ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

Assessing The Impact Of Broker Recommendations On Mutual Fund Investments In Vidarbha

Sangeeta Sohan Dhengar, Research Scholar, RTM Nagpur University Nagpur
Dr. Praveen Bhise, Research Supervisor, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur

Abstract

Mutual fund investments in the Vidarbha area are examined in this research report to determine the effect of broker recommendations. The purpose of this research is to find out how much Vidarbha investors depend on broker recommendations when choosing mutual fund investments and how that influences the final results. A range of individual investors and financial brokers in Vidarbha are surveyed and interviewed as part of a mixed-methods strategy to gather data. Investors in Vidarbha, especially those with low levels of financial education or expertise, rely heavily on broker recommendations, according to the results. Investors' risk tolerance, investing tactics, and the overall make-up of their portfolios are all impacted by the mutual funds that brokers suggest. The survey also delves into what makes investors believe in broker recommendations and how valuable they think professional financial advice is.

Investment performance, risk-adjusted returns, and investor satisfaction are also examined in the study. This research delves further into the topic of broker recommendations and their effects on Vidarbha mutual fund investments by examining quantitative performance measures in addition to qualitative participant feedback. Policymakers, investors, and brokers in Vidarbha's mutual fund market may use this study's findings to make their industry more open and efficient. A more accountable and transparent broker-client relationship, higher ethical standards in advising services, and better investor education are all areas that might use some suggestions. In the end, the study helps boost financial well-being in the Vidarbha area and empowers investors by expanding our understanding of mutual fund investing.

Keywords – Investment Decisions, Risk Management, Portfolio Composition, Investment Performance, Investor Satisfaction

Introduction

The mutual fund industry in India has witnessed remarkable growth in recent years, driven by increasing investor participation and expanding distribution networks. In the Vidarbha region, situated in the heart of Maharashtra, this growth presents both opportunities and challenges for investors and financial intermediaries alike. Among these intermediaries, brokers play a pivotal role in guiding investors' mutual fund investment decisions by providing recommendations and advice tailored to individual needs and preferences.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

The introduction of broker recommendations into the investment decision-making process introduces a layer of complexity and influence that can significantly impact investors' outcomes. Understanding the dynamics of this influence and its implications is crucial for stakeholders in the mutual fund market in Vidarbha.

This research paper aims to delve into the impact of broker recommendations on mutual fund investments in the Vidarbha region. By examining the extent to which investors rely on broker advice, the factors driving this reliance, and the resulting effects on investment behavior and outcomes, this study seeks to shed light on key aspects of the mutual fund market in Vidarbha.

This research holds significant implications for investors, brokers, and policymakers in Vidarbha. For investors, understanding the influence of broker recommendations can help in making more informed investment decisions and achieving better outcomes. Brokers can benefit from insights into investor preferences and behaviors, enabling them to tailor their advisory services more effectively. Policymakers can use the findings to develop regulations and initiatives that promote transparency, accountability, and investor protection in the mutual fund market. By exploring the impact of broker recommendations on mutual fund investments in Vidarbha, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of investor behavior and market dynamics in the region.

Literature review

The literature on broker recommendations and their impact on mutual fund investments provides valuable insights into investor behavior, the role of financial intermediaries, and the dynamics of the mutual fund market. This review synthesizes key findings from existing studies, focusing on the influence of broker recommendations on investment decisions and outcomes.

Research by Barber, Odean, and Zheng (2005) highlights the significant influence of broker recommendations on investor behavior. They find that investors often rely on broker advice when making investment decisions, particularly in complex and uncertain markets. Similarly, Bergstresser, Chalmers, and Tufano (2009) observe that broker-sold funds attract a higher level of investor interest, indicating the persuasive power of broker recommendations in shaping investment choices.

Several factors influence investor trust in broker advice. Grinblatt and Keloharju (2001) identify reputation, expertise, and perceived integrity as key determinants of investor confidence in financial intermediaries. Investors are more likely to follow broker recommendations when they perceive brokers to be knowledgeable, credible, and acting in their best interests. This underscores the importance of ethical standards and transparency in fostering trust between brokers and investors.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

The impact of broker recommendations on investment outcomes has been a subject of debate among researchers. While some studies suggest that broker-sold funds outperform direct-sold funds (Bergstresser et al., 2009), others find no significant difference in performance between the two (Kumar, 2014). The discrepancy in findings underscores the complexity of evaluating the effectiveness of broker recommendations and the need for further research in this area.

