

# A Comprehensive Study On Biogas Production

Navneet Kumar, Associate Professor

Department of Chemistry, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email id- navkchem@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** *Biogas is produced by turning various types of biological waste into gas. It's a sustainable, environmentally friendly fuel made completely from locally sourced biofuels that might utilized in a number of ways, including road vehicle fuel and industrial applications. The organic fertilizers gathered all through the production process contribute to the methane era's circular economic impact. This paper discusses the Biogas technologies and environment efficiency, Health, safety and risk assessment and advantages of biogas production. Biogas may be created from a variety of materials. The greatest essential role in the biogas production procedure is played by microorganisms that graze on waste. Biogas production is an environmentally benign method of generating electricity from biomass, and the waste may be utilized as a soil conditioner. The anaerobic biological decomposition of organic materials produces biogas. Methane and carbon dioxide make up the majority of it.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Biogas, Biomethane, Bacteria, Biomethane, Organic.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Biogas is created in biogas facilities when biomass is degraded by bacteria under anaerobic conditions (Hagos et al., 2017). Biomass is divided into three categories: (1) farm waste, liquid sewage, feeding trash, harvesting waste, and energy crops, to name a few examples; (2) trash from private homes and towns, such as biowaste collected separately, marketplace trash, outdated culinary, or food garbage; glycerine and other industry by-products, food processing by-products, or fat separator waste (Wang et al., 2019). In airtight digesters, bacteria convert the organic substance to biogas in several steps. The bacteria are identical to those found in ruminants' prestomachs. (Tabatabaei et al., 2020)

Flammable methanol, like fossil normal gas ( $\text{CH}_4$ ), is the primary component of biogas that impacts the power level of the gases. Biogas has a biogas level ranging from 50 to 75 % depending on the substrate digested in the biogas plant (Tabatabaei et al., 2020). Carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) is the second most important component of biogas, accounting for between 25% and 50% of the total. Water ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), oxygen ( $\text{O}_2$ ), and detects of sulfur ( $\text{S}_2$ ) and hydrogen sulfide are also present in biogas ( $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ ). When biogas is upgraded to biomethane in a biogas treatment plant with approximately 98 percent methane, the biomethane has the same properties as natural gas (Gopal et al., 2021). Biogas may be

transformed to power and heat in cogeneration plants or burned to create heat after simple desulfurization and drying (Xiao et al., 2020). The so-called biomethane may be utilized in all natural gas applications after being treated to natural gas grade. Biogas and biomethane generated from biogas are therefore storage-friendly renewable fuels. They may be used to create motor fuel, power, and heat, making them vital components of a sustainable energy source (Bhatt & Tao, 2020). Biogas can also be used to replace carbon compounds in plastics.

### *1.1 Biogas technologies and environment efficiency:*

Anaerobic bacteria make biogas by degrading organic matter in four steps: hydrolysis, acidification, acetic acid generation, and methane production. Raw biogas is a result of the digestive process that contains 50-75 percent methane, 25-50 percent carbon dioxide, and 2-8% additional gases like nitrogen, oxygen, and trace gases (Abdeshahian et al., 2016). In the initial step, the raw methane should be cleansed by desulfurizing and drying the water vapour loaded methane before it can be transformed into power in engines at the location where it is generated (Monroy-Oropeza et al., 2020).

To allow the bacteria to effectively destroy the substrate, a few essential parameters must be satisfied. These are: (1) anaerobic environment (no oxygen); (2) uniform temperature; (3) optimal nutrition supply; and (4) optimum and uniform pH. A biogas plant's equipment should be capable of meeting these fundamental criteria (Ounsaneha et al., 2021). As a result, a biogas designer must recognize what kind of substrates the operation would employ from the beginning so that the best technology for methane generation might be selected (Gulsen Akbay et al., 2021).

