IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

Environmental Hazards and Their Effects.

Shreshta Bandhu Rastogi, Assistant Professor
Department of Mechanical Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email id- Shreshtha.polytechnic@tmu.ac.in

ABSTRACT: Despite the fact that there is no global databank with sufficient coverage to support this hypothesis, it appears that the death as well as damage toll from natural calamities is increasing internationally. A civilization's ability to adapt to threats is determined by how unaffected it is by natural extremes. Natural disaster risk has risen considerably in the late twentieth century, with better preparedness and mitigation only marginally counteracting it. Frequently, the link between natural disasters as well as infectious illnesses is misunderstood. In the world that follows natural catastrophes, the probability of breakouts is often assumed to be quite high, a worry based on a supposed link between dead corpses and diseases. Nevertheless, population dislocation is the primary risk factor for epidemics after catastrophes. The amount of overcrowding, the community's underlying health, and availability of healthcare services all interact within the context of the local disease ecology to influence the risk of communicable diseases and death in the affected population. This article's author looked at the terrible effects of natural catastrophes and how they affect the country. The major goal of this review is to examine the consequences and losses generated by natural disasters. People would be able to comprehend the devastation caused by natural catastrophes in the future by reading this text, and they will be able to get advice for their own protection measures.

KEYWORDS: Disasters, Effects, Earthquakes, Flood, Health, Safety.

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural catastrophes can cause extensive destruction. Wildfires, for example, ruin wildlife ecosystems while also inflicting property damage and human deaths. Disasters, in general, put a community's emergency response capabilities to the ultimate test. A

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

well-thought-out and well-organized emergency plan will be able to quickly change and adapt to unforeseen events and barriers. As the crisis scenario becomes increasingly sophisticated and unpredictable, a myopic as well as static emergency response method is doomed to fail. Because disasters are fundamentally different from other types of emergencies, they are difficult to prepare for and foresee.

While both may result in a huge number of deaths as well as damage to property, the distinction among a catastrophe and a normal emergency occurrence is more than a magnitude. More manpower or supplies will not be enough to tackle a catastrophic situation. A debacle plan that is versatile to multidisciplinary as well as multi-jurisdictional circumstances is expected for a local area to endure an emergency situation. Large-scale catastrophes may have a wide range of consequences for a society, ranging from economic to social to physical and psychological.

The purpose of this study is to provide a list of the immediate and long-term medical repercussions of a natural catastrophe and to address them briefly (Hemingway & Gunawan, 2018). Different issues will be talked about, including the interference of a local area's key foundation, weak populaces inside populaces, and run of the mill fiasco reaction fantasies (Djalante et al., 2011). At long last, in the system of injury counteraction and advancement of medical advantages, relief and misfortune decrease estimates will be given (Brodsky et al., 2020). Natural disasters are multifaceted phenomena that expose individuals to a wide range of risks and hazards. Every crisis situation is distinctive in its own way, posing fresh and unexpected obstacles to both victims and rescue workers. From one viewpoint, every misfortune should be surveyed freely of past debacles to distinguish the novel qualities of the current circumstance (Panwar & Sen, 2019).

This way, one avoids the typical error of planning for the most recent calamity rather than predicting the next. Certain crisis scenarios, on either hand, do follow broad patterns and evolve along similar trajectories (Nakatani, 2020). It's critical to recognize these minor trends so that community planners as well as allied health professionals may build a solid basis on which to build a thorough emergency response strategy (Kong

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

et al., 2021). It's important to strike a balance between learning from previous errors and lessons and fighting the urge to just handle a problem "the way it's always been done."

In general, some calamities result in more injuries of specific sorts than others. This is a critical information to remember whether preparing for an emergency, inventorying existing medical supplies as well as evaluating the necessities of a community or geographic region (Thompson et al., 2017). It's important to remember, however, that injury kinds aren't limited to a single setting. Indeed, a natural disaster's sheer size and complexity may result in a slew of lesser disasters, each with its own set of features and obstacles (Benevolenza & DeRigne, 2019). A large-scale flood, for example, may result in a chemical leak from a flooded business, a landslide burying numerous houses, drinking water reservoir pollution, as well as the collapse of a major structure (Noy & Yonson, 2018). To evaluate the prompt prerequisites of the emergency reaction group, it is important to dissect such wounds that most of casualties would support as an establishment for arranging (Nievas et al., 2020).