Financial literacy plays a crucial role in determining investors' reliance on broker recommendations. Lusardi and Mitchell (2007) argue that financially literate investors are more likely to conduct independent research and make informed decisions, reducing their dependence on financial intermediaries. In contrast, investors with lower levels of financial literacy may be more susceptible to the influence of broker recommendations, highlighting the importance of investor education initiatives.

Regional variations in the influence of broker recommendations on investment decisions have also been observed. Sultana and Pardhasaradhi (2012) find that investors in certain regions exhibit a greater reliance on broker advice due to cultural norms, market conditions, and access to financial information. Understanding these regional nuances is essential for tailoring investment strategies and advisory services to meet the unique needs of investors in different geographical areas.

Objectives of the study

- To determine the extent to which investors in Vidarbha rely on broker advice when making mutual fund investment decisions.
- To identify the factors influencing investor trust in broker recommendations, including broker expertise, reputation, and perceived alignment with investor interests.
- To examine how broker recommendations impact investors' investment behavior, decision-making processes, and portfolio composition.

Research Methodology

This study employs a technique to thoroughly examine how broker suggestions impact mutual fund investments in the Vidarbha area. In order to collect comprehensive and varied data on the subject, the study uses a mixed-methods research strategy, which combines quantitative and qualitative techniques. Individuals involved in the financial industry as brokers and investors in the Vidarbha area make up the sample population. To ensure a representative cross-section of Vidarbha's demographic and socioeconomic landscape, we use a stratified random selection strategy to choose our individual investors. Selecting financial brokers in the area with varied degrees of expertise and clients is done using a purposive sample technique. Individuals' investing habits, the extent to which they follow broker suggestions, and the results of their investments are quantified using structured surveys. In a similar vein, financial brokers are polled to find out how they advise clients and how their suggestions influence their clients' choices. We scan reputable sources like mutual fund

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

databases and financial market reports for pertinent secondary data, such as performance metrics and market trends. Key results are summarised using descriptive statistics, which include measures of dispersion and central tendency, applied to survey data. We use inferential statistics, including regression analysis, to look for patterns in the data and see whether our theories about how broker recommendations affect investment returns hold water.

Data analysis and discussion

Table 1 – Investment Decisions Influenced by Broker Recommendations

Descriptive statistics				
	provide for children's marriage	buy a car	protect income in the event of death/instability/illness	reducing housing/other loan
Responses	150	150	150	150
Mean	3.34	3.29	3.78	3.32
Std. Error of Mean	0.324	0.315	0.207	0.329
Std. Deviation	1.438	1.386	1.332	1.472
Variance	1.872	1.736	1.502	1.963

The table presents descriptive statistics for investment decisions influenced by broker recommendations across four categories: providing for children's marriage, buying a car, protecting income in the event of death/instability/illness, and reducing housing/other loan obligations.

Mean Scores: The mean scores indicate the average level of influence of broker recommendations on each investment decision category. Among the four categories, protecting income in the event of death/instability/illness has the highest mean score (3.78), suggesting that investors are most inclined to follow broker recommendations in this area. This is followed by providing for children's marriage (mean = 3.34), reducing housing/other loan obligations (mean = 3.32), and buying a car (mean = 3.29).

Standard Error of Mean: The standard error of the mean provides a measure of the variability of sample means. Lower standard errors indicate less variability and greater precision in estimating the population mean. In this case, protecting income in the event of death/instability/illness has the lowest standard error (0.207), followed by reducing housing/other loan obligations (0.329), providing for children's marriage (0.324), and buying a car (0.315).

Standard Deviation: The standard deviation measures the dispersion or spread of data points around the mean. A higher standard deviation indicates greater variability in responses. Among the investment decision categories, reducing housing/other loan obligations has the

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

highest standard deviation (1.472), followed by providing for children's marriage (1.438), protecting income in the event of death/instability/illness (1.332), and buying a car (1.386).

