

## Classification and Properties of Atomic Classes in Lattices

T. Nageswara Rao<sup>1, a)</sup>,

<sup>1)</sup> Department of Engineering Mathematics, College of Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

[tnraothota@kluniversity.in](mailto:tnraothota@kluniversity.in) ,

D.V.S.R. Anil Kumar<sup>2, b)</sup>,

<sup>2)</sup> TKR Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

[dvsranilkumar@gmail.com](mailto:dvsranilkumar@gmail.com)

Jonnalagadda Venkateswara Rao<sup>3, c)</sup>

<sup>3)</sup> Department of Mathematics, School of Science & Technology, United States International University, USIU-Africa, P.O. Box 14634 - 00800 Nairobi, Kenya.

[jvrao@usiu.ac.ke](mailto:jvrao@usiu.ac.ke)

### ABSTRACT

In this manuscript, we introduce a set of null sets, along with definitions for lattice measures of atoms and lattice semi-finite measures. Our key finding establishes that the lattice measure of any two atoms is either disjoint or identical. Additionally, we provide a proof demonstrating that the class encompassing all atoms within a lattice sigma algebra is countable. Ultimately, we affirm certain fundamental characteristics pertaining to atoms in a lattice sigma algebra.

**Key words:** Lattice,  $\sigma$ -algebra, atom, measure

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In section 2, Tanaka[6] provides the definition of a lattice sigma algebra, while Anil Kumar et al.[1] expounds on the definitions of lattice measurable space, lattice measurable set, lattice measure space, and lattice  $\sigma$ -finite measure. This section also includes the proof of certain fundamental properties associated with lattice measurable sets.

In the third section, we introduce a set of null sets, atoms, along with the definitions of lattice measures for atoms and lattice semi-finite measures. Within this context, we establish a significant result: the lattice measures of any two atoms are either disjoint or identical. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the class encompassing all atoms in a lattice sigma algebra is countable. Additionally, we present a theorem asserting that if a lattice sigma algebra is atom less, it must contain a countable number of disjoint non-empty lattice measurable sets. Lastly, we affirm certain elementary characteristics pertaining to atoms within a lattice sigma algebra.

## 2. Preparatory Measures:

In this section, we will provide a concise overview of established principles in lattice theory, drawing on well-known sources such as Birkhoff [2]. A structure  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  is designated as a lattice if it encompasses operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  and adheres to the following conditions for any elements  $x, y, z$  within  $L$ :

(L1) Commutative law:  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$  and  $x \vee y = y \vee x$ .

(L2) Associative law:  $x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z$  and  $x \vee (y \vee z) = (x \vee y) \vee z$ .

(L3) Absorption law:  $x \vee (y \wedge x) = x$  and  $x \wedge (y \vee x) = x$ .

Hereafter, the lattice  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  will often be written as  $L$  for simplicity. A lattice  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  is called distributive if, for any  $x, y, z$ , in  $L$ .

(L4) Distributive law holds:  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$  and  $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ .

A lattice  $L$  earns the designation of being complete if, for every subset  $A$  of  $L$ , it encompasses both the supremum  $\vee A$  and the infimum  $\wedge A$ . In the case of a complete lattice, it inherently includes maximum and minimum elements, conventionally denoted as 1 and 0 or I and O, respectively [3].

A distributive lattice takes on the title of a Boolean lattice when, for any element  $x$  in  $L$ , there exists a singular and unique complement  $x^c$ , satisfying the condition:

$x \vee x^c = 1$  (L5) the law of excluded middle

$x \wedge x^c = 0$  (L6) the law of non-contradiction

Let  $L$  be a lattice and  $\complement: L \rightarrow L$  be an operator. Then  $\complement$  is called a lattice complement in  $L$  if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (L5) and (L6);  $\forall x \in L, x \vee x^c = 1$  and  $x \wedge x^c = 0$ ,
- (L7) The law of contrapositive;  $\forall x, y \in L, x \leq y$  implies  $x^c \geq y^c$ ,
- (L8) The law of double negation;  $\forall x \in L, (x^c)^c = x$ .

