis of glassceramic dental crowns. J Biomechanics 1990;23(ll):1157-66.

19. Anusavice KJ, Hojjatie B, Hu S. Tensile stress in posterior glass-ceramic crowns: effect of flaws and cement voids. In t J

20. Kelly JR, Giordano R, Pober R, Cima MJ. Fracture surface analysis of dental ceramics: clinically failed specimens. In t J Prosthodont 1990;3:430-40.

21. Campbell SD, Kelly JR. The influence of surface preparation on the strength and suface microstructure of a cast dental ceramic. Int J Prosthodont 1989;2:459-66.

22. Seghi RR, Sorensen JÄ, Engleman MJ, Roumanas E, Torrees TJ. Flexural strength of new ceramic materials. J Dent Res 1990;69:299, Abstract No. 1521.

23. Calamia JR. Etched porcelain veneers: the current state of the art. Quintessence Int 1985;16:5-12.

24. Rucker LM, Macente M. Porcelain and resin veneers clinically evaluated: 2-year results. JADA 1990;121:594-6.