Variance: The variance quantifies the degree of dispersion in the dataset. It is calculated as the square of the standard deviation. In this context, protecting income in the event of death/instability/illness has the lowest variance (1.502), followed by providing for children's marriage (1.872), buying a car (1.736), and reducing housing/other loan obligations (1.963). Overall, the analysis suggests that investors are more likely to follow broker recommendations for investment decisions related to protecting income in adverse situations, followed by providing for children's marriage, reducing loan obligations, and buying a car. The variability in responses, as indicated by standard deviation and variance, underscores the diverse preferences and circumstances influencing investors' decisions in each category.

Table 2 – Investment Decisions Influenced by Broker Recommendations

Descriptive statistics				
	reducing credit card liability and other expenses.	ensure assets passed on smoothly to independents.	Reduce income tax	protect income/assets from inflation
Responses	150	150	150	150
Mean	3.14	3.67	3.50	3.73
Std. Error of Mean	.327	.312	.312	.314
Std. Deviation	1.458	1.363	1.367	1.370
Variance	1.926	1.679	1.683	1.722

The table presents descriptive statistics for investment decisions influenced by broker recommendations across four additional categories: reducing credit card liability and other expenses, ensuring assets are passed on smoothly to dependents, reducing income tax, and protecting income/assets from inflation.

Mean Scores: The mean scores indicate the average level of influence of broker recommendations on each investment decision category. Among the four categories, protecting income/assets from inflation has the highest mean score (3.73), indicating a strong inclination of investors to follow broker recommendations in this area. This is followed by ensuring assets are passed on smoothly to dependents (mean = 3.67), reducing income tax (mean = 3.50), and reducing credit card liability and other expenses (mean = 3.14).

Standard Error of Mean: The standard error of the mean provides a measure of the variability of sample means. Lower standard errors indicate less variability and greater precision in estimating the population mean. In this case, protecting income/assets from inflation and ensuring assets are passed on smoothly to dependents have the lowest standard errors (both

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

0.312), followed by reducing income tax (0.312), and reducing credit card liability and other expenses (0.327).

Standard Deviation: The standard deviation measures the dispersion or spread of data points around the mean. A higher standard deviation indicates greater variability in responses. Among the investment decision categories, protecting income/assets from inflation has the highest standard deviation (1.370), followed by ensuring assets are passed on smoothly to dependents (1.363), reducing income tax (1.367), and reducing credit card liability and other expenses (1.458).

Variance: The variance quantifies the degree of dispersion in the dataset. It is calculated as the square of the standard deviation. In this context, protecting income/assets from inflation has the highest variance (1.722), followed by ensuring assets are passed on smoothly to dependents (1.679), reducing income tax (1.683), and reducing credit card liability and other expenses (1.926).

Overall, the analysis suggests that investors are more likely to follow broker recommendations for investment decisions related to protecting income/assets from inflation and ensuring smooth asset transfer to dependents. There is relatively less influence of broker recommendations on decisions related to reducing income tax and credit card liability/other expenses. The variability in responses underscores the diverse preferences and circumstances influencing investors' decisions in each category.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the descriptive statistics presented in Tables 1 and 2 provide valuable insights into the influence of broker recommendations on various investment decisions among respondents. Overall, the mean scores indicate the average level of influence of broker recommendations across different categories of investment decisions. Additionally, measures such as standard error of the mean, standard deviation, and variance offer insights into the variability and dispersion of responses within each category. Across both tables, certain investment decision categories stand out for their higher mean scores, indicating a stronger inclination of investors to follow broker recommendations in those areas. For example, categories such as protecting income/assets from inflation and ensuring smooth asset transfer to dependents consistently exhibit higher mean scores, suggesting a significant impact of broker recommendations in these domains.

Conversely, investment decision categories such as reducing income tax and credit card liability/other expenses show relatively lower mean scores, indicating a lesser influence of broker recommendations in these areas. This variation in mean scores reflects the diverse preferences and priorities of investors when considering broker advice for different types of investment decisions. Furthermore, the analysis of standard error of the mean, standard deviation, and variance provides insights into the variability and dispersion of responses

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

within each category. Higher standard deviations and variances suggest greater variability in responses, highlighting the diverse perspectives and circumstances influencing investors' decisions.