As they release tons of airborne pollutants into the atmosphere, volcanic eruptions have immediate life-threatening health consequences. Airborne foreign substances, similar to smoke from significant woodland fires, may instigate new respiratory diseases or demolish existing ones (Strulik & Trimborn, 2019). Volcanoes, on the other hand, may actually fill the environment with tons of ash and poisonous gases due to the sheer enormity of a big eruption. Even those with no respiratory issues will struggle to breathe if they are trapped in close proximity to an eruption. Intense respiratory trouble disorder, aspiratory edema, disturbing conjunctivitis, joint uneasiness, strong shortcoming, and cutaneous bullae are on the whole normal manifestations of risky volcanic gases such carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, and Sulphur corrosive. Consumes are additionally common because of superheated fume or auxiliary blazes made by the ejection. Landslides frequently happen because of volcanic emissions, since the geographical shape of the incline changes quick. Pulverizing wounds, serious inside

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

dying, multi organs disappointment condition, and suffocation may all outcome from landslides, which are exceptionally pervasive in flooding situations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

H. Jafari et al. Studies that Natural catastrophes have a variety of psychological repercussions on victims, including an increased chance of suicide. Self-destructive conduct has been connected to regular disasters, as per studies. The objective of this examination was to decide the factors that increment the gamble of self-destruction following normal fiascoes. This study was a deliberate survey of English language articles distributed in the Google Scholar, PubMed, Web of Science, Science Direct, Scopus, ProQuest, as well as Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) information bases between 1 January 1990 and 27 September 2018 that were connected with self-destruction and its gamble factors after cataclysmic events. The main gamble factors for self-destruction following catastrophic events were distinguished as orientation, age, major mental illnesses, wretchedness, post-awful pressure problem (PTSD), and loss of relatives, poor financial position, restricted social help, and mischief to the individual and family/family members. Women, teenagers, the elderly, persons suffering from depression as well as PTSD, those with inadequate social support, and parentless people have all been proven to be more prone to suicides after natural catastrophes. As a result, psychological help for these persons is required after such calamities (Jafari et al., 2020).

P. Brown et al. States that Natural disasters cause loss and devastation, and they may influence people's perceptions of the severity and frequency of future catastrophes. Individuals' investing actions may be influenced by these expectations, thereby impacting their earnings in following years. Economists have been researching the effects of natural catastrophe exposure on risk perception, perceptions, and behavior as part of a developing literature on endogenous preferences. Individuals contribute to this area by looking at how being hit by Cyclone Evan in December 2012 affected Fijian families' risk attitudes as well as subjective expectations well about probability and

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

impact of environmental hazards in the following about 20 years. Authors can quantify the causal consequences of chemicals on both risk perception as well as risk perceptions since the cyclone's course is unpredictable. Our discoveries uncover that being presented to a disastrous occasion changes individuals' gamble discernments as well as their suppositions about the recurrence and size of future shocks (Brown et al., 2018).

A. Banica et al he stated Natural disasters are regularly considered to be cost-makers with significant individual and society costs. In spite of the undeniable accuracy of this idea, normal fiascoes don't continuously bring about underlying impoverishment in the tormented area. Ongoing examination has convincingly shown that in the long haul, positive financial results ('surprisingly good developments') may be seen. This exploration handles this troublesome thought by building a gamble methodology for a regional framework and inspecting the financial impacts of normal shocks from a flexibility outlook. This is achieved, in addition to other things, by making a typology of regular fiascoes and offering an orderly arrangement of long haul results. Utilizing long haul data from the worldwide EM-DAT data set, a real trial of the above guarantee of helpful recuperation effects of regular fiascoes is directed. Criticism circles in topographical frameworks that are affected by a characteristic unsettling influence are then the focal point of consideration (Bănică et al., 2020).

3. DISCUSSION

The significant reasons for disastrous fiascoes are normal peculiarities happening in the world's outside as well as on a superficial level. Regions wherein mining, deforestation, and industry have happened are especially defenseless against flooding and disintegration. Serious as well as outrageous climate and climatic peculiarities are instances of normal risks. In spite of the way that they might happen wherever in the world, certain areas are more inclined to explicit dangers than others. At the point when individuals' lives and occupations were crushed, normal calamities become fiascos. Such misfortunes bring about critical human and material misfortunes, which comprise a serious obstruction to long haul development.