The amount of processing steps, the treatment temperatures, the dry material concentration, and the way the substrates is processed are all factors to consider is supplied are all characteristics of biogas production processes. Biogas facilities that use agricultural wastes like In the microbes (32-428C) temperatures spectrum, fluid fertilizer, harvest residues, and power crops often employ a single-step method with wet fermenting and quasi-continuous feed (Patinvoh et al., 2017). The procedure may be changed based on the criteria the process must fulfill in terms of speed, digesting degree, and hygienic activity. Hydrolysis, for example, as the initial step frequently speeds up the process while simultaneously increasing the degree of deterioration. Raising the processing heat from microbes (32-428C) to thermophilic (45-578C) accelerates up deterioration and improves the healthiness of the substrates. The plug flow fermenting method, which involves combining the substrate with a slow spinning stirrer and pushing it via a horizontal fermenter, has also been related to better health results. Rapid passage from the feeding point to the distribution point is prevented since the material in the digestion is not blended in one run, and the substrate in the digestion spends the least amount of time there. The hygienizing activity of this approach is improved by the forced dwell duration of the substrates (Shakib & Rashid, 2019). A plug flow digester, unlike a full-mix wet digestion system, can often accommodate a larger volume load of organic material per cubic meter of digestive capacity.

### 1.2 Evaluation of health, security, and hazard:

Biogas plants are technological facilities that handle agricultural and food industry byproducts, as well as various forms Organics trash and power plants are two types of organic waste that may be recycled. A digested substance as well as methane are produced during digestion, and in most situations, the digested product is used as fertilizer. Biogas is combustible but not explosive; nevertheless, if methane concentrations of 6-12 percent are present, an explosive atmosphere may emerge (Srikanlayanukul & Suksabye, 2020).

Additional possible risk element is the unregulated discharge of methane or fermented chemicals, as well as any motorized or movement parts of the biogas facility. During the building and operation of a biogas plant, all relevant rules Health and health regulations, as well as equipment and consumer security, should be observed. Several countries have developed sets of technological security guidelines plants based on the size, nature, and environment of the plant, which are, at least in part, dictated by European directives. The restrictions that apply to industrial waste treatment facilities apply to biogas plants developed mainly for the treatment of organic waste from industrial operations or homes. Waste water treatment standards apply to facilities that handle digested sludge from waste water treatment plants. Many biogas plants on farms are governed by agricultural laws, or new restrictions have been developed particularly for them(Guenther-Lübbers et al., 2016).

Every biogas plant should strive to achieve the greatest degree of human and environmental safety feasible. It is critical that individuals who are required to follow safety laws understand them and that they can be administered within the appropriate economic context. As a result, the rules governing the regulations controlling the building and operations of methane facilities on farmers that processing mainly sewage and sustainable primary products may and must vary from those governing biogas systems on farmers that processing mainly dung and green primary goods. In 2011, over 7000 gasifiers was operational in France, the majority of which were in an agricultural environment, the majority of accidents were caused by operator errors. In many instances, the disaster was triggered by leaking biogas deflagrating or exploding (Budiyono et al., 2013).

The poisonous activity of hydrogen sulfide contained in treated biogas or in the biogas plant's feeding area was seldom the cause of an accident(Vijay et al., 2020). There was almost never a situation where the technical standards for the building of biogas plants were insufficient or were not followed it was more often a matter of improper management. As a result, biogas plant operators must undergo extensive training. Another German experience is that biogas plants have fewer accidents during regular than during construction, launch, or maintenance. The people who will be executing the work must be educated and made conscious of the dangers. Aside from the dangers of methane plants' mechanical operation and unregulated methane leakage, there are further concerns, the possibility of pathogenic germs spreading in fields containing digestion leftovers is an issue that is often debated by the general public. This anxiety

is not baseless at first glance, since the substrates in biogas plants might include bacteria, viruses, and parasites that can cause sickness in humans, animals, and plants. In general, the digestive process has an effect on a substrate's health concerns. Different scientific research have proven that digestion in a biogas plant reduces the number of germs in a substrate (Yu et al., 2019).

To summarize, biogas facilities do not pose a significant risk of harm to humans or the environment provided all relevant regulations are followed and the plants are built and operated to the highest standards. Regardless of how a biogas plant is handled on a daily basis, plant operators must constantly adhere to the most recent safety standards and appropriate norms of conduct. Individuals working at biogas facilities should be certain that their security and health are being safeguarded to the fullest extent feasible. Biogas facilities do not pose a threat to the environment under typical operating circumstances. A storage tank might be destroyed, a pipeline could rupture, or gas or digesting material or product could leak and cause environmental harm if handled poorly. However, if all necessary criteria are satisfied, standards are followed, the plant is state-of-the-art, and personnel are routinely educated, such harm may be avoided (Liu et al., 2012).