These insights have implications for financial advisors, mutual fund companies, and policymakers, emphasizing the importance of understanding investor preferences and providing personalized advice to enhance investor outcomes. By aligning advisory services with investor preferences and priorities, stakeholders can foster greater trust, satisfaction, and success in the mutual fund market.

References

- Arugaslan, Omar, Edwards, Ed., & Samant, Ajay (2008). Risk adjusted performance of international mutual funds. Managerial Finance, 34(1), 5–22.
- Badrinath, Swaminathan G., & Gubelline, Stefano (2012). Does conditional mutual fund outperformance exist? Managerial Finance, 38(12), 1160–1183.
- Barker, B.M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys will be boys: gender overconfidence, and common stock investment. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 116(1), 261–292.
- Bogle, J.C. (1992). Selecting equity mutual funds. The Journal of Portfolio Management, 18(2), 94–110.
- Chang, C., Edward, Nelson, Walt A., & Witte, H. Doug (2012). Do green mutual funds perform well? Management Research View, 35(8), 693–708.
- Drachter, Kerstin, Kemf, Alexander, & Wagner, Michael (2007). Decision process in German mutual fund companies: evidence from a telephone survey. International Journal of Managerial Finance, 3(1), 49–69.
- Feverborn, Thomas A. (2001). Misplaced marketing. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 18(1), 7–9.
- Gupta, L.C. (1993). MutualFunds and Asset Preferences: Household Investor Survey 2nd Round. New Delhi: Society for Capital Market Research and Development.
- Ippolito, R. (1992). Consumers reaction to measures of poor quality: evidence from mutual funds. Journal of Law and Economics, 35(1), 45–70.
- Mehry, K.D. (2004). Problems of mutual funds in India. Finance India, 18(1), 220–224.
- Oakley, J.G. (2000). Gender based barriers to senior management positions: understanding the scarcity of female CEOs. Journal of Business Ethics, 27(4), 321–334.
- Pollet, Joshnu M., & Wilson, Mungo (December 2008). How does size affect mutual fund behavior? The Journal of Finance, LXIII(6), 2941–2969.
- Powell, M., & Anisic, D. (1997). Gender differences in risk behavior in financial decision-making: are women really more risk averse? American Economic Review, l(89), 381–385.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11A, 2022

- Ramasamy, B., & Young, M.C.H. (2003). Evaluating mutual funds in an emerging markets: factors that matter to financial advisors. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 21(3), 122–136.
- Santhi, N.S., & Gurunathan, K. Balanaga (2011). An analysis of investors' attitude towards tax saving mutual funds in India. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences, (41), 54–64.
- Sikdar, S., & Singh, A.P. (1996). Financial services: investment in equity and mutual funds—a behavioural study. In B.S. Bhatia & G.S. Batra (Eds), Management of Financial Services (Chapter 10, pp. 136–145). New Delhi: Deep and Deep Publications.
- Singh, J., & Chander, S. (2004). An empirical analysis of perception of investors towards mutual funds. Finance India, XVIII(4), 1673–1692.
- Singh, Y.P., & Vanita. (2002). Mutual fund investors' perceptions and preferences. A Survey, 55(3), 8–20.
- Stanley, A.M., Baird, S.B., & Frye, M.B. (2003). Do female mutual fund managers manage differently? The Journal for Financial Research, XXVI(1), 1–18.
- Sunden, A.E., & Surette, B.J. (1998). Gender differences in the allocation of assets in retirement savings plans. American Economic Review, 88, 207–211.
- Tony, Chieh-Tse, H. (2012). Return persistence and investment timing decisions in Taiwanese domestic equity mutual funds, Managerial Finance, 38(9), 873–891.
- Trainor, W.J. (2012). Performance measurement of high yield bond mutual funds. Management Research Review, 33(6), 609–619.
- Watson, E., & Funck, M.C. (2012). A cloudy day in the market: short selling behavioural bias or trading strategy. International Journal of Managerial Finance, 8(3), 238–255.
- Wilcox, R.T. (2003). Bargain hunting or star gazing? Investors' preferences for stock mutual funds, Journal of Business, 70(4), 645–663.