In this document, we regard lattices as complete lattices that adhere to (L1) - (L8) with the exception of (L6), the law of non-contradiction.

**Definition 2.1:**

Unless specified otherwise, let  $X$  denote the entire set, and  $L$  be a lattice comprising subsets of  $X$ . A lattice  $L$  is referred to as a  $\sigma$ -Algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\forall h \in L, h^c \in L$
- (2) if  $h_n \in L$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \in L$ .

We denote  $\sigma(L) = \beta$ , as the lattice  $\sigma$ -Algebra generated by  $L$ .

**Example2.1.** Let  $X = \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $L = \{\text{measurable subsets of } \mathfrak{R}\}$  with usual ordering ( $\leq$ ). Here  $L$  is a lattice,  $\sigma(L) = \beta$  is a lattice  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $L$ .

**Example2.2[3].** 1.  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a lattice  $\sigma$ -Algebra.

2.  $P(X)$  power set of  $X$  is a lattice  $\sigma$ -Algebra.

**Definition 2.2:**

The ordered pair  $(X, \beta)$  is termed a lattice measurable space, where  $X$  is a set and  $\beta$  is a lattice, satisfying certain conditions that render it suitable for measurable space considerations.

**Example2.3.**  $X = \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\beta = \{\text{All Lebesgue measurable sub sets of } \mathfrak{R}\}$

$(\mathfrak{R}, \beta)$  is a lattice measurable space.

**Definition2.3.** If  $\mu: \beta \rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \cup \{0\}$  satisfies the following properties, then  $\mu$  is called a lattice measure on the lattice  $\sigma$ -Algebra  $\beta(L)$ .

- (1)  $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(0) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\forall h, g \in \beta$ , such that  $\mu(h), \mu(g) \geq 0; h \leq g \implies \mu(h) \leq \mu(g)$ .
- (3)  $\forall h, g \in \beta: \mu(h \vee g) + \mu(h \wedge g) = \mu(h) + \mu(g)$ .

(4) If  $h_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \dots \leq h_n \leq \dots$ , then  $\mu(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n) = \lim \mu(h_n)$ .

Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be lattice measures defined on the same lattice  $\sigma$ -Algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . If one of them is finite, the set function  $\mu(E) = \mu_1(E) - \mu_2(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  is well defined and countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example 2.4[4].** Let  $X$  be any set.  $\mathcal{B} = P(X)$  be the class of all sub sets of  $X$ . Define for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mu(A) = +\infty$  if  $A$  is infinite

$= |A|$  if  $A$  is finite. Where  $|A|$  is the number of elements in  $A$ . Then  $\mu$  is a countable additive set function defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  and hence  $\mu$  is a lattice measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 2.4:** A set  $A$  is considered a lattice measurable set, or simply lattice measurable, if  $A$  belongs to the lattice  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Example 2.5.** The interval  $(a, \infty)$  is a lattice measurable under usual ordering.

**Example 2.6.**  $[0, 1] \subset \mathcal{R}$  is lattice measurable under usual ordering.

**Definition 2.5:** The lattice measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , combined with a lattice measure  $\mu$ , is termed a lattice measure space, denoted by  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

**Example 2.7.**  $\mathcal{R}$  is a set of real numbers,  $\mu$  is the lattice Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the family of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of real numbers. Then  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a lattice measure space.

**Example 2.8.**  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of real numbers and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the class of all Borel lattices,  $\mu$  be a lattice Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{R}$  then  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a lattice measure space.

**Definition 2.6:** In the lattice measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , if the set  $X$  is finite, then the measure  $\mu$  is referred to as a lattice finite measure.