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

3.1. Health effects:

The type of the danger determines the immediate health impact (Saurabh et al., 2011). Authorities must fulfill unprecedented demands in the aftermath of a severe catastrophe with resources that can't even begin to satisfy basic health requirements and are often depleted by the initial emergency response (Jain & Singh, 2019). Natural disasters may have an impact on the spread of pre-existing infectious diseases, however the threat of huge epidemics in the aftermath of natural catastrophes is sometimes exaggerated (Srivastava et al., 2017). Most fiascoes will bring about an expansion in hospitalizations because of diarrheal problems, intense respiratory diseases, dermatitis, as well as different reasons in the close to term. Weighty downpours might modify vector-borne illness transmission in the long haul, for instance, because of residual water that might prompt a dangerous flood in mosquitoes.

Natural disasters may cause severe damage to health-care infrastructures, which can have long-term consequences once the event is over (Kadtane et al., 2014). Aside from the damage to buildings, expensive equipment and medications may be lost (Joshi & Pant, 2017). The devastating effects of losing healthcare system in the aftermath of a natural catastrophe may be seen in Haiti. Haiti had a cholera epidemic after the earthquake. The loss of medical infrastructure, not the earthquake, was the source of the illness catastrophe. Measures to combat the epidemic have been ineffectual and continue to be ineffective since Haiti's medical system was in bad shape before to the earthquake and remains so now. Issues with medical care or after cataclysmic events are additionally affected by friendly issues. Large groups of people frequently flee the affected region. The incentives to reconstruct the healthcare service systems dwindle as people leave. The resources available to reconstruct the general community are also dwindling, extending the time required to adequately repair healthcare facilities. Not only is infrastructure recovery long, but it may also be difficult to recoup human resources, since many medical experts abandon the disaster region.

3.2. Flood:

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

The most common cause of death during a flood is drowning. Apart from heat diseases, floods kill more people in North America each year than any other natural catastrophe. Whenever there is very little notice of an imminent flood, the largest number of fatalities and injuries occur (Cannon et al., 2020). This may happen as a result of flash floods, a dam collapse, or a tidal wave caused by a remote or even sub-oceanic earthquakes. People reliably misjudge the strength of streaming water, bringing about numerous fatalities and wounds that might have been stayed away from. It's challenging to decide the normal profundity of obscure and quickly streaming water under the most favorable circumstances, and it's exceptionally difficult at dull or in the evening (Cannon et al., 2020).

A colossal vehicle, for example, a school transport, may drift in under two feet of hurrying water, and a huge man can be deeply inspired in three to six crawls of quick water. Vulnerability to the elements is responsible for a high proportion of the fatalities and catastrophic injuries caused by floods, second only to drowning. Individuals stranded in rising floods often wait for rescue in any available shelter, including trees, building tops, and cars (Lempérière, 2017). Individuals might be presented to unfriendly climate for hours to days, contingent upon the profundity of the floods as well as the openness of salvage laborers. The danger of unintentional hypothermia increases when the ambient temperature drops below 15 degree Celsius.

3.3. Safety Measures:

Preparedness for catastrophes minimizes the number of local concerns that health services must deal with on a regular basis. The national or local health authorities have significant duties in providing a quick emergency response in a highly political and social environment:

- To optimize the flow of help to intended recipients, contributions as well as supplies must be clearly controlled.

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

- Everything People can manage is to stop exercises that are harming to our current circumstance and add to ecological disintegration, while at the same time guaranteeing that they are ready for a calamity by means of our catastrophe the board framework.
- Rather than turning to novel and costly procedures, the surveillance, preventive, as well as control of infectious illnesses during catastrophes should be reinforced by rapidly and opportunistically restarting and monitoring normal control activities.

4. CONCLUSION

A characteristic disaster is an occasion that happens startlingly and makes harm society. Numerous normal calamities cause damage to the climate and individuals who live in it. A multi-hazard program that encompasses the whole health sector, according to countries with developed disaster preparedness systems, is the most effective way. A major disaster may act as a wake-up call for health professionals, causing them to recognize that catastrophes constitute a citizens well-being danger that should be addressed in a methodical manner. On the other hand, preparation cannot be postponed. Normal development, disaster planning, and disaster response must all be linked. Disasters are unlikely to become less frequent in the foreseeable future. It will need a long-term effort to decrease risk by decreasing vulnerability via preventative and mitigating measures, as well as growing capacity over preparedness.