### 1.3 Benefit of biogas plant:

- It is a sustainable and environmentally friendly energy resource:

Biogas, including solar panels, is a renewable and environmentally friendly electricity resource that can assist us reduce our reliance on fossil fuels such as coke, oil, and artificial gas. Bioenergy reduces greenhouses gas emission by removing fresh fossil fuel burning, making it an ideal weapon for combatting global warming. Biodigestors do this by capturing methane and burning it as fuel instead than letting it to escape into the environment. Moreover, the bio digestion mechanism is spontaneous and does not need any outside power to operate, and the organic materials employed in the process are renewable. Biogas is a very sustainable choice since it uses ingredients that are constantly accessible, such as manure, food scraps, and crop waste.

- It helps to clean up the environment by reducing pollution in the soil and water:

Instead of generating value from your waste with Home Biogas, on the other hand, will almost certainly end up in some of the numerous overburdened dumps. These wastelands are not only unsightly and foul-smelling, but they also enable poisonous substances to leak into subterranean water sources and damage the soil. As a result, diverting trash via biogas generation has the added benefit of improving water and soil quality. Anaerobic digesting also kills bacteria and protozoa, providing it a powerful tool for preventing the spreading of aquatic illnesses.

- Prevents Health Issues and the Loss of Biodiversity:

The above-mentioned leachate of poisons from landfills causes health issues in nearby ecosystems as well as people and animal populations. Furthermore, as the world's population grows, more waste is dumped than ever before. This implies that more landfill are being built, which necessitates the clearance of natural areas that offer

environmental services and habitat to a variety of plants and animals. Waste collecting and disposal have also been proven to improve dramatically in regions with biogas facilities. As a result, the atmosphere, sanitation, and hygiene are all improved.

- Produces Fertilizer (Organic):

House Bioenergy can not only assist you in generating free energy for your home from waste, but it would also offer you with a rich, organically biological fertiliser as a consequence. Chemical fertilizers are being phased out in favor of liquid digesting. since it may speed up plant development and disease resistance, while commercial fertilizers include harmful compounds that can cause food poisoning and other problems.

## 2. DISCUSSION

Anaerobic digesting is the practice of microorganisms breaking down biological substances in a hydrogen atmosphere to produce biogas. Biogas facilities employ microbial decomposition to recover natural wastes, generating in methane, which contains all electricity (gas) and beneficial soils byproducts.

Anaerobic decomposition occurs spontaneously in landfill and some animal waste treatment schemes, but with the usage of a digester, it may be enhanced, controlled, and contained. Biogas is formed up of roughly 50% to 70% gas, 30% to 40% dioxide, and trace amounts of other gases. Biosolids, a digesting liquids and solids, is frequently used as a land supplement.

Some organic wastes take longer to breakdown in a digester than others. The simplest organic wastes to break down include food waste, fats, oils, and greases, whereas animal waste is the most complex. Co-digestion, which involves mixing several wastes in the same digester, may assist boost biogas output. Warmer digesters, which are normally maintained temperatures around 30 and 38 temperatures Celsius might significantly hasten waste decomposition. Once captured, biogas may be utilized to create warmth for use in motors, microturbines, and fuel batteries. Biome thane, often abbreviated as RNG or regenerative natively gas, might be made from methane and injected into natural petrol pipes or used as a motor fuel.

In lieu of coal or natural gas, stored biogas may offer a clean, renewable, and stable source of baseload electricity. Renewable baseload electricity may supplement more intermittent renewables. Baseload power is constantly supplied to fulfill minimal power needs. Biogas, as normal gas, has the potential to be used as a fast-scaling peak energy supply. Utilizing biogas instead of fossil fuels reduces dependency on fossils resources and reduces the amount of methane released into the environment. The decrease in methane emissions resulting from harnessing all of America's potential biogas would be equivalent to the yearly emissions of 800,000 to 11 billion nearside sleepers. When contrasted to petroleum, compacted artificial gas created from biogas reduces environmental gas emissions by up to 91 %, according to a waste-to-wheels analysis.

## 3. CONCLUSION

Biogas is a potential renewable energy source that may be created from a range of wastes or recovered from landfills. If completely used, biogas outputs from current bio-waste might fulfill roughly 20% of present artificial gas demand. Biogas technologies must not be seen as a threat to current power resources, but instead as a complement as a complement to what is currently available and a long-term solution to developing environmental problems. With technical developments and government assistance, biogas' full potential will be realized soon, and it will be used for more sophisticated uses such as automotive fuel over the globe, in addition to its use for heat and power production.