**Example 2.9.** The lattice Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  is a lattice finite measure.

**Definition 2.7:** If  $\mu$  is a lattice finite measure, then the lattice measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is termed a lattice finite measure space.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the class of all Lebesgue measurable sets of  $[0, 1]$  and  $\mu$  be a lattice Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  then  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a lattice finite measure space.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a lattice measure space if there exists a sequence of lattices measurable sets  $\{x_n\}$  such that

(i)  $X = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$                       (ii)  $\mu(x_n)$  is finite.

then  $\mu$  is called a lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure.

**Example2.11.** The lattice Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathfrak{R}, \mu)$  is a lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure since

$\mathfrak{R} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, n)$  and  $\mu((-n,n)) = 2n$  is finite for every n.

**Definition 2.9:** If  $\mu$  is a lattice  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then the lattice measure space  $((X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu))$  is termed a lattice  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

**Example2.12.** Let  $\beta$  be the class of all Lebesgue measurable sets on  $\mathfrak{R} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, n)$  and  $\mu$  be a lattice Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$  then  $(\mathfrak{R}, \beta, \mu)$  is a lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure space

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be an infinite decreasing sequence of lattice measurable sets; that is, a sequence with  $E_{i+1} \subset E_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\mu\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n)$$

**Proof:** Let p be the least integer such that  $\mu(E_p) < \infty$ . Then  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ , for all  $i \geq p$ .

Let  $E = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  and  $F_i = E_i - E_{i+1}$ .

Then the sets  $F_i$ 's are lattice measurable and pair wise disjoint, clearly

$$E_p - E = \bigvee_{i=p}^{\infty} F_i. \text{ Therefore, } \mu(E_p - E) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \mu(F_i) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \mu(E_i - E_{i+1})$$

But  $\mu(E_p) = \mu(E) + \mu(E_p - E)$  and  $\mu(E_i) = \mu(E_{i+1}) + \mu(E_i - E_{i+1})$

For all  $i \geq p$  since  $E \subset E_p$  and  $E_{i+1} \subset E_i$ , further, using the fact that  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ , for all  $i \geq p$ , if follow that  $\mu(E_p - E) = \mu(E_p) - \mu(E)$  and  $\mu(E_i - E_{i+1}) = \mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i+1})$  for all  $i \geq p$ .

$$\text{Hence } \mu(E_p) - \mu(E) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=p}^n (\mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i+1})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(E_p) - \mu(E_n))$$

$$= \mu(E_p) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n). \text{ Since } \mu(E_p) < \infty, \text{ it gives } \mu(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $\{E_i\}$  be an infinite increasing sequence of lattice measurable sets; that is, a sequence with  $E_{i+1} \supset E_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

**Proof:** If  $\mu(E_p) = \infty$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the result is trivially true, since  $\mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \geq \mu(E_p) = \infty$

For each  $n \geq p$ . Let  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now  $E = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ , evidently  $F_i = E_i - E_{i+1}$ . Then

the sets  $F_i$ 's are lattice measurable and pair wise disjoint, clearly  $E - E_i = \bigvee_{i=p}^{\infty} F_i$

$$\mu(E - E_i) = \mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{i+1} - E_i) = \mu(E) - \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mu(E_{i+1}) - \mu(E_i))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu(E_{i+1}) - \mu(E_i)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(E_{i+1}) - \mu(E_i))$$

it gives  $\mu(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n)$ .

### §3. Describing the Class of Atoms in Lattice Sigma Algebras

**Definition3.1:** Let  $(Y, \beta)$  be a lattice measurable space. A nonempty class  $N$  of sets, where  $N$  is contained in  $\beta$  is called a class of null sets of  $\beta$

- 1) If  $E \in N$  and  $F \in \beta$ , then  $E \wedge F \in N$ , and
- 2) If  $E_n \in N, n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in N$ .

**Definition3.2:** Let  $(Y, \beta, \mu)$  be a lattice measure space. A set  $E$  in  $\beta$  is called a  $\mu$ -atom if

- 1)  $\mu(E) > 0$  and
- 2) If  $F \in \beta$  such that  $F$  is contained in  $E$ , then either  $\mu(E-F) = 0$  or  $\mu(F) = 0$ .

