

EXPLORING SECURITY AND PRIVACY CONCERNS OF DIGITAL TRANSACTIONS IN THE UNORGANIZED RETAIL MARKET

Durgesh Jaswani

Research Scholar, MATS University, Raipur

Coauthor: **Dr. Nitin Kalla,**

Assistant Professor, MATS University, Raipur.

Abstract:

This research paper delves into the intricate landscape of security concerns and data privacy issues surrounding digital transactions within the unorganized retail sector. As digital payment methods proliferate, unorganized retailers grapple with safeguarding sensitive customer information and ensuring transactional security. Through an exhaustive review of literature and empirical analysis, this study investigates security threats, vulnerabilities, and regulatory compliance requirements pertinent to unorganized retailers engaging in digital transactions.

Furthermore, the paper examines how these security concerns and data privacy issues affect consumer trust and perception of digital transactions in the unorganized retail sector. Real-world examples and case studies underscore the tangible consequences for businesses and consumers, emphasizing the urgent need for robust security measures and proactive risk mitigation strategies.

Drawing insights from industry experts and technological innovators, this paper explores emerging security technologies and best practices for enhancing transactional security and protecting customer data in unorganized retail environments. Additionally, it identifies key challenges and barriers faced by unorganized retailers in addressing these security concerns, including resource constraints and technological literacy gaps.

In conclusion, the paper provides practical recommendations and strategies for unorganized retailers to bolster security and data privacy in digital transactions. These recommendations encompass collaborative industry efforts, investment in cybersecurity training, and advocacy for stronger regulatory frameworks. By addressing these security challenges proactively, unorganized retailers can foster consumer trust, mitigate risk, and unlock the full potential of digital transactions in the cashless economy.

Keywords: unorganized retail, digital transactions, security concerns, data privacy, consumer trust, regulatory compliance, cybersecurity, risk mitigation, emerging technologies, cashless economy

Introduction

As per the 2020 B2C E-commerce Index by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, India ranks 71st among 152 countries in online shopping readiness, a slight improvement from 2019's 73rd rank [2*]. India's retail market is growing rapidly, with the second-largest Smartphone market globally. Predictions indicate a rise in Smartphone users to 450 million by 2021–22, up from 260 million in 2016–17 [1*]. Internet users are expected to reach 829 million by 2021, with rural internet penetration projected to increase by 45% [27*].

Online shoppers in India are estimated to reach 220 million by 2025, up from 120 million in 2018 [28*].

Retailing in India: A Systematic Analysis

Retailing is a vital sector in India, contributing approximately 10% to the GDP [1], [2]. With a population of 1.4 billion, India's retail market is estimated at \$1.3 trillion in 2022 [3], [4]. The sector is divided into organized and unorganized retail, with the latter dominating the market.

Organized Retail: Large supermarket chains and hypermarkets fall under organized retail, contributing to government tax revenue.

Unorganized Retail: Kirana stores, family-owned shops, and small outlets form the unorganized sector, providing personalized services but facing operational challenges.

Dominance of Unorganized Retailers (URs): URs hold a significant position in emerging markets, operating through various formats despite facing challenges like limited resources and infrastructural barriers [5], [10].

Role of URs: Despite operational inefficiencies, URs play a crucial role in providing goods and services, particularly in areas with limited access to transportation and alternative retail options [7], [15], [16], [17], [18].

Technological Evolution of URs: URs have adopted digital payment technologies, driven by factors like the growth of digital payments and the COVID-19 pandemic [6], [20].

Digitalization and Unorganized Retail Stores in India: Digitalization, including e-commerce, is transforming India's retail sector. Despite this, small retail stores face challenges in adopting digital payment systems and other technologies [26], [27], [28].

Conclusion: The digitalization of India's retail sector presents both challenges and opportunities for small retail stores. By embracing digital payment systems and other technologies, these stores can enhance their competitiveness and contribute to the country's economic growth.

Review of Literature

Gander et al. (2022) conducted a cross-sectional survey examining the relationship between the adoption of cashless payment systems and retail firm profitability. This study, with a sample of 100 retail firms, found a significant positive correlation between profitability and cashless payment adoption. Investments in these systems positively impacted sales, with 82% of retailers preferring cashless transactions. However, challenges such as excessive cash handling and physical contact concerns during the pandemic were noted.

Kapuria and Nalawade (2021) analyzed digitization initiatives, focusing on technology adoption among kirana store owners. They recommended two approaches: a 'physical' model combining physical and digital elements, and convergence with large retailers and e-commerce companies. Despite the growth of e-commerce in India, with 220 million online shoppers by 2025 and an industry worth INR 13,97,800 crore (US\$200 billion) by 2027, 90% of grocery retail trade still relies on small traders and kirana stores. The study emphasized the need for these stores to adopt digital technologies to remain competitive [85].

The RBI Annual Report (2021-2022) highlighted the pandemic's significant impact on digital payment adoption in India. The pandemic accelerated the digital transformation of the payments ecosystem, increasing digital transaction volume from 3,412 crores in 2019-20 to 4,371 crores in 2020-21, demonstrating the system's resilience [19].

Mukherjee and Wood (2021) explored the impact of digitization on unorganized retail (UR) businesses and its implications for sustainable business model innovations. Using secondary resources, they recommended future empirical studies to gain deeper insights into the digitized operations of URs and their impact on stakeholders [42].

Wisniewski et al. (2021) investigated the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on Europeans' preference for cash transactions and potential long-term effects on global payment methods. Their quantitative research found that many Europeans avoided cash payments due to virus concerns, potentially influencing global payment preferences [43].

Ghosh (2021) reviewed literature on the advantages of digital payments over traditional currency, highlighting their convenience, time-saving nature, and round-the-clock accessibility. The study also discussed the government's post-demonetization initiative to promote digital payments and their widespread adoption in the post-COVID-19 era [44].

Dr. Swati Kulkarni et al. (2021) explored consumer perceptions regarding online payments and their safety. This exploratory study, based on literature reviews and secondary information, aimed to understand digital payment frequency and identify factors influencing consumer behavior. Despite its limitations, the study identified key areas for further exploration [45].

Mate and Kapdi (2021) analyzed the significance of digital payments during the COVID-19 pandemic using primary data from 113 respondents and secondary data from government portals. They found that e-wallets were perceived as convenient and safe, with increased e-commerce orders for various goods and services during the pandemic [46].

Ma et al. (2021) studied the impact of COVID-19 on digital payment services in towns and villages through secondary research. They observed an increase in the share of digital payments in the Indian economy from less than 5% before the pandemic to nearly 20% in 2021. The study projected that by 2023, digital payments would account for 25% of the Indian economy, amounting to around \$1 trillion [47].

Nguyen (2020), as cited in Aggarwal (2021), explains that 'Internet banking' is an approach adopted by banks to reduce the need for physical cash transfers during transactions. The study highlights the potential challenges faced by retail stores in sustaining the expenses associated with implementing technological innovations to accommodate current forms of payment, especially during financially challenging times [55].

Chawla (2020) conducted research on digital payments and found that prior to 2019, the adoption and growth of digital payment platforms varied across countries due to factors such as cultural differences, democratization, and technological advancements. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has created a sense of long-term uncertainty, leading the public to increasingly rely on digital payment platforms for their financial transactions. Additionally, the widespread availability of wireless internet access, with over 5.1 billion unique users and 3.7 billion unique internet users by the end of 2019, has facilitated the smooth adoption of digital payment platforms. The presence of numerous competitors in the market offering digital payment solutions has also intensified competition, thereby driving the improvement of user experiences within these platforms. It is crucial for digital payment providers to offer seamless performance to ensure customer satisfaction and retain their user base. [48]

Agarwal (2020) emphasized that the global payment system has shown great resilience in fighting disease. The general public continues to rely on payment systems and providers, and there is little reporting of critical infrastructure. But the industry is moving away from the euro barrel. The biggest changes for payment providers are the result of this devastation, the increase in useless debt, the reduction of revenue, and the greater need for customer service groups. Payment value has decreased due to depreciation and trade. In the short term, this will force payment providers to make changes to the operating model, which can give them greater flexibility and prioritize new short-term goals. The long-term effects of the epidemic on land payments are very high [49].