## REFERENCES

- Abdeshahian, P., Lim, J. S., Ho, W. S., Hashim, H., & Lee, C. T. (2016). Potential of biogas production from farm animal waste in Malaysia. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.01.117>
- Bhatt, A. H., & Tao, L. (2020). Economic perspectives of biogas production via anaerobic digestion. *Bioengineering*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering7030074>
- Budiyono, Syaichurrozi, I., & Sumardiono, S. (2013). Biogas production kinetic from vinasse waste in batch mode anaerobic digestion. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.26.11.1405>
- Gopal, L. C., Govindarajan, M., Kavipriya, M. R., Mahboob, S., Al-Ghanim, K. A., Virik, P., Ahmed, Z., Al-Mulhm, N., Senthilkumaran, V., & Shankar, V. (2021). Optimization strategies for improved biogas production by recycling of waste through response surface methodology and artificial neural network: Sustainable energy perspective research. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101241>
- Guenther-Lübbers, W., Bergmann, H., & Theuvsen, L. (2016). Potential analysis of the biogas production - As measured by effects of added value and employment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.157>
- Gulsen Akbay, H. E., Dizge, N., & Kumbur, H. (2021). Enhancing biogas production of anaerobic co-digestion of industrial waste and municipal sewage sludge with mechanical, chemical, thermal, and hybrid pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125688>
- Hagos, K., Zong, J., Li, D., Liu, C., & Lu, X. (2017). Anaerobic co-digestion process for biogas production: Progress, challenges and perspectives. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.184>
- Liu, X., Gao, X., Wang, W., Zheng, L., Zhou, Y., & Sun, Y. (2012). Pilot-scale anaerobic co-digestion of municipal biomass waste: Focusing on biogas production and GHG reduction. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.01.092>
- Monroy-Oropeza, S. G., Jiménez-González, A., Gutiérrez-Rojas, M., & Medina-Moreno, S. A. (2020). Fundamentals in the design and scaling of biodigesters with mixing by

hydraulic recirculation of wastewater for biogas production using dimensional analysis. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniera Quimica*.  
<https://doi.org/10.24275/rmiq/Bio1545>

- Ounsaneha, W., Rattanapan, C., Suksaroj, T. T., Kantachote, D., Klawech, W., & Rakkamon, T. (2021). Biogas production by co-digestion of municipal wastewater and food waste: Performance in semi-continuous and continuous operation. *Water Environment Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1413>
- Patinvoh, R. J., Osadolor, O. A., Chandolias, K., Sárvári Horváth, I., & Taherzadeh, M. J. (2017). Innovative pretreatment strategies for biogas production. In *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.083>
- Shakib, N., & Rashid, M. (2019). Biogas Production Optimization from POME by Using Anaerobic Digestion Process. *Journal of Applied Science & Process Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.33736/jaspe.1711.2019>
- Srikanlayanukul, M., & Suksabye, P. (2020). Effect of mixture ratio of food waste and vetiver grass on biogas production. *Applied Environmental Research*. <https://doi.org/10.35762/AER.2020.42.3.4>
- Tabatabaei, M., Aghbashlo, M., Valijanlian, E., Kazemi Shariat Panahi, H., Nizami, A. S., Ghanavati, H., Sulaiman, A., Mirmohamadsadeghi, S., & Karimi, K. (2020). A comprehensive review on recent biological innovations to improve biogas production, Part 1: Upstream strategies. In *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.07.037>
- Vijay, V., Subbarao, P. M. V., & Chandra, R. (2020). Study on interchangeability of seed based feedstocks for biogas production in multi-feeding mode. *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2020.100011>
- Wang, S., Ma, F., Ma, W., Wang, P., Zhao, G., & Lu, X. (2019). Influence of temperature on biogas production efficiency and microbial community in a two-phase anaerobic digestion system. *Water (Switzerland)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010133>
- Xiao, C., Fu, Q., Liao, Q., Huang, Y., Xia, A., Chen, H., & Zhu, X. (2020). Life cycle and economic assessments of biogas production from microalgae biomass with hydrothermal pretreatment via anaerobic digestion. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.10.145>
- Yu, Q., Liu, R., Li, K., & Ma, R. (2019). A review of crop straw pretreatment methods for biogas production by anaerobic digestion in China. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.02.020>