**Definition3.3:** Let  $\beta$  be a lattice  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $Y$ . A set  $E$  in  $\beta$  is said to be an atom of  $\beta$  if

- 1)  $E \neq \phi$  and
- 2)  $F$  in  $\beta$ ,  $F$  is contained in  $E$  implies  $F = \phi$  or  $F = E$ .

**Example 3.1[5]:** The chain of natural numbers has just one atom, the number 2.

**Example 3.2:** The set of natural numbers under divisible order, all primes are atoms.

**Note 3.1:** A lattice  $\sigma$  – algebra  $\beta$  of  $Y$  is said to be atomless if there are no atoms of  $\beta$ .

**Definition 3.4:** Lattice semi-finite measure: A lattice measure  $\mu$  on a lattice  $\sigma$  – algebra  $\beta$  of  $Y$  is said to be semi finite if  $F \in \beta$ ,  $\mu(F) = \infty$  implies there exists  $E \in \beta$  such that  $E$  is contained in  $F$  and  $0 < \mu(E) < \infty$ .

**Result 3.1:** Let  $(Y, \beta, \mu)$  be a lattice measure space, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are atoms, then either  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = 0$  or  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) = 0$  or (the lattice measure of any two atoms are either disjoint or identical)

**Proof:** Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are atoms. Since  $E_1$  is an atom by definition 3.2,  $E_2 \in \beta$  such that  $E_2$  is contained in  $E_1$  implies  $\mu(E_1 - E_2) = 0$  or  $\mu(E_2) = 0$ . Since  $E_2$  is an atom  $\mu(E_2) \neq 0$  implies  $\mu(E_1 - E_2) = 0$ . By similar argument we have  $\mu(E_2 - E_1) = 0$ . Now  $E_1 \Delta E_2 = (E_1 - E_2) \vee (E_2 - E_1)$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = \mu(E_1 - E_2) + \mu(E_2 - E_1)$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = 0$ . Also evidently  $(E_1 \vee E_2) = (E_1 \wedge E_2) \vee (E_1 \Delta E_2)$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \vee E_2) = \mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) + \mu(E_1 \Delta E_2)$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \vee E_2) = \mu(E_1 \wedge E_2)$  (since  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = 0$ ). Again if  $\mu(E_1 - E_2) \neq 0$  then  $\mu(E_2) = 0$  now  $E_1 \wedge E_2 \leq E_2$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) \leq \mu(E_2)$  implies  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) \leq 0$ . But  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) \geq 0$  (by definition 2.3) therefore  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) = 0$ . If  $E_2 - E_1 \neq 0$  similarly we get  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) = 0$ .

**Result 3.2:** Let  $(Y, \beta, \mu)$  be a lattice measure space and  $\mu$  is lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure, then the class  $A$  of all atoms in a lattice  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\beta$  is countable.

**Proof:** Let  $E_1, E_2 \in A$  be any two sets by result 3.1. we have either  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = 0$  or  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) = 0$ .

If  $\mu(E_1 \Delta E_2) = 0$  then the set  $(E_1 \wedge E_2)$  represents an atom or if  $\mu(E_1 \wedge E_2) = 0$  then  $(E_1 - E_2)$  and  $(E_2 - E_1)$  represents two disjoint atoms. Which implies two disjoint sets in  $\beta - N$ . Continuing this process for  $E_1, E_2, \dots$ , we get a countable collection of disjoint sets in  $\beta - N$  which leads  $\beta - N$  is countable.

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $\mu$  be a lattice semi-finite measure on a lattice  $\sigma$  – algebra  $\beta$  of  $X$ . Let  $N$  denotes the collection of sets of  $\mu$  - measure zero. Then  $\beta - N$  satisfies countable chain condition (ccc) if and only if  $\mu$  is lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure.

**Proof:** If  $\mu$  is lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure, it is obvious that  $\beta - N$  satisfies ccc.

Conversely, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , then there is nothing to prove.