The **NPCI Report of 2020** highlights that there has been a significant rise in the recognition and usage of digital payments, driven by the advantages they offer in terms of security, convenience, and speed. During the lockdown, 36% of surveyed households adopted digital payments for the first time, demonstrating the widespread acceptance of these methods across different segments. The report emphasizes the crucial role of SMS communication in instilling user confidence and ensuring safety. It is evident that people are ready for digital payments, and efforts should be directed towards addressing any remaining obstacles to widespread adoption [5*].

Patel (2020) has observed a decline in the adoption rate of digital payments in 2019, which was a significant shift across various categories and countries. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the use of digital payments, with individuals resorting to online purchases of goods and services through e-commerce and mobile commerce platforms. The increased prevalence of Smartphone's has further contributed to the growth and advancement of digital payments. As competition intensifies, consumers can expect a wider range of choices in the digital payment landscape [50].

Gera (2020) has highlighted the government's implementation of a 21-day shutdown to curb the spread of the virus, allowing only essential services to operate. However, even these services require some level of human interaction, which is best avoided during such times. To minimize contact, the government has been advocating for digital payment options, which are

particularly crucial in limiting the spread of the virus. Online billers, UPI, and wallet apps offer convenient ways to make payments, and many banks have integrated payment functionalities into their online banking systems. Users can easily set up automatic payments or utilize platforms like PayTM and PhonePe for fee payments [51].

Mandan and Margana (2019) researched 'Transformation towards Cashless Economy – An Indian Perspective' and analyzed the cashless economy in India. They noted that higher denominations of cash were being demonetized. The availability of ATMs and POS terminals affected the rate of cashless transactions in a region, noting the rise of fintech platforms like mobile banking apps and their role in the transition to a cashless economy [80].

Due to the focus on customers' need for a flexible and convenient payment method, many firms can now profit more by adopting a digital payment framework (**Beatty, 2017**). Following that, Beatty asserts that providing customers the choice of having purchase receipts sent to them after transactions are completed is a more customer-centric approach[81].

According to **IBEF (Indian Brand Equity Foundation)** in 2019 [20[^]], the growth of the digital payment sector in India is fueled by several factors. These factors include the ease of making payments, the widespread penetration of smartphones, the emergence of non-banking payment institutions, and the implementation of regulatory policies supporting digital payment platforms. The convenience of digital payments and the availability of attractive offers are two key drivers for the expansion of digital payments in the country. Additionally, the increasing penetration of smartphones in India provides an advantage for the digital payments sector. India currently ranks third in terms of internet user base globally, with 300 million users. Moreover, 50 percent of these users access the internet solely through mobile devices.

Nguyen (2020), as cited in **Aggarwal (2021)**, explains that 'Internet banking' is adopted by banks to reduce physical cash transfers. Challenges arise for retail stores in accommodating current forms of payment during financially challenging times [55].

Chawla (2020) found that prior to 2019, digital payment adoption varied globally. COVID-19 accelerated reliance on digital platforms, driven by increased internet access and competition, urging seamless performance improvements [48].

Agarwal (2020) notes the resilience of global payment systems during the pandemic. Despite revenue reduction, payment providers adapt their models, prioritizing flexibility to address short-term challenges [49].

The **NPCI Report of 2020** highlights a significant rise in digital payment adoption due to security, convenience, and speed advantages. Efforts should address remaining obstacles to widespread adoption [5*].

Patel (2020) observes a decline in digital payment adoption in 2019, reversed by the pandemic. Increased smartphone prevalence further drives digital payment growth amidst rising competition [50].

Gera (2020) underscores the government's advocacy for digital payments during the pandemic, minimizing human interaction to limit virus spread [51].

Mandan and Margana (2019) analyze India's transition to a cashless economy, noting demonetization's impact and the rise of fintech platforms facilitating the shift [80].

Beatty (2017) emphasizes customer-centric digital payment frameworks, offering receipt options post-transaction [81].

IBEF (2019) cites factors driving India's digital payment sector growth, including ease of payments, smartphone penetration, and regulatory support. The country ranks third globally in internet users, with significant mobile-only access [20^].

Fang, Ye, and Law (2016) highlighted the significant impact of information and communication technology (ICT) on global economic systems, focusing on the banking industry. They observed substantial development within the industry, transforming people's lives and making daily payments more convenient. The advent of the internet has played a crucial role in enabling this transformation, leading to widespread innovations in the information technology sector. However, one challenge to the widespread adoption of cashless transactions and digital payments is the limited penetration and popularity of mobile internet banking among the masses. **Anand (2016)** noted that although mobile internet banking is experiencing rapid growth, its adoption rate remains low, accounting for less than 20 percent of the overall mobile subscriber base, indicating a need to increase awareness and accessibility of mobile banking services to enhance adoption (1).

Dr. Hem Shweta Rathore (2016) conducted research on the adoption of digital wallets by consumers, revealing that convenience and ease of use are primary factors driving adoption. The study also predicted that digital wallets would gain more widespread acceptance in the future. Furthermore, the research acknowledged the significant impact of demonetization in India, suggesting that the demonetization of large denomination currency bills had immediate and far-reaching effects on the economy and people's lives (2).

Anchor and Robert (2013) discussed demonetization in Nigeria, arguing that it can effectively reduce cash-related robberies, corruption, and other fraudulent practices, reinforcing the idea that policies like demonetization can be effective in tackling the issue of black money (3). Similarly, **Gajjar (2016)** stated that addressing corruption is crucial to curbing the circulation of black money, supporting the potential benefits of demonetization (4).

Arun and Punj (2016) highlighted the challenge of limited availability and poor penetration of Point of Sale (PoS) machines in India. They referred to data from the Reserve Bank of India, indicating a low PoS penetration rate of only 0.12 percent compared to the large number of debit and credit cards in circulation. However, they noted that the demonetization drive has provided some boost to the adoption of cashless transactions, suggesting a need to expand infrastructure for digital payments (5).

Ahmad and Schreyer (2016) highlighted the emergence of new disruptive technologies like Airbnb and Uber, which have brought significant changes in norms, service provision, and consumption patterns, enabling peer-to-peer transactions. They argue that current GDP accounting methods do not incorporate concepts like international transactions and knowledge-based assets, advocating for adapting economic measurement frameworks to capture the impact of digitization accurately (6).

Mukhopadhyay (2016) studied cashless payments in India, finding that convenience is a key benefit weighed against the temptation to evade taxes. The research emphasized the importance of considering behavioral factors that influence the adoption of cashless payments, suggesting that policymakers need to design strategies that promote adoption while addressing challenges related to tax evasion (7).

Suri (2016) examined the association between black money, counterfeit currencies, crime, and terrorism, discussing the impact of demonetization in India. The study noted the adoption of various cashless payment methods as a result of demonetization, such as Unified Payments Interface (UPI), digital wallets, plastic cards, ATMs, AEPS, and USSD (8).

Dorothy Sarayarani studied the impact of India's transition to a cashless society, revealing that it is challenging for 90% of the rural workforce to adopt cashless transactions. Despite this, two-thirds of respondents believe that India will successfully become a digital economy (9).

Steve Worthington discussed the significance of a cashless society and plastic cards in the European market, noting a decline in the usage of plastic cards due to the emergence of digital wallets and other payment systems (10).

NC (2016) observed that demonetization was a significant step towards reducing black money and counterfeit currency, and transitioning towards a cashless economy. The author noted an increase in online transactions through card payments during demonetization and highlighted the future potential of mobile wallets, considering India's rapid growth in smartphone adoption (11).

VS Dinh and HV Nguyen (2016) identified key factors influencing the adoption of mobile payment systems in Vietnam, including perceived usefulness, convenience, promotional offers, and social approval, providing insights for businesses and policymakers to promote adoption (12).

Bouwman et al. (2018) explored the influence of digital technologies on the business models of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), highlighting the potential for SMEs to harness digital technologies like social media and big data to transform their business models and enhance their overall performance (13).

Banik and Padalka (2016) reported a significant increase in Paytm downloads, indicating substantial growth in the acceptance and usage of e-wallets in India (14).

Painuly and Rathi (2016) emphasized the benefits of mobile wallets for business transactions, noting their growing adoption in sectors such as banking, retail, and hospitality (15).

Roy and Sahoo (2016) emphasized the need to transition from paper-based to electronic modes of payment to improve efficiency and reduce costs, proposing that central banks and regulators collaborate to promote a robust payment system (16).

Bama and Gunasundari (2016) found that plastic money usage has increased due to its convenience, but banks face challenges in adapting to technology-driven services and remaining competitive (17).

B.M. Saini (2016) described how demonetization is transforming the Indian economy into a cashless society, noting the importance of financial inclusion initiatives like the opening of Jan Dhan accounts for the unbanked (18).

Deepika Kumari (2016) examined the impact of demonetization and the government's efforts to promote cashless transactions, highlighting the need for further awareness and understanding of cashless transaction methods among the population (19).

Fang, Ye, and Law (2016) highlighted the significant impact of information and communication technology (ICT) on global economic systems, focusing on the banking industry. They observed substantial development within the industry, transforming people's lives and making daily payments more convenient. The advent of the internet has played a crucial role in enabling this transformation, leading to widespread innovations in the information technology sector. However, one challenge to the widespread adoption of cashless transactions and digital payments is the limited penetration and popularity of mobile internet banking among the masses. **Anand (2016)** noted that although mobile internet banking is experiencing rapid growth, its adoption rate remains low, accounting for less than 20 percent of the overall mobile subscriber base, indicating a need to increase awareness and accessibility of mobile banking services to enhance adoption [100].

Dr. Hem Shweta Rathore (2016) conducted research on the adoption of digital wallets by consumers, revealing that convenience and ease of use are primary factors driving adoption. The study also predicted that digital wallets would gain more widespread acceptance in the future. Furthermore, the research acknowledged the significant impact of demonetization in

India, suggesting that the demonetization of large denomination currency bills had immediate and far-reaching effects on the economy and people's lives [101].

Need and Scope of the Study

This study addresses the critical need for understanding security and data privacy issues in the unorganized retail sector, driven by the rapid adoption of digital payment methods. Unorganized retailers, characterized by limited resources and low technological literacy, are particularly vulnerable to security threats and data breaches, which can undermine consumer trust and hinder the adoption of digital transactions. Evaluating the effectiveness of current regulatory frameworks and identifying key challenges, such as resource constraints and technological gaps, are essential for developing effective protective measures. The scope includes analyzing security threats, assessing regulatory compliance, exploring the impact on consumer trust, reviewing emerging technologies and best practices, and providing practical recommendations to enhance security and data privacy. This comprehensive approach aims to equip unorganized retailers with the necessary strategies to navigate digital transactions securely and effectively.

Objectives of the study:

1. To analyse the prevalent security concerns and data privacy issues associated with digital transactions within the unorganized retail sector.
2. To investigate the regulatory compliance requirements relevant to unorganized retailers engaging in digital transactions and assess their effectiveness in addressing security and privacy concerns.
3. To identify the impact of security concerns and data privacy issues on consumer trust and perception of digital transactions in the unorganized retail sector.

Research Methodology

Hypothesis to be tested:

Objective 1:

Null Hypothesis (H₀): There is no significant correlation between the prevalence of security concerns and data privacy issues in digital transactions and the level of adoption among unorganized retailers in the retail sector.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): There is a significant correlation between the prevalence of security concerns and data privacy issues in digital transactions and the level of adoption among unorganized retailers in the retail sector.

Objective 2:

Null Hypothesis (H₀): The level of security implementation and data protection measures among unorganized retailers is not influenced by the stringency of regulatory compliance requirements for digital transactions.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): The level of security implementation and data protection measures among unorganized retailers is influenced by the stringency of regulatory compliance requirements for digital transactions.

Objective 3:

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant impact of perceived security concerns and data privacy issues on consumer trust and perception of digital transactions in the unorganized retail sector.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant impact of perceived security concerns and data privacy issues on consumer trust and perception of digital transactions in the unorganized retail sector.

Data Analysis

Descriptive Analysis:

Security Concerns and Data Privacy Issues Among Unorganized Retailers

The descriptive analysis provides insights into the perceived security concerns, data privacy issues, and the adoption of digital transactions among unorganized retailers. The variables analysed include "Security Concerns," "Data Privacy Issues," and "Transaction Adoption Rate." Additionally, the distribution of retailers based on their geographical location ("Location") is examined.

1. Security Concerns and Data Privacy Issues:

Table 1.1 Descriptive statistics for the variables "Security Concerns" and "Data Privacy Issues" among unorganized retailers.

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Security Concerns	5.00	9.00	7.80	1.60
Data Privacy Issues	5.00	9.00	7.74	1.62

The mean scores for both security concerns and data privacy issues are high, with retailers reporting average scores of 7.80 and 7.74 (see table 1.1), respectively, on a scale ranging from 5 to 9 (see table 1.1).

2. Transaction Adoption Rate:

Table 1.2 Descriptive Statistics for Transaction Adoption Rate

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Transaction Adoption Rate	5.00	9.00	7.80	1.60

The mean transaction adoption rate is 7.80 (see table 1.2) indicating that, on average, a high percentage of transactions are conducted digitally among unorganized retailers. The standard deviation of 1.60 (see table 1.2) suggests some variability in adoption rates among retailers.

3. Distribution by Location:

Table 1.3: Distribution of Unorganized Retailers by Location

Location	Frequency	Percent
Rural	20	8.3
Suburban	60	25.0

Urban	120	50.0
Total	200	83.3

The majority of unorganized retailers are located in urban areas, comprising 50.0% (see table 1.3) of the sample, followed by suburban areas with 25.0% (see table 1.3). Rural areas account for 8.3% (see table 1.3) of the sample.

The descriptive analysis provides valuable insights into the perceived security concerns, data privacy issues, and digital transaction adoption rates among unorganized retailers, along with the distribution of retailers based on their geographical location.

Correlation Analysis

Table 1.4: Correlation Matrix

	Security Concerns	Data Privacy Issues	Transaction Adoption Rate
Security Concerns	1.000	0.109	-0.039
Data Privacy Issues	0.109	1.000	-0.007
Transaction Adoption Rate	-0.039	-0.007	1.000

The correlation matrix presents the Pearson correlation coefficients between security concerns, data privacy issues, and transaction adoption rate. The coefficients indicate the strength and direction of the linear relationships between the variables.

Discussion: The findings suggest that while security concerns and data privacy issues are prevalent among unorganized retailers, they may not be significant barriers to digital transaction adoption. Other factors, such as technological infrastructure and consumer behaviour, may play a more prominent role. Future research could explore these factors in greater detail to inform strategies for promoting digital transaction adoption in the unorganized retail sector.

Results:

1. Descriptive Analysis: Descriptive statistics revealed that unorganized retailers perceive security concerns and data privacy issues to be relatively high, with mean scores of 7.80 and 7.74 (see table 1.1), respectively, on a scale of 5 to 9 (see table 1.1). Transaction adoption rates varied, with a mean of 7.80 (see table 1.2).

3. Correlation Analysis: Correlation analysis indicated weak correlations between the variables, with none being statistically significant. This suggests that security concerns and data privacy issues may not directly influence the adoption of digital transactions among unorganized retailers.

Hypothesis Testing of objective 1:

- There was no significant correlation between security concerns and data privacy issues ($r = 0.109$, $p = 0.124$) (see table 1.4)
- Similarly, no significant correlations were found between security concerns and transaction adoption rate ($r = -0.039$, $p = 0.583$), and between data privacy issues and transaction adoption rate ($r = -0.007$, $p = 0.922$) (see table 1.4).

Conclusion: In conclusion, this study sheds light on the complex dynamics of digital transaction adoption in the unorganized retail sector. While security concerns and data privacy issues are important considerations, they may not be the primary determinants of adoption. Further research is needed to understand the multifaceted factors influencing digital transaction adoption among unorganized retailers.

Objective 2*Descriptive Statistics:*

Table 2.1: Descriptive Statistics for Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation, and Data Protection Measures

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Compliance Requirements	1.00	5.00	3.8300	1.36397
Security Implementation	1.00	5.00	3.4600	1.49317
Data Protection Measures	1.00	5.00	3.7100	1.41985

The descriptive statistics reveal the central tendency and variability of Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation, and Data Protection Measures among unorganized retailers. On average, the Compliance Requirements score was 3.83 (see table 2.1), with a standard deviation of 1.36 (see table 2.1), indicating moderate variability in compliance levels. Similarly, Security Implementation and Data Protection Measures had mean scores of 3.46 and 3.71, respectively (see table 2.1), with standard deviations of 1.49 and 1.42 (see table 2.1), suggesting variability in the implementation of security measures and data protection practices among the retailers.

These statistics provide valuable insights into the levels of compliance, security implementation, and data protection measures adopted by unorganized retailers, forming the foundation for further analysis and interpretation.

Correlation Analysis:

Correlation coefficients were calculated to explore the relationships between Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation, and Data Protection Measures among unorganized retailers engaging in digital transactions. The results are presented in Table 2 below:

Table 2.2: Correlation Matrix

	Compliance Requirements	Security Implementation	Data Protection Measures
Compliance Requirements	1.000	0.053	0.530**
Security Implementation	0.053	1.000	0.504**
Data Protection Measures	0.530**	0.504**	1.000

The correlation matrix reveals the Pearson correlation coefficients between Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation, and Data Protection Measures.

- There is a significant positive correlation between Compliance Requirements and Data Protection Measures ($r = 0.530$, $p < 0.01$) (see table 2.2), indicating that higher levels of compliance requirements are associated with greater implementation of data protection measures among unorganized retailers.

- Similarly, a significant positive correlation is observed between Security Implementation and Data Protection Measures ($r = 0.504$, $p < 0.01$) (see table 2.2), suggesting that higher levels of security implementation are associated with greater implementation of data protection measures.

However, there is no significant correlation between Compliance Requirements and Security Implementation ($r = 0.053$, $p = 0.453$) (see table 2.2), implying that the stringency of compliance requirements may not directly influence the level of security implementation among unorganized retailers.

These findings provide insights into the interplay between compliance requirements, security implementation, and data protection measures, highlighting areas for further investigation and potential implications for regulatory policies in the unorganized retail sector.

Regression Analysis:

A multiple regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between Compliance Requirements, Data Protection Measures, and Security Implementation among unorganized retailers engaging in digital transactions. The results are presented below:

Table 2.3: ANOVA Results

Source	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Square (MS)	F	Sig.
Regression	140.895	2	70.448	45.835	<.001
Residual	302.785	197	1.537		
Total	443.680	199			

The regression model significantly predicts Security Implementation ($F(2, 197) = 45.835$, $p < .001$) (see table 2.3), indicating that Compliance Requirements and Data Protection Measures jointly explain a significant amount of variance in Security Implementation.

Table 2.4: Regression Coefficients for Security Implementation

Variable	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t-value	Sig.
Constant	2.125	-	7.411	<.001
Compliance Requirements	-0.325	-0.297	-4.279	<.001
Data Protection Measures	0.696	0.661	9.531	<.001

The regression coefficients represent the predicted change in Security Implementation for a one-unit change in each predictor variable, while holding other variables constant.

- Compliance Requirements: For each unit increase in Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation is expected to decrease by 0.325 (see table 2.4) units, after controlling for Data Protection Measures. This relationship is statistically significant ($t = -4.279$, $p < .001$) (see table 2.4).

- Data Protection Measures: For each unit increase in Data Protection Measures, Security Implementation is expected to increase by 0.696 (see table 2.4) units, after controlling for Compliance Requirements. This relationship is statistically significant ($t = 9.531$, $p < .001$) (see table 2.4).

Hypotheses Testing for objective 2:

Based on the analysis of descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression tests, we can evaluate the hypotheses as follows:

Null Hypothesis (H0):

The level of security implementation and data protection measures among unorganized retailers is not influenced by the stringency of regulatory compliance requirements for digital transactions.

Alternative Hypothesis (H1):

The level of security implementation and data protection measures among unorganized retailers is influenced by the stringency of regulatory compliance requirements for digital transactions.

Evaluation:

- The descriptive statistics revealed significant variations in Compliance Requirements, Security Implementation, and Data Protection Measures among unorganized retailers.

- The correlation analysis demonstrated a significant positive correlation between Compliance Requirements and Data Protection Measures, as well as between Security Implementation and Data Protection Measures.

- The regression analysis indicated that both Compliance Requirements and Data Protection Measures are significant predictors of Security Implementation.

Conclusion:

Based on the significant correlations and regression coefficients, we reject the null hypothesis (H0) and accept the alternative hypothesis (H1). The findings suggest that the level of

security implementation and data protection measures among unorganized retailers is influenced by the stringency of regulatory compliance requirements for digital transactions. Compliance requirements play a significant role in shaping the implementation of security and data protection measures among retailers.

These results underscore the importance of regulatory frameworks in promoting security and data protection practices in the unorganized retail sector. Policymakers and stakeholders should consider strengthening compliance requirements to enhance security measures and protect consumer data in the digital retail lands.

Objective 3:

Table 3.1: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Consumer Trust	200	1.00	5.00	3.49	1.52
Digital Transaction Perception	200	1.00	5.00	3.41	1.53
Income	200	\$30,000.00	\$155,000.00	\$72,325.00	\$30,007.86
Age	200	17.00	50.00	30.82	9.50
Data Privacy Issues	200	5.00	9.00	7.74	1.62
Gender	200	1.00	2.00	1.41	0.49

These descriptive statistics provide an overview of the central tendency, dispersion, and distribution of the variables in the dataset. For example, Consumer Trust and Digital Transaction Perception have mean scores close to the midpoint of their scales, suggesting moderate levels of perception. Income shows a wide range of values, with a considerable standard deviation indicating variability in income levels among respondents. Age demonstrates a moderate spread around the mean, while Data Privacy Issues are perceived relatively high on average, with moderate variability among respondents. Additionally, the Gender variable indicates a sample with slightly more males than females.

Table 3.2: Correlation Matrix

Variable	Consumer Trust	Digital Transaction Perception	Data Privacy Issues	Security Concerns
Consumer Trust	1.000	0.011	0.010	0.016
Digital Transaction Perception	0.011	1.000	-0.074	-0.071
Data Privacy Issues	0.010	-0.074	1.000	0.109
Security Concerns	0.016	-0.071	0.109	1.000

Notes: Correlation coefficients are Pearson correlation coefficients. Sig. (2-tailed) values are provided in parentheses.

The correlation matrix presents the relationships between variables. The values range from -1 to 1, where 1 indicates a perfect positive correlation, -1 indicates a perfect negative correlation, and 0 indicates no correlation.

As shown in the table 3.2, there are weak correlations between Consumer Trust, Digital Transaction Perception, and Data Privacy Issues. However, there is a weak positive correlation between Data Privacy Issues and Security Concerns.

Regression Analysis: Influence of Security Concerns and Data Privacy Issues on Digital Transaction Perception

A regression analysis was conducted to explore the relationship between security concerns, data privacy issues, and digital transaction perception within the unorganized retail sector. Digital Transaction Perception served as the dependent variable, while Security Concerns and Data Privacy Issues were the independent variables.

Table 3.3: ANOVA Table for Regression Analysis

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	4.443	2	2.221	0.948	0.389
Residual	461.752	197	2.344		
Total	466.195	199			

Notes: Dependent Variable: Digital Transaction Perception. Predictors: Constant, Data Privacy Issues, Security Concerns.

Table 3.4: Coefficients for Regression Analysis

Notes: Dependent Variable: Digital Transaction Perception.

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
	B	Std. Error		
Constant	4.372	0.711	6.149	<.001
Security_Concerns	-0.061	0.068	-0.896	0.371
Data_Privacy_Issues	-0.064	0.067	-0.941	0.348

Results

The overall regression model was not statistically significant (F (2, 197) = 0.948, p = 0.389) (see table3.3), indicating that the predictors collectively did not explain a significant amount of variance in Digital Transaction Perception.

Table 3.3 shows the ANOVA results for the regression model. As indicated, neither Security Concerns ($\beta = -0.064$, p = 0.371)(see table 3.4) nor Data Privacy Issues ($\beta = -0.067$, p = 0.348) (see table 3.4) had a statistically significant impact on Digital Transaction Perception. The coefficients for both predictors were negative, but close to zero, suggesting that they did not significantly influence digital transaction perception in this context.

Furthermore, the constant term in the model was statistically significant ($B = 4.372$, $SE = 0.711$, $t = 6.149$, $p < 0.001$) (see table 3.4), indicating that there was a significant baseline level of digital transaction perception, even when security concerns and data privacy issues were held constant.

The results of the regression analysis suggest that security concerns and data privacy issues do not significantly influence digital transaction perception within the unorganized retail sector. Other unexplored factors may play a more substantial role in shaping consumers' perceptions of digital transactions in this context.

These findings underscore the complexity of consumer perceptions in digital transactions and highlight the need for further research to explore additional factors that may impact digital transaction perception in the unorganized retail sector.

Hypothesis Testing for objective 3:

Regression Analysis Results:

- Security Concerns:

- Coefficient (B): -0.061

- p-value: 0.371

- **Data Privacy Issues:**

- Coefficient (B): -0.064

- p-value: 0.348

Decision Rule:

- Significance level (α): 0.05

- If $p > 0.05$, fail to reject the null hypothesis (H_0).

Conclusion:

- For Security Concerns: $p = 0.371$ ($p > 0.05$)

- For Data Privacy Issues: $p = 0.348$ ($p > 0.05$)

Since the p-values for both security concerns and data privacy issues are greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis (H_0).

Interpretation:

There is no significant impact of perceived security concerns and data privacy issues on consumer trust and perception of digital transactions in the unorganized retail sector.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this research paper sheds light on a variety of aspects related to digital transactions and e-commerce in India. Through an extensive review of literature and analysis of various studies conducted by researchers, it becomes evident that digital payment systems and e-banking have witnessed significant growth and evolution in recent years. The emergence of payment banks, advancements in technology, and changing consumer preferences have reshaped the landscape of financial services and retail transactions in the country.

Key findings from the reviewed studies highlight the challenges and opportunities faced by different stakeholders in the digital transaction ecosystem. From security concerns and data

privacy issues to regulatory compliance requirements and technological advancements, there are numerous factors influencing the adoption and success of digital payment systems in India. Additionally, studies have emphasized the importance of customer satisfaction, infrastructure development, and literacy enhancement in driving the widespread adoption of e-commerce and digital transactions across various segments of society.

Furthermore, the research indicates that while digital payment systems offer numerous benefits such as convenience, efficiency, and accessibility, there are also challenges that need to be addressed. These include security vulnerabilities, infrastructure limitations, regulatory constraints, and the need for continuous innovation to meet evolving consumer expectations.

In light of these findings, it is evident that there is a need for concerted efforts from policymakers, financial institutions, technology providers, and other stakeholders to foster a conducive environment for the growth of digital transactions in India. This includes investing in robust security measures, enhancing financial literacy, expanding digital infrastructure, and promoting innovation in payment systems. By addressing these challenges and capitalizing on the opportunities presented by digitalization, India can accelerate its journey towards a more inclusive and digitally empowered economy.

Overall, this research paper contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing insights into the dynamics of digital transactions and e-commerce in India. It underscores the importance of collaboration, innovation, and regulatory reform in driving the continued growth and development of the digital economy, ultimately benefiting consumers, businesses, and the nation as a whole.

Limitations of the study:

1. Sample Size and Representativeness: This study's sample size may restrict the generalizability of findings to the broader unorganized retail sector. Furthermore, the composition of the sample, particularly in terms of geographical representation, may not fully capture the diversity of retailers across different regions.

2. Data Collection Methods: The paper lacks detailed information on the employed data collection methods, raising concerns about the reliability and validity of the findings. Future research endeavours should prioritize robust data collection procedures to bolster the credibility of results.

3. Scope of Variables: While this study examines security concerns, data privacy issues, and adoption rates, it might overlook other pertinent variables influencing digital transaction adoption. Broadening the analysis to include a more comprehensive range of factors could provide a deeper understanding of adoption dynamics in the unorganized retail sector.

Future Scope of the Research

1. Longitudinal Studies on Consumer Trust:

- Examine the long-term impact of security measures on consumer trust and behaviour in the unorganized retail sector.

2. Impact of Emerging Technologies:

- Investigate the adoption and effects of technologies like blockchain, AI-driven fraud detection, and biometric authentication on transaction security.

3. Comparative Analysis Across Regions:

- Conduct comparative studies on security practices in unorganized retail across different regions to identify adaptable best practices.

4. Cybersecurity Training Programs:

- Assess the effectiveness of cybersecurity training programs tailored for unorganized retailers and their impact on reducing vulnerabilities.

5. Influence of Regulatory Changes:

- Analyse the effects of new or revised data protection regulations on the security practices of unorganized retailers.

6. Consumer Awareness and Education:

- Explore the role of consumer education in mitigating security and privacy concerns in digital transactions.

7. Economic Impact of Security Breaches:

- Investigate the economic repercussions of security breaches on unorganized retailers, including financial losses and reputational damage.

8. Collaboration Models for Enhanced Security:

- Study successful collaboration models between retailers, technology providers, and regulators to improve security measures.

9. Behavioural Analysis of Cybercriminals:

- Research the tactics and motivations of cybercriminals targeting the unorganized retail sector to develop targeted security strategies.

10. Sustainability of Security Investments:

- Evaluate the ROI and sustainability of implementing advanced security measures for unorganized retailers.

These areas provide a roadmap for future research aimed at enhancing security and data privacy in the unorganized retail sector, contributing to a secure digital economy.

References**Bibliography**

1. Mukherjee, M., & Cuthbertson, R. (2015). Applying the scenarios method to capture uncertainties of retail development in emerging markets. *Int. Rev. Retail. Distrib. Consum. Res.*, 26, 323–346.
2. Euromonitor. (2021). Top 100 Retailers in Asia 2021. Retrieved from https://go.euromonitor.com/white-paper-retailing-210525-top-100-retailers-in-asia-2021.html?utm_source=Top_100_Retailers_Asia_2021&utm_medium=press%20release&utm_campaign=CT_WP_21_05_25_Top%20100%20Retailers%20Asia#download-link (accessed on 29 June 2021).
3. Bronnenberg, B. J., & Ellickson, P. B. (2015). Adolescence and the Path to Maturity in Global Retail. *J. Econ. Perspect.*, 29, 113–134.
4. Adhikary, A., Diatha, K. S., Borah, S. B., & Sharma, A. (2021). How does the adoption of digital payment technologies influence unorganized retailers' performance? An investigation in an emerging market. *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.*, 49, 882–902.
5. Kumar, V., Nim, N., & Sharma, A. (2019). Driving growth of Mwallets in emerging markets: A retailer's perspective. *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.*, 47, 747–769.
6. Gupta, S., & Ramachandran, D. (Year). *Emerging Market Retail: Transitioning from a Product-Centric to a Customer-Centric Approach*.
7. Peparah, A. A., Giachetti, C., Larsen, M. M., & Rajwani, T. S. (2021). How Business Models Evolve in Weak Institutional Environments: The Case of Jumia, the Amazon.Com of Africa. *Organ. Sci.* Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2021.1444>
8. Raghavendra, R. H. (2004). An Overview of Unorganised Retail Sector in India. *ELK Asia Pac. J. Mark. Retail. Manag.*, 5(5).

9. Mukherjee, M., & Cuthbertson, R. (2015). Applying the scenarios method to capture uncertainties of retail development in emerging markets. *Int. Rev. Retail. Distrib. Consum. Res.*, 26, 323–346.
10. Euromonitor. (2021). Top 100 Retailers in Asia 2021. Retrieved from https://go.euromonitor.com/white-paper-retailing-210525-top-100-retailers-in-asia-2021.html?utm_source=Top_100_Retailers_Asia_2021&utm_medium=press%20release&utm_campaign=CT_WP_21_05_25_Top%20100%20Retailers%20Asia#download-link (accessed on 29 June 2021).
11. Bronnenberg, B. J., & Ellickson, P. B. (2015). Adolescence and the Path to Maturity in Global Retail. *J. Econ. Perspect.*, 29, 113–134.
12. Reinartz, W., Dellaert, B., Krafft, M., Kumar, V., & Varadarajan, R. (2011). Retailing Innovations in a Globalizing Retail Market Environment. *J. Retail.*, 87, S53–S66.
13. Sinha, P. K., Gokhale, S., & Rawal, S. (2015). Online Retailing Paired with Kirana—A Formidable Combination for Emerging Markets. *Cust. Needs Solut.*, 2, 317–324.
14. Bijmolt, T. H., Broekhuis, M., De Leeuw, S., Hirche, C., Rooderkerk, R. P., Sousa, R., & Zhu, S. X. (2021). Challenges at the marketing–operations interface in omni-channel retail environments. *J. Bus. Res.*, 122, 864–874.
15. Viswanathan, M., & Rosa, J. A. (2007). Product and market development for subsistence marketplaces: Consumption and entrepreneurship beyond literacy and resource barriers. In *Product and Market Development for Subsistence Marketplaces*; Jose, R., Madhu, V., Eds.; Emerald Group Publishing Limited: Bingley, UK, pp. 1–17.
16. Hammond, A. L., Kramer, W. J., Katz, R. S., Tran, J. T., & Walker, C. (2007). The Next 4 Billion. *Innov. Technol. Gov. Glob.*, 2, 147–158.
17. Ruth, J. A., & Hsiung, R. O. (2007). A family systems interpretation of how subsistence consumers manage: The case of South Africa. In *Product and Market Development for Subsistence Marketplaces*; Jose, R., Madhu, V., Eds.; Emerald Group Publishing Limited: Bingley, UK, pp. 59–87.
18. Sheth, J. N. (2011). Impact of Emerging Markets on Marketing: Rethinking Existing Perspectives and Practices. *J. Mark.*, 75, 166–182.
19. Yu, H.-C., Hsi, K.-H., & Kuo, P.-J. (2002). Electronic payment systems: An analysis and comparison of types. *Technol. Soc.*, 24, 331–347.
20. Cap Gemini and BNP Paribas. (Year). World Payments Report. Retrieved from <https://worldpaymentsreport.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/2018/10/World-Payments-Report-2018.pdf> (accessed on 2 July 2018).
21. Ernst and Young. (Year). Covid-19: How to Build Supply Chains Resilient to Disruption. Retrieved from https://www.ey.com/en_sg/consulting/how-to-build-a-supply-chain-thats-resilient-to-global-disruption (accessed on 2 July 2021).
22. Risselada, H., Verhoef, P., & Bijmolt, T. H. (2014). Dynamic Effects of Social Influence and Direct Marketing on the Adoption of High-Technology Products. *J. Mark.*, 78, 52–68.
23. Ernst and Young. (Year). SMEs in Southeast Asia: Redesigning for the Digital Economy. Retrieved from https://www.ey.com/en_sg/growth/growth-markets-services/ey-smes-in-southeast-asia-redesigning-for-the-digital-economy (accessed on 2 July 2021).
24. Reinartz, W., Dellaert, B., Krafft, M., Kumar, V., & Varadarajan, R. (2011). Retailing Innovations in a Globalizing Retail Market Environment. *J. Retail.*, 87, S53–S66.
25. Hagberg, J., Sundstrom, M., & Egels-Zanden, N. (2016). The Digitalization of Retailing: An Exploratory Framework. *International Journal of Retail Distribution Management*, 44(7), 694–712.
26. Corkery, M. (Year). Is American Retail at a Historic Tipping Point? *New York Times*, 16 April 2017.
27. Peterson, H. (Year). The Retail Apocalypse has Officially Descended on America. *Business Insider*, downloaded from <http://Nordic.Businessinsider.com>, 21 March 2017.
28. Ramakrishnan, L. (2010). The Competitive Response of Small, Independent Retailers to Organized Retail: Study in an Emerging Economy. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 17(4), 251–258.
29. Seethamraju, R., & Diatha, K. (2018). Adoption of Digital Payments by Small Retail Stores. 10.5130/acis2018.as.
30. Maruyama, M., & Trung, L. V. (2007). Traditional bazaar or supermarkets: A probit analysis of affluent consumer perceptions in Hanoi. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 17(3), 233–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593960701368804>
31. Goswami, Paromita & Mishra, Mridula. (2009). Would Indian consumers move from kirana stores to organized retailers when shopping for groceries?. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*. 21. 127-143. 10.1108/13555850910926281.
32. Rani, Ekta. (2013). Supermarkets Vs Small kirana stores. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*. 10. 1-7. 10.9790/487X-1010107.
33. Kalhan, Anuradha & Franz, Martin. (2009). Regulation of Retail: Comparative Experience. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 44. 10.2307/25663423.
34. Sinha, P.K.; Gokhale, S.; Rawal, S. Online Retailing Paired with Kirana—A Formidable Combination for Emerging Markets. *Cust. Needs Solut.* 2015, 2, 317–324.
35. Burton-Jones, A., & Gallivan, M.J. (2007). Toward a deeper understanding of system usage in organizations. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(4), 657–679.
36. Burton-Jones, A., & Straub, D.W. (2006). Reconceptualizing system usage: An approach and empirical test. *Information Systems Research*, 17(3), 228–246.
37. Orlikowski, Wanda. (2008). Using Technology and Constituting Structures: A Practice Lens for Studying Technology in Organizations. In *Resources, Co-Evolution and Artifacts* (pp. 255-305).
38. Pantano, Eleonora & Viassone, Milena. (2015). Engaging consumers on new integrated multichannel retail settings: Challenges for retailers. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 25, 10.1016/j.jretconser.2015.04.003.

39. Renko, Sanda & Druzijanic, Mirna. (2014). Perceived usefulness of innovative technology in retailing: Consumers' and retailers' point of view. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21, 10.1016/j.jretconser.2014.02.015.
40. Report On Conditions Of Work And Promotion Of Livelihoods In The Unorganised Sector. (2008). Academic Foundation, 1 January 2008, p. 1774. ISBN 978-81-7188-678-4.
41. Mukherjee, M., & Wood, J. (2021). Consolidating unorganized retail businesses through digital platforms: implications for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 12031.
42. Mukherjee, M., & Wood, J. (2021). Consolidating unorganized retail businesses through digital platforms: implications for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 12031.
43. Ghosh, G. (2021). Adoption of Digital Payment System by Consumer: A review of Literature. *Researchgate.Net*, 9(2), 412–418.
44. Kulkarni, S., Varma, A. J., & Venugopal, D. R. P. (2021). A Literature Study Of Consumer Perception Towards Digital Payment Mode In India. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(1), 3304–3319. <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i1.1270>
45. Mate, R., & Kapdi, A. (2021). Impact of Covid-19 on Digital Payment System. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(3), 4794–4799.
46. Ma, X., et al. (2021). Preprint not peer review Preprint. 24(9), 5–7.
47. Chawla, A. (2020, April 8). COVID-19 as a Catalyst in the Deeper Acceptance of Digital Payment Platforms. Retrieved from <https://www.counterpointresearch.com/covid-19-catalyst-digital-payment/>
48. Agarwal, S. (2020, April 27). Accenture. Retrieved from <https://bankingblog.accenture.com/top-eight-ways-covid-19-will-impact-payments>
49. Patel, M. (2020, April 22). How COVID-19 Increases Digital Payment Adoption Globally. Retrieved from <https://www.business2community.com/tech-gadgets/how-covid-19-increases-digital-payment-adoption-globally-02303758>
50. Gera, I. (2020, March 30). COVID-19: Want Contactless Payments? Go Digital. Retrieved from <https://www.financialexpress.com/industry/covid-19-want-contactless-payments-go-digital/1912889/>
51. Malik, R., Kataria, A., & Nandal, N. (n.d.). Analysis of Digital Wallets for Sustainability: A Comparative Analysis between Retailers and Customers.
52. Joshi, R., & Kumar, B. (2020). Impact of Digital India on Indian Economy.
53. Seethamraju, R., & Diatha, K. (2018). Adoption of Digital Payments by Small Retail Stores. 10.5130/acis2018.as.
54. Nguyen, O. T. (2020). Factors affecting the intention to use digital banking in Vietnam. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(3), 303-310. doi: <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no3.303>
55. Agarwal, S., Basu, D., Ghosh, P., Pareek, B., & Zhang, J. (2018). Demonetization and Digitization. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3197990>
56. Adharsh, R., Harikrishnan, J., Prasad, A., & Venugopal, J. S. (2018). Transformation towards E-Wallet Payment Systems Pertaining to Indian Youth. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 119
57. Fabris, N. (2018). Challenges for Modern Monetary Policy. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 2, 5–24.
58. Singh, S., & Rana, R. (2017). Study of Consumer Perception of Digital Payment Mode. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce (JIBC)*, 22(3), 1–14. <http://www.icommercentral.com>
59. Mahajan, P., & Singla, A. (2017). Effect of Demonetization on Financial Inclusion in India. *International Journal of Science Technology and Management*, 6(1), 338-343.
60. Sujth, T. S., & Julie, C. D. (2017). Opportunities and challenges of E-Payment System in India. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 5(9), 6935-6943.
61. Singh, S., & Rana, R. (2017). Study of Consumer Perception of Digital Payment Mode. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce (JIBC)*, 22(3), 1–14. <http://www.icommercentral.com>
62. Garg, P., & Panchal, M. (2017). Study on Introduction of Cashless Economy in India 2016: Benefits & Challenges. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 19(4), 116-120.
63. Gajjar, N. (2016). Black Money in India – Present Status and Future Challenges and Demonetization. *International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies*, 4(12), 47-54.
64. Anchor, N. P., & Robert, A. (2013). Shifting Policy Paradigm from Cash Based Economy to Cashless Economy: The Nigerian Experience. *Afro Asian Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(4), 1-16.
65. Adharsh, R., Harikrishnan, J., Prasad, A., & Venugopal, J. S. (2018). Transformation towards E-Wallet Payment Systems Pertaining to Indian Youth. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 119
66. Prof Khan, N., & Ansari, S. (2017, August). E-Banking in India: Progress and Challenges. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advances Studies*, 4(8). ISSN: 23944404.
67. Khurana, B. (2017, May). Dream of Cashless India: Benefits and Challenges. *International Journal of Research-Granthaalayah*, 5(5), 377-381. ISSN: 23500530(O), 23943629(P).
68. Kaur, R. (2017, December). India's Progressive Transition towards Cashless Economy. *Global Journal of Commerce and Management Perspective*, 6(6), 30-35. ISSN: 23197285.
69. Thukral, P. (2017, June). Cash Lite India: Boon or Bane? *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*, 4(6), 450-453. ISSN: 23944404.
70. Nitin, M., Jijin, P., & Baiju, P. (2018-19). Has Demonetisation pushed Digitalisation in India? Some counter Evidences. *Journal of Business Thought*, 9. ISSN (P): 2231-1734.
71. Ahmad, N., & Schreyer, P. (2016). Measuring GDP in a Digitalised Economy. *OECD Statistics Working Papers*, No. 2016/07, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5jlwqd81d09r-en>.
72. Worthington, S. (1995). The cashless society. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 23(7), 31-40. ISSN: 0959-0552.

73. Malik, G., & Gulati, K. (2013). An exploratory Study on Adoption and Use of SMS/Mobile Banking in India with Special reference to Public Sector Banks. *Pacific Business Review International*, 5(11).
74. Kumar, V., & Kumari, K. (2014). A shift Paradigm of Consumer towards online shopping. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 16(3), ISSN: 2278-487X.
75. Anand, N. (2016). Vodafone set to launch payments bank by March. *Business Standard*. Retrieved from https://www.businessstandard.com/article/companies/vodafone-set-to-launch-payments-bank-by-march-116121300822_1.html
76. Arun, G. M., & Punj, S. (2016). Banking on E-Cash. *India Today*. Retrieved from <https://www.indiatoday.in/magazine/from-india-today-magazine/story/20170102-digital-economy-cashless-transactions-online-banking-mobile-banking-digital-currency-e-wallets-830074-2016-12-22>
77. Podile, V., & Rajesh, P. (2017). Public Perception on Cashless Transactions in India. *Asian Journal of Research in Banking and Finance*, 7(7), 63-77.
78. Manocha, S., Kejriwal, R., & Upadhyaya, A. (2019). The Impact of Demonetization on Digital Payment Transactions: A Statistical Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 229–235. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3446558>
79. Manda, V. K., & Margana, S. (2019). Transformation Towards Cashless Economy – An Indian Perspective. In *Proceedings of 10th International Conference: Digital Strategies for Organizational Success*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3319884>
80. Beatty, J. (2017). How small businesses can increase their digital capabilities. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2017/07/how-small-businesses-can-increase-their-digital-capabilities>
81. Deloitte. (2014). Deloitte PoV on Payments Bank. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/in/Documents/financial-services/in-fs-deloitte-pov-on-payments-banking-license-guidelines-noexp.pdf>
82. Chandra Gnanasambandam et al. (2012). Online and upcoming: The Internet's impact on India (Technical Report No. 22). McKinsey and Company. Retrieved from http://www.mckinsey.com/~media/mckinsey%20offices/India/pdfs/online_and_upcoming_the_internets_impact_on_india.ashx (Accessed on June 2, 2017).
83. Kumbhar, V. M. (2011). Determinants of customer satisfaction in ATM service setting: Empirical evidences from India. *Management Research and Practice*, 3(2), 24-35.
84. Kapuria, P., & Nalawade, H. S. (2021). Digitising Indian Retail: Analysing Challenges and Exploring Growth Models (ORF Occasional Paper No. 304). Observer Research Foundation.
85. Sparrman, Anna. (2012). David Marshall (ed.) *Understanding Children as Consumers*. *Journal of Consumer Culture - J CONSUM CULT*. 12. 112-114. 10.1177/1469540511429503c.
86. Bank, Canara & Subramani, Parvathi. (2021). THE IMPACT OF PANDEMIC ON DIGITAL PAYMENTS IN INDIA.
87. Aishwarya, P., & Maheshwari, M. (2018). Impact of cashless economy on small retailers – An analytical study in Coimbatore. Vol 8, No 1.4, Aishwarya.
88. Rofiat, A. (2017). The effect of cashless banking on the financial performance of small and medium scale enterprises. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Public Policy, Social Development and Enterprise Studies*, 2(2), pp. 133-142.
89. Abirami, T. (2017). Response towards cashless economy: A study in Kumbakonam retail market. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Management Studies (IJIRMS)*, 2(3), 62-66.
90. Thomas, Sheetal & Krishnamurthi, Gopal. (2017). Cashless Rural Economy – A Dream or Reality ?. 15.
91. Bhattacharyya, A., & Noria, Y. (2017, July 14). India paves its way to a cashless economy. *PwC India*, 1-4.
92. Kapila, U. (2017). *Demonetisation: The Economists Speak* (1st ed.). New Delhi, India: Academia Foundation, 2-6.
93. Reddy, C. R. (2017). *Demonetisation and Black Money*. Delhi: Orient Blackswan Private Limited.
94. Dhingra, I. C. (2017). *Demonetisation: First Step Towards A Cashless Economy*. New Delhi: Manakin Press Pvt. Ltd.
95. Singh, S., & Rana, R. (2017). Study of Consumer Perception of Digital Payment Mode. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce (JIBC)*, 22(3), 1–14. Retrieved from <http://www.icommercecentral.com>
96. Kokila, V., & Usha Devi, R. (2017). A Study on Consumer Behavior on Cashless Transaction in U.T of Puducherry. *Kaav International Journal of Economics, Commerce & Business Management*, 4(2), 207-216.
97. Mohan, S., & Kp, V. (2021). Digital payment systems: Perceptions and concerns among urban consumers. 3, 1118-1122.
98. Achord, S & Chan, J & Collier, I & Nardani, S & Rochemont, Sabrina. (2018). *A Cashless Society Benefits, Risks and Issues* (Interim Paper).
99. Anand, N. (2016). Vodafone set to launch payments bank by March. *Business Standard*. Retrieved from https://www.businessstandard.com/article/companies/vodafone-set-to-launch-payments-bank-by-march-116121300822_1.html
100. Rathore, H. S. (2016). Adoption of Digital Wallet by Consumers. *BVIMSR's Journal of Management Research*, 8(1), April. Retrieved August 7, 2018.
101. Mukhopadhyay, B. (2016). Understanding cashless payments in India. *Financial Innovation*, 2, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-016-0047-4>
102. Van Son Dinh, H. V., Nguyen, T. N., & Nguyen, T. N. (2018). Cash or cashless? Promoting consumers' adoption of mobile payments in an emerging. 08-2017-0126.
103. Bouwman, H., Nikou, S., Molina-Castillo, F. J., & de Reuver, M. (2018). The impact of digitalization on business models. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 20(2), 105-124. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-07-2017-0039>
104. Painuly, P., & Rathi, S. (2016). Mobile Wallet: An Upcoming Mode of Business Transactions. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4, 56-363.

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, **UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 2, 2022**

105. Sathiya Bama, P., & Gunasundari, K. (2016). Challenges and Future Prospects of Plastic Money. *International Journal of Management Research & Review*. Volume 6 (7). (pp 942-949). Article No-8/942-949. ISSN: 2249-7196. Available at http://ijmrr.com/admin/upload_data/journal_Gunasundari%208jul16mrr.pdf
106. Saini, B. M. (2016). Demonetization – Metamorphosis for Cashless India. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 5(12), Retrieved from www.ijsr.net.
107. Kumari, D. (2016). Cashless Transaction: Methods, Applications and Challenges. *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Educational Development (IJERED)*, 4(6), Nov-Dec.
108. Kaur, K., et al. (2016). E-Payment System on E-Commerce in India. *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 5(5).
109. . Kapoor, H. (2016). Customer Satisfaction and E-Banking services: A Case Study of Tricity. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering, and Technology*, 4(10), ISSN: 2319-8753.
110. Iftekhar, S. N., & Jihye, H. (2015). ICT Based Education for Literacy in India: Vision Beyond 2015. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 6(5), ISSN: 2319-7668.
111. Deloitte PoV on Payments Bank (2014). Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/in/Documents/financial-services/in-fs-deloitte-pov-on-payments-banking-license-guidelines-noexp.pdf>
112. Subramanian, S. (2014). Paper-free payment systems in India: An analytical study. *International Journal of Management*, 5(1), 80-87, ISSN: 0976-6502.
113. Kumar, S. (n.d.). India riding the ATM wave. *Hindustan Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.hindustantimes.com/india/india-riding-the-atm-wave/story-O8SfQvGikOD8dAssOB6GMI.html>
114. Raja, J., Velmurgan, M. S., & Seetharaman, A. (2008). E-payments: Problems and Prospects. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 13(1).
115. Mbogo, M. (2010). The Impact of Mobile Payments on the Success and Growth of Micro-Business: The Case of M-Pesa in Kenya. *Journal of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jolte.v2i1.51998>
116. Banik, N., & Padalka, M. S. (2016). India's Demonetization: Time for a Digital Economy. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved from <http://thediplomat.com/2016/12/indias-demonetization-time-for-a-digital-economy>
117. Roy, D., & Sahoo, A. (2016). Payment Systems in India: Opportunities and Challenges. *The Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*.
118. Ingle, A., & Pardeshi, R. (2012). Internet Banking in India: Challenges and Opportunities. *IBMRD's Journal of Management and Research*, 1, 13-18
119. Roth, B. L. (2010). The Future
120. of Money: The Cashless Economy - Part 1. [Online]. Available: http://www.x.com/.../future_money_cashless_economy_part_1.
121. Mukhopadhyay, B. (2016). Understanding cashless payments in India. *Financ Innov*, 2, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-016-0047-4>
122. Paul, A., & Friday, O. (2012). Nigeria's cashless economy: The imperatives. *International Journal of Management and Business Studies*, 2, 31–36
123. Balan, R. K., Ramasubbu, N., & Tayi, G. K. (2006). Digital wallet: Requirements and challenges. *Singapore Management University and SUNY at Albany*, 1-6.
124. Rathore, H. S. (2016). Adoption of digital wallet by consumers. *BVMSR's Journal of Management Research*, 8(1), 69-75.
125. Al-Laham, M., Abdallat, N., & Al-Tarawneh, H. (2009). Development of electronic money and its impact on the Central Bank role and Monetary Policy. *Journal of Information Science and Information Technology*, 6, 339-341.
126. Kaur, M. (2011). Perception of card users and member establishments towards plastic money in India. Doctoral Thesis, Guru Nanak Dev University, Department of Commerce and Business Management.
127. Sidhu, N. S. (2013). Perception and acceptability of electronic banking: a study of the customers of selected banks. *International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research*, 2(6), 55-61.
128. Tornatzky, L. and Fleischer, M. (1990) *The process of technology innovation*, Lexington, MA, Lexington Books.
129. Kapuria, P., & Nalawade, H. S. (2021). Digitising Indian Retail: Analysing Challenges and Exploring Growth Models. ORF Occasional Paper No. 304. Observer Research Foundation. Retrieved from https://www.orfonline.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/ORF_OccasionalPaper_304_DigitisingIndianRetail.pdf
130. Seethamraju, R., & Diatha, K. S. (2019). Digitalization of Small Retail Stores – Challenges in Digital Payments. Proceedings of the 52nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. Retrieved from https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/338079/Do_Van%20Anh.pdf?sequence=2
- 131.

Websites:

1. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/dtlecdeinf2023d1_en.pdf
2. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/tn_unctad_ict4d17_en.pdf
3. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/tn_unctad_ict4d14_en.pdf
4. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/tn_unctad_ict4d09_en.pdf
5. NPCI Report (2020). <https://www.npci.org.in/PDF/npci/knowledge-center/Digital-Payment-Adoption-in-India-2020.pdf>
6. IBEF Report (2019) https://www.ibef.org/uploads/IBEF_Annual_Report_2019-20.pdf
7. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/tn_unctad_ict4d17_en.pdf
8. RBI pushes digital payments in the time of COVID-19. (2020, March 16). Retrieved from <https://bsfi.economicstimes.indiatimes.com/news/policy/rbi-pushes-digital-payments-in-the-time-of-covid-19/74655639>

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, **UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, S Iss 2, 2022**

9. How RBI has sent payments banks on a road to extinction (2019, Dec 24). Retrieved from <https://www.livemint.com/opinion/columns/opinion-how-rbi-has-sent-payments-banks-on-a-road-to-extinction11577207728618.html>
 10. CRISIL Inclusix (2015). Retrieved from <https://www.crisil.com/content/dam/crisil/crisil-foundation/generic-pdf/CRISILInclusix-Volume-III.pdf>
 11. <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/Publications/PDFs/PSSBOOKLET93D3AEFDEAF14044BC1BB36662C41A8C.PDF>
 12. http://cashlessindia.gov.in/capacity_building_and_awareness.html
 13. <https://prsindia.org/budgets/parliament/union-budget-2020-21-analysis#:~:text=Expenditure%3A%20The%20government%20proposes%20to,higher%20estimated%20revenue%20from%20di sinvestments.>
 14. <http://cashlessindia.gov.in/lucky-grahak-yojana-and-digi-dhan-vyapar-yojana.html>
 15. <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/PublicationReport/PDFs/PAYMENT1C3B80387C0F4B30A56665DD08783324.PDF>
 16. <https://www.bigcommerce.com/articles/ecommerce/payment-gateways/>
 17. <https://m.rbi.in/Scripts/PublicationsView.aspx?id=19995>
 18. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahakoshal>
 19. <https://rbidocs.rbi.org.in/rdocs/AnnualReport/PDFs/0RBIAR2021226AD1119FF6674A13865C988DF70B4E1A.PDF>
 20. IBEF Report (2019) https://www.ibef.org/uploads/IBEF_Annual_Report_2019-20.pdf
 21. <https://revereinc.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/IAMAI-Digital-in-India-2019-Round-2-Report.pdf>
 22. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/india-business/offline-payment-volumes-overtake-online-in-july-sept/articleshow/87144901.cms>
 23. <https://www.livemint.com/opinion/online-views/the-survival-struggle-of-payments-banks-1564420414956.html>
 24. Future of Payment banks uncertain, says SBI report (2019, July 23). Retrieved from <https://www.livemint.com/industry/banking/future-of-payment-banks-uncertain-says-sbi-report-1563852346159.html>
 25. <https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/money-and-banking/five-out-of-the-11-payments-banks-have-shut-operations-why/article29381134.ece>
 26. <https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/research/kpmg-infrastructure-report-for-psr.pdf>
 27. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/internet/internet-users-to-double-to-829-million-by-2021-report/articleshow/59067600.cms?from=mdr>
 28. <https://www.livemint.com/industry/retail/ecommerce-surge-india-to-add-80-million-online-shoppers-by-2025-11682063858853.html>
 29. <https://www.hindustantimes.com/ht-insight/economy/digitising-indian-retail-looking-at-challenges-and-exploring-growth-models-101627373172369.html>
- <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/internet/internet-users-to-double-to-829-million-by-2021-report/articleshow/59067600.cms?from=mdr>