

SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF L-IDEALS AND CONGRUENCES IN LATTICE ORDERED COMMUTATIVE LOOPS

V. B. V. N. Prasad¹, Mudda Ramesh²

¹ Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (KLEF), Vaddeswaram, Green fields, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India -522302

²S.S &N College, Narasaraopet, Guntur (District), A.P., India.

E-mail: vbvnprasad@kluniversity.in

DOI : 10.48047/IJFANS/11/ISS4/122

Abstract: This manuscript illustrates the significance of a normal subloop, l-morphism, l-ideal of an l-loop also we have succeeded in determining a corresponding congruence relation on the l-loop and establishing a one-to-one correspondence between the l-ideals and congruence relations of an l-loop A.

Key words: Loops, partial order, lattices, ordered abelian groups, Ideals-congruence relations

A.M.S. Mathematics subject classification numbers (2020): 20N05, 06A06, 06Bxx, 06F20, 06B10

1. INTRODUCTION:

In 1967, G. Birkhoff in Lattice Theory, various properties of lattice ordered groups were established. In 1970, T. Evans described about lattice ordered loops and quasi-groups. In 1990, Hala made a description on quasi-groups and loops [1-3]. In view of this a lot of interest has been shown different authors develop these concepts in different algebraic systems. In 2014, V. B. V. N. Prasad and J. Venkateswara Rao, were gave Categorization of Normal Sub Loop and Ideal of Loops[4].

2. l-Ideals:

Definition 2.1: Let A be an l-loop. For $a, b \in A$, we define $a*b = (a-b) \vee (b-a) = a \vee b - a \wedge b$.

Lemma 2.1: Let A be a l-loop. Then $\forall a, b \in A$

- (i) $a*b \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $a=b$.
- (ii) $a*b = b*a$.
- (iii) $(a \vee b) * (a \wedge b) = a*b$.

Proof: The proof follows easily.

Definition 2.2: A sub loop R of a loop A is called a normal sub loop of A [2], if and only if

$$(R+x) + y = R + (x+y), \forall x, y \in A.$$

It is not hard to see that a normal sub loop R of a loop A partitions it.

Definition 2.3: A nonempty subset R of an l-loop A is called a l-ideal if and only if R is a normal sub loop of A in which $a \in R, b \in A, b*0 \leq a*0 \Rightarrow b \in R$.

Theorem 2.1: A nonempty subset R of a l-loop A is an l-ideal if and only if R is a convex normal l-sub loop of A.

Proof: Let R be an l -ideal and $a \leq x \leq b$, $a, b \in R$.

Then $a \wedge b \leq x \leq a \vee b$.

Now $x * 0 \leq (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a \wedge b)$

$$= (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a) \vee (0 - b) = (a * 0) \vee (b * 0) \leq a * 0 + b * 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in R.$$

Hence R is convex.

$$\text{Also } (a \vee b) * 0 = (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a \vee b) \leq a * 0 \vee b * 0$$

$$\leq a * 0 + b * 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a \vee b \in R,$$

$$\text{and } (a \wedge b) * 0 = (a \wedge b) \vee [0 - (a \wedge b)] \leq (a * 0) \vee (b * 0) \leq (a * 0) + (b * 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \wedge b \in R.$$

Thus, R is a convex sublattice of A .

Conversely let R be a convex normal l -subloop of A .

Let $a \in R$, $b * 0 \leq a * 0$.

Now $b \leq b * 0 \leq a * 0$

$\Rightarrow 0 - b \geq 0 - (a * 0)$ so that $0 - b \in R$ by conversivity in R and hence $b = 0 - (0 - b) \in R$.

This completes the proof.

Definition 2.4: An l -morphism of an l -loop A into a l -loop B is a mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\forall a, b \in A$,

- (i) $f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b)$,
- (ii) $f(a-b) = f(a) - f(b)$,
- (iii) $f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b)$,
- (iv) $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$.

Corollary 2.1: If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an l -morphism of an l -loop A into an l -loop B , then $\forall a, b \in A$, $f(a * b) = f(a) * f(b)$.

Definition 2.5: An equivalence relation θ on an l -loop A is a congruence relation if for $a, b \in A$, $a \equiv b(\theta) \Rightarrow a + x \equiv b + x(\theta), a - x \equiv b - x(\theta), x - a \equiv x - b(\theta), a \vee x \equiv b \vee x(\theta)$ and $a \wedge x \equiv b \wedge x(\theta), \forall x \in A$.

Since an l -loop A is equationally definable, we have the following:

Theorem 2.2: Let θ be a congruence relation on an l -loop A . For any $a\theta, b\theta \in A/\theta$, define $a\theta + b\theta = (a+b)\theta, a\theta - b\theta = (a-b)\theta$ and $a\theta$ is positive iff $a\theta$ contains a positive element. Then $(A/\theta, +, -, \leq)$ is an l -loop.

The following theorem establishes a one-one correspondence between the l -ideals and the congruence relations of an l -loop.

Theorem 2.3: There is one-to-one correspondence between the l-ideals and congruence relations of an l-loop A.

Proof: Let R be an l-ideal of A. Define

(a) $a \equiv b (\theta_R)$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in R$.

Clearly θ_R is an equivalence relation on A.

Next define a relation θ'_R as follows:

(b) $a \equiv b (\theta'_R)$ iff \exists elements $t, t', c, s, s' \in A$ such that $a = [t \vee (c+s)] \wedge t'$ and $b = [t \vee (c+s')] \wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s' (\theta_R)$.