If  $\mu(Y) = \infty$ , choose  $E_1$  in  $\beta$  such that  $0 < \mu(E_1) < \infty$ . Choose  $E_2$  in  $\beta$  such that  $E_2$  is contained in  $Y - E_1$  and  $0 < \mu(E_2) < \infty$ . Continuing this process we get a sequence of disjoint sets  $E_1, E_2,$

..., in  $\beta$  such that  $E_i$  in  $\beta - N$  and  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$ . If  $\mu(Y - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) < \infty$ , then we have a

decomposition of  $Y$  which implies that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$  – finite.  $\mu(Y - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \infty$ , choose  $E_\alpha$  in  $\beta$  such

that  $E_\alpha$  is contained in  $Y - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  and  $0 < \mu(E_\alpha) < \infty$ , where  $\alpha$  is the first countable ordinal.

Proceeding inductively, since  $\beta - N$  satisfies ccc, there exists a countable ordinal  $\beta$  such that  $\mu(Y - \bigvee_{\alpha < \beta} A_\alpha) < \infty$ . This implies that  $\mu$  is lattice  $\sigma$  – finite measure.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $\beta$  be a lattice  $\sigma$  – algebra of a set  $Y$ .  $\beta$  is atomless if and only if every non empty set in  $\beta$  contains countable number of disjoint non empty sets in  $\beta$ .

**Proof:** Let  $E$  in  $\beta$  be non empty set. Fix  $x \in E$ , we can choose  $E_1$  in  $E$  such that  $x \notin E_1$ .

Now  $E_1$  is non empty and  $E_1$  is contained in  $E$ , choose  $E_2$  in  $E$  such that  $x \notin E_2$ .

Now  $E_2$  is non empty and  $E_2$  is contained in  $E - E_1$ , choose  $E_3$  in  $E$  such that  $x \notin E_3$ , continuing this process we get a family  $\{E_\alpha / \alpha < \beta\}$  of non empty disjoint sets contained in  $\beta$  where  $\beta$  is the first uncountable ordinal.

The converse part is trivial.

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $\beta$  be a lattice  $\sigma$  – algebra of a set  $Y$ . Then is satisfies ccc if and only if  $\beta$  is isomorphic to the power set, that is the class of all subsets, of some countable set.

**Proof:** We can prove this theorem by using theorem 3.1. and theorem 3.2. If  $\beta$  satisfies ccc, the number of atoms of  $\beta$  is countable. From  $Y$  remove all atoms of  $\beta$ . In the view of above theorem3.2. the remaining part is empty. Hence it is isomorphic.



**Example 3.3:** Take the numbers 0,1 and the fractions  $\frac{m}{n}$ ,  $0 < \frac{m}{n} < 1$  that is

0, 1,  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \dots$  order as follows  $0 < \frac{m}{n} < 1$  for all  $\frac{m}{n}; \frac{m}{n} \leq \frac{r}{s}$  only

if  $\max(m, r) = r; \frac{m}{n}, \frac{r}{s}$  in comparable if  $n \neq s$ . clearly the fractions from 0 to 1 has a

countable infinity of atoms and of dual of atoms.

**REFERENCES.**

[1] D.V.S.R. Anil kumar, J. Venkateswara rao, J. Pramada, Radon – Nikodym Theorem and Its Uniqueness Of Signed Lattice Measure, International Journal Of Mathematics And Computation, Volume 12, No. S11; Year 2011.

[2] Birkhoff. G, Lattice Theory 3rd ed., AMS Colloquim Publications, Providence, RI, 1967.

[3] Halmos. P.R., Measure Theory (Springer, New York, 1974).

[4] Royden. H.L., Real Analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Macmillan Publishing, New York, 1981.

[5] Szasz Gabor, Introduction to lattice theory, academic press, New York and London 1963.

[6] Tanaka. J, Hahn Decomposition Theorem of Signed Lattice Measure, arXiv:0906.0147Vol1 [Math.CA] 31 May 2009.