Disasters, similar to any general wellbeing program, should be overseen on a long haul and standardized premise by means of a laid out service of wellbeing system or office for avoidance, moderation, planning, and reaction to a wide range of debacles. Moreover, the financial and political parts of calamities ought not to be permitted to conceal the way that fiascos are human misfortunes requiring a global work to recognize best practices and holes in present reactions. The writer of this paper analyzed the overwhelming outcomes of catastrophic events and how they impact the country. The principle reason for this exploration is to take a gander at the impacts and misfortunes

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

brought about by cataclysmic events. By perusing this work, individuals will actually want to get a handle on the obliteration brought about by catastrophic events later on, and they will actually want to obtain direction on the most proficient method to safeguard themselves.

REFRENCES:

Bănică, A., Kourtit, K., & Nijkamp, P. (2020). Natural disasters as a development opportunity: a spatial economic resilience interpretation. *Review of Regional Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10037-020-00141-8

Benevolenza, M. A., & DeRigne, L. A. (2019). The impact of climate change and natural disasters on vulnerable populations: A systematic review of literature. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2018.1527739

Brodsky, E. E., Mori, J. J., Anderson, L., Chester, F. M., Conin, M., Dunham, E. M., Eguchi, N., Fulton, P. M., Hino, R., Hirose, T., Ikari, M. J., Ishikawa, T., Jeppson, T., Kano, Y., Kirkpatrick, J., Kodaira, S., Lin, W., Nakamura, Y., Rabinowitz, H. S., … Yang, T. (2020). The State of Stress on the Fault Before, During, and after a Major Earthquake. In *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060507

Brown, P., Daigneault, A. J., Tjernström, E., & Zou, W. (2018). Natural disasters, social protection, and risk perceptions. *World Development*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.12.002

Cannon, C., Gotham, K. F., Lauve-Moon, K., & Powers, B. (2020). The climate change double whammy: Flood damage and the determinants of flood insurance coverage, the case of post-Katrina New Orleans. *Climate Risk Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.100210

Djalante, R., Holley, C., & Thomalla, F. (2011). Adaptive governance and managing resilience to natural hazards. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-011-0015-6

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

Hemingway, R., & Gunawan, O. (2018). The Natural Hazards Partnership: A public-sector collaboration across the UK for natural hazard disaster risk reduction. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.11.014

Jafari, H., Heidari, M., Heidari, S., & Sayfouri, N. (2020). Risk factors for suicidal behaviours after natural disasters: A systematic review. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.21315/mjms2020.27.3.3

Jain, V., & Singh, V. K. (2019). Influence of healthcare advertising and branding on hospital services. *Pravara Medical Review*.

Joshi, M., & Pant, D. (2017). Role of Cloud enabled data center for transforming E-Health services in Uttarakhand. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on System Modeling and Advancement in Research Trends, SMART 2016*. https://doi.org/10.1109/SYSMART.2016.7894521

Kadtane, S. S., Bhaskar, D. J., Agali, C., Punia, H., Gupta, V., Batra, M., Singh, V., & Bumb, S. S. (2014). Periodontal health status of different socio-economic groups in out-patient department of TMDC&RC, Moradabad, India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/8565.4624

Kong, D., Lin, Z., Wang, Y., & Xiang, J. (2021). Natural disasters and analysts' earnings forecasts. *Journal of Corporate Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101860

Lempérière, F. (2017). Dams and Floods. In *Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENG.2017.01.018

Nakatani, M. (2020). Evaluation of phenomena preceding earthquakes and earthquake predictability. In *Journal of Disaster Research*. https://doi.org/10.20965/jdr.2020.p0112

Nievas, C. I., Bommer, J. J., Crowley, H., van Elk, J., Ntinalexis, M., & Sangirardi, M. (2020). A database of damaging small-to-medium magnitude earthquakes. *Journal of Seismology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09897-0

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 1, Jan 2022

Noy, I., & Yonson, R. (2018). Economic vulnerability and resilience to natural hazards: A survey of concepts and measurements. In *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082850

Panwar, V., & Sen, S. (2019). Economic Impact of Natural Disasters: An Empirical Re-examination. *Margin*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973801018800087

Saurabh, M. K., Biswas, N. K., Yadav, A. K., Singhai, A., & Saurabh, A. (2011). Study of prescribing habits and assessment of rational use of drugs among doctors of primary health care facilities. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*.

Srivastava, A., Rastogi, V., & Rastogi, R. (2017). Improve children health - Best investment for better tomorrow. *Journal International Medical Sciences Academy*.

Strulik, H., & Trimborn, T. (2019). Natural Disasters and Macroeconomic Performance. *Environmental and Resource Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-018-0239-7

Thompson, R. R., Garfin, D. R., & Silver, R. C. (2017). Evacuation from Natural Disasters: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Risk Analysis*. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12654