We know show that θ'_R is reflexive, symmetric and satisfies the substitution property for $+, -, \vee, \wedge$.

(i) Since $a = [(a \wedge b) \vee (0+a)] \wedge (a \vee b)$, it follows that $a \equiv a (\theta'_R)$.

(ii) Clearly θ'_R is symmetric.

(iii) Let $a \equiv b (\theta'_R)$. Then \exists elements $t, t', c, s, s' \in A$ such that $a = [t \vee (c+s)] \wedge t'$ and $b = [t \vee (c+s')] \wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s' (\theta_R)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } x+a &= x+t \wedge [t \vee (c+s)] \\ &= (x+t) \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{x+(c+s)\}] \\ &= (x+t) \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{(x+c) +s_1\}] \text{ for some } s_1 \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $x+b = (x+t) \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{(x+c) +s_1\}]$ where $s_1 \in R$.

Thus $x+a \equiv x+b (\theta'_R)$.

In our subsequent paper of ideal theory, we have simplified the proof of this theorem. If S is an l-ideal of A then the $a \equiv b (\theta_S) \Leftrightarrow a-b \in S$ gives congruence relation.

Again

$$\begin{aligned} a-x &= t \wedge [t \vee (c+s)] - x \\ &= (t-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c+s)-x\}] \\ &= (t-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c-s) +s_2\}] \text{ for some } s_2 \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $b-x = (t-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c-x) +s_2\}]$ where $s_2 \in R$.

Thus $a-x \equiv b-x (\theta'_R)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} x-a &= x - [t \vee \{t \vee (c+s)\}] \\ &= [x - (t \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t) \vee \{(x-(c+s))\}] \\ &= [x - (t \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t) \vee \{(x-c) +s_3\}] \text{ for some } s_3 \in R \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $x-b = [x - (t \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t) \vee \{(x-c) +s_3\}]$ where $s_3 \in R$

Thus $x-a \equiv x-b (\theta'_R)$.

Since A is a distributive lattice it is easy to show that $(x \vee a) \equiv x \vee b (\theta'_R)$ and also

clearly $x \wedge a \equiv x \wedge b (\theta'_R)$.

Thus θ'_R satisfies substitution property for $+$, $-$, \vee , \wedge .

Now let θ''_R be the transitive extension of θ'_R .

It is easy to see that $\theta_R \leq \theta'_R \leq \theta''_R$

Hence an l-ideal R in A defines a congruence relation θ''_R in A .

Conversely let θ be a congruence relation on A and R be the set of all $x \equiv (0)\theta$

Clearly $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$.

Let $x \in R, y * 0 \leq x * 0$.

Now $x \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow x * 0 \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow (y * 0) \vee (x * 0) \equiv 0(\theta)$

$\Rightarrow y * 0 \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow y \vee (0-y) \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow y \wedge 0 \equiv y \vee 0(\theta)$

$\Rightarrow y \vee 0 \equiv (y \wedge 0) \vee 0 = 0(\theta) \Rightarrow y \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow y \in R$.

Hence R is an l-ideal.

Now let θ''_R be the congruence relation defined by R .

Then $a \equiv b (\theta''_R) \Rightarrow \exists$ a sequence $a = z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n = b$ in A such that $z_i \equiv z_{i+1} (\theta'_R)$.

Then $z_i = [t \vee (c+s)] \wedge t'$,

$z_{i+1} = [t \vee (c+s')] \wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s' (\theta_R)$ that is $s, s' \in R = 0[\theta]$.

Since θ is a congruence relation and $s \equiv s' (\theta)$, $z_i \equiv z_{i+1} (\theta)$ and by transitivity of θ , it follows that $a \equiv b (\theta)$ showing $\theta''_R \subseteq \theta$.

Again $a \equiv b (\theta) \Rightarrow a - b \equiv 0(\theta) \Rightarrow a - b \in R$.

$\Rightarrow a - b \equiv 0(\theta_R) \Rightarrow a \equiv b (\theta_R) \Rightarrow a \equiv b (\theta''_R) \Rightarrow \theta \leq \theta''_R$.

$\theta''_R = \theta$. This completes the proof

Corollary 2.2: The congruence relation on any l-loop A are the partitions of A into the cosets of its different l-ideals.

Theorem 2.4: The congruence relations on any l-loop A is a complete Algebraic Brouwerian lattice.

Proof: Follows in the same lines as in l-groups[1].

Corollary 2.3: The l-ideals of an l-loop A forms a complete Algebraic Brouwerian lattice.

3. CONCLUSION:

In this paper some important aspects in lattice ordered algebraic structures and especially some more properties of l-ideals and congruence's in lattice ordered loops were established. Further there is so much scope for the remaining algebraic structures in lattice ordered loops.

4. REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd edition, American Mathematical Society, colloquium Publication, pp.160-163, 1967.
2. T. Evans, Lattice ordered loops and quasi groups, Journal of Algebra, 16, pp.218-226,1970.
3. Hala O. Pflug feldeer, Quasigroups and loops, Heldermann Verlag, Sigma series in pure Mathematics, 7, pp.28-59, 1990.
4. V. B. V. N. Prasad and J. Venkateswara Rao, Categorization of Normal Sub Loop and Ideal of Loops, ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, VOL. 9, NO. 7, JULY 2014, ