

Personality Traits of District, State, and National-Level Cricket Umpires

Roger Garvin¹, Dr. Vijay B. Datarkar²

^{1,2}Jyotiba College of Physical Education, Digdoh, Hingna Road, Nagpur

E-mail address of corresponding author: rogergarvin02@gmail.com

1.0 Introduction

Good technique is the ability to cope with all aspects of the game. On the field of play knowledge of the Laws, their intentions and interpretations must be applied to ever changing situations. The handling of conflict situations requires special personnel management skills. Animosity on the field can arise from two sources – player(s) vs. player(s) or player(s) vs. umpire(s). Umpires must expect, due to the nature of their decision-making role (i.e. making decisions that have implications for others) that conflict or dissent will occur at times. Hence, the personality traits of cricket umpires are critical for several reasons, as their role demands not only technical knowledge but also the ability to handle pressure, make impartial decisions, and manage the game's flow. Umpires must be neutral and make decisions based solely on the rules of the game, not personal preferences or biases. This ensures that all players and teams are treated equally, maintaining the integrity of the sport. Umpires must make quick decisions in high-pressure situations, sometimes in front of thousands of spectators. Confidence in their knowledge of the rules and ability to enforce them is vital. A confident umpire commands respect from players and coaches, even if their decisions are contentious.

Often, cricket matches can become intense, especially in close situations. Umpires need to remain calm and composed, even when faced with heated arguments from players or pressure from the crowd. Emotional control helps prevent mistakes or the influence of external pressures on decision-making. Umpires must effectively communicate with players, coaches, and fellow umpires. They need to clearly explain decisions, issue warnings, and manage on-field disputes. Good communication helps avoid misunderstandings and maintain order during the game. Cricket involves intricate rules and fast-paced action. Umpires need to be observant, spotting no-balls, dismissals, and other critical moments. A strong attention to detail ensures that no violations or key events are missed. Umpires often deal with criticism, especially after controversial decisions. Being mentally tough enables them to stay focused and perform their role effectively without being discouraged or distracted by negative feedback.

An umpire's ability to make quick, accurate decisions in real-time is paramount. Sometimes, these decisions can be game-changing, so a calm, clear, and decisive approach is essential to ensure the game is played fairly. No two matches are the same, and umpires must adapt to different conditions, players, and situations. This flexibility ensures they can handle various challenges on the field, whether it's a slow pitch, aggressive players, or sudden weather changes. While not strictly a personality trait, physical fitness is critical. Cricket matches can last for long hours, and an umpire needs the stamina to stay focused and alert throughout the match. A deep respect for the game and its traditions helps an umpire uphold the sport's values.

This respect influences their decision-making, as they will prioritize fairness and maintaining the flow of the game over personal emotions or external pressure. These traits go hand-in-hand with their knowledge of the game, allowing them to maintain control and upholding the spirit of cricket. In view of the above, this investigation was carried out to compare some select personality traits of District, State and National level Cricket Umpires.

2.0 Research Methodology

This study employs a quantitative, cross-sectional, comparative research design to examine the differences in personality traits among cricket umpires at three competitive levels—district, state, and national—in India. The research aims to assess whether personality traits vary significantly based on the umpire's level of officiating experience and exposure. The target population consists of certified cricket umpires affiliated with the Board of Control for Cricket in India, state cricket associations, and district-level cricket clubs. A stratified random sampling technique will be used to ensure proportional representation from each level, with an estimated sample size of 100 umpires (40 each from district and state level and 20 from national level). The inclusion criteria required participants to have actively officiated matches in the past two years to ensure relevance. Prior to data collection, umpires were provided with an informed consent form, explaining the study's objectives, confidentiality measures, and voluntary nature of participation. Data was collected using 16 Personality Factors Test prepared by Catell and it was collected by adopting survey method. The data characteristics (descriptive statistics) such as frequency, mode, etc. were determined and the Chi Square test was used as an inferential statistical tool. All the data was analyzed using SPSS 18.0 Software. The significance level was chosen to be 0.05 (or equivalently, 5%). A key limitation of this study is its reliance on self-reported data, which may introduce response biases. Additionally, the cross-sectional design restricts the ability to establish causal relationships. Despite these constraints, the study provides valuable insights into the psychological profiles of cricket umpires in India, contributing to sports psychology and umpire development programs.

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Personality Factor-F (Serious Vs Happy-Go-Lucky)

Table 1: Personality Factor-F (Serious Vs Happy-Go-Lucky) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-F	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Serious	5	12.5	6	15.0	1	5.0
Balanced	12	30.0	10	25.0	11	55.0
Happy-Go-Lucky	23	57.5	24	60.0	8	40.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 1** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor F (Serious Vs Happy –Go-Lucky) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 12.5% district level umpires, 15.0% state level umpires and 5.0% national level umpires have serious personality. However, 30.0% district level umpires, 25.0% state level umpires and 55.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 57.5% district level umpires,

60.0% state level umpires and 40.0% national level umpires have Happy-Go-Lucky personality.

3.2 Personality Factor-G (Expedient Vs Conscientious)

Table 2: Personality Factor-G (Expedient Vs Conscientious) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-G	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Expedient	19	47.5	15	37.5	10	50.0
Balanced	11	27.5	18	45.0	6	30.0
Conscientious	10	25.0	7	17.5	4	20.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 2** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor G (Expedient Vs Conscientious) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 47.5% district level umpires, 37.5% state level umpires and 50.0% national level umpires have expedient personality. However, 27.5% district level umpires, 45.0% state level umpires and 30.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 25.0% district level umpires, 17.5% state level umpires and 20.0% national level umpires have conscientious personality.

3.3 Personality Factor-H (Timid Vs Venturesome)

Table 3: Personality Factor-H (Timid Vs Venturesome) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-H	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Timid	3	7.5	7	17.5	2	10.0
Balanced	15	37.5	6	15.0	13	65.0
Venturesome	22	55.0	27	67.5	5	25.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 3** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor H (Timid Vs Venturesome) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 7.5% district level umpires, 17.5% state level umpires and 10.0% national level umpires have timid personality. However, 37.5% district level umpires, 15.0% state level umpires and 65.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 55.0% district level umpires, 67.5% state level umpires and 25.0% national level umpires have venturesome personality.

3.4 Personality Factor-L (Trusting Vs Suspicious)

Table 4: Personality Factor-L (Trusting Vs Suspicious) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-L	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Trusting	19	47.5	23	57.5	14	70.0
Balanced	17	42.5	11	27.5	5	25.0
Suspicious	4	10.0	6	15.0	1	5.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 4** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor L (Trusting Vs Suspicious) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 47.5% district level umpires, 57.5% state level umpires and 70.0% national level umpires have trusting personality. However, 42.5% district level umpires, 27.5% state level umpires and 25.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 10.0% district level umpires, 15.0% state level umpires and 5.0% national level umpires have suspicious personality.

3.5 Personality Factor-M (Practical Vs Imaginative)

Table 5: Personality Factor-M (Practical Vs Imaginative) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-M	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Practical	26	65.0	24	60.0	12	60.0
Balanced	11	27.5	10	25.0	6	30.0
Imaginative	3	7.5	6	15.0	2	10.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 5** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor M (Practical Vs Imaginative) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 65.0% district level umpires, 60.0% state level umpires and 60.0% national level umpires have trusting personality. However, 27.5% district level umpires, 25.0% state level umpires and 30.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 7.5% district level umpires, 15.0% state level umpires and 10.0% national level umpires have imaginative personality.

3.6 Personality Factor-N (Forthright Vs Shrewd)

Table 6: Personality Factor-N (Forthright Vs Shrewd) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-N	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Forthright	17	42.5	10	25.0	5	25.0
Balanced	15	37.5	11	27.5	12	60.0
Shrewd	8	20.0	19	47.5	3	15.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 6** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor N (Forthright Vs Shrewd) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 42.5% district level umpires, 25.0% state level umpires and 25.0% national level umpires have forthright personality. However, 37.5% district level umpires, 27.5% state level umpires and 60.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 20.0% district level umpires, 47.5% state level umpires and 15.0% national level umpires have shrewd personality.

3.7 Personality Factor-Q₁ (Conservative Vs Experimenting)

Table 7: Personality Factor-Q₁ (Conservative Vs Experimenting) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-Q ₁	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Conservative	22	55.0	14	35.0	3	15.0

Balanced	12	30.0	23	57.5	13	65.0
Experimenting	6	15.0	3	7.5	4	20.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 7** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor Q₁ (Conservative Vs Experimenting) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 55.0% district level umpires, 35.0% state level umpires and 15.0% national level umpires have conservative personality. However, 30.0% district level umpires, 57.5% state level umpires and 65.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 15.0% district level umpires, 7.5% state level umpires and 20.0% national level umpires have experimenting personality.

3.8 Personality Factor-Q₂ (Group-Dependent Vs Self-Sufficient)

Table 8: Personality Factor-Q₂ (Group-Dependent Vs Self-Sufficient) of Cricket Umpires

Factor-Q ₂	District Level CU		State Level CU		National Level CU	
	Nos	Per	Nos	Per	Nos	per
Group Dependent	12	30.0	7	17.5	3	15.0
Balanced	19	47.5	24	60.0	10	50.0
Self-Sufficient	9	22.5	9	22.5	7	35.0
Total	40	100.0	40	100.0	20	100.0

Above **Table 8** presents results regarding the assessment of personality Factor Q₂ (Group-Dependent Vs Self-Sufficient) among cricket umpires. The results indicated that 30.0% district level umpires, 17.5% state level umpires and 15.0% national level umpires have group dependent personality. However, 47.5% district level umpires, 60.0% state level umpires and 50.0% national level umpires have balanced personality. Furthermore 22.5% district level umpires, 22.5% state level umpires and 35.0% national level umpires have self-sufficient personality.

4.0 Conclusions

- **Personality Factor-F (Serious Vs Happy-Go-Lucky):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level and State Level cricket umpires have happy go lucky personality, while most of the National Level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor-F i.e. Serious Vs Happy-Go-Lucky.
- **Personality Factor-G (Expedient Vs Conscientious):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level and National Level cricket umpires have expedient personality, while most of the State Level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor-G i.e. Expedient Vs Conscientious.
- **Personality Factor-H (Timid Vs Venturesome):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level and State Level cricket umpires have timid personality, while most of the National Level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor-H i.e. Timid Vs Venturesome.

- **Personality Factor-L (Trusting Vs Suspicious):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level, State and National Level cricket umpires have trusting personality with respect to Personality Factor-L i.e. Trusting Vs Suspicious.
- **Personality Factor-M (Practical Vs Imaginative):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level, State and National Level cricket umpires have practical personality with respect to Personality Factor-M i.e. Practical Vs Imaginative.
- **Personality Factor-N (Forthright Vs Shrewd):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level umpires have Forthright personality, while most of the State Level umpires exhibited shrewd type of personality and most of the National level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor-N i.e. Forthright Vs Shrewd.
- **Personality Factor-Q₁ (Conservative Vs Experimenting):** From the results it is evident that most of the District Level umpires have Conservative personality, while most of the State and National Level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor- Q₁ i.e. Conservative Vs Experimenting.
- **Personality Factor-Q₂ (Group-Dependent Vs Self-Sufficient):** From the results it is evident that most of the District, State and National Level umpires exhibited balanced type of personality with respect to Personality Factor- Q₂ i.e. Group-Dependent Vs Self-Sufficient.

5.0 Bibliography

- Burnett M.A., Bishop D. T., Ashford J. K., Williams A. M. and Kinrade P.N.(2017) Decision-making of English Netball Super league umpires: Contextual and dispositional influences, *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 31, pp52-60.
- Chalkley, D., MacMahon, C and Ball, K. (2013). Predicting Ball Flight in Cricket from an Umpire's Perspective, *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 8(3), pp. 445-454.
- Dodt, M., Fasold, F and Memmert, D. (2023). Personality profile of amateur team handball referees, *Ger J Exerc Sport Res*, 53, pp. 253–265.
- Gylls, E., Turner, M and Erskine, J. (2021). The Challenge of the Umpire's Chair: Challenge and threat, self-efficacy, and psychological resilience in Australian tennis officials, *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 52 (5), pp. 381-401.
- Just C. (2011). A review of literature on the general factor of personality, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(6), pp.765-771.
- Kittel A., Elsworthy N and Spittle M. (2019). Incorporating perceptual decision-making training into high-intensity interval training for Australian football umpires, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 37(1), pp.29-35.
- Kittel, A., Larkin, P., Elsworthy, N and Spittle, M. (2019). Identification of key performance characteristics of elite Australian football umpires, *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 14(4), pp. 490-497.

- Pei-Lee T., Chen C. Y., Chin W. C. and Siew Y. Y. (2011). Do the big five personality factors affect knowledge sharing behaviour? a study of Malaysian Universities, *Malaysian Journal of Library and Information Science*, 16(1), pp.47-62.
- Ruddock M H., Ruddock S. and Rahimi S. (2019) Blowing the whistle on mental health and wellbeing: The experiences of Australian Football League Umpires, 22(2), S105, pp69.
- Walther B., Morgenstern M. and Hanewinkel R. (2012). Co-occurrence of addictive behaviours: personality factors related to substance use, gambling and computer gaming, *European addiction research*, 18(4), pp.167-174.
- Wang J. L., Jackson L. A., Zhang D. J. and Su Z. Q. (2012). The relationships among the Big Five Personality factors, self-esteem, narcissism, and sensation-seeking to Chinese University students' uses of social networking sites (SNSs), *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(6), pp.2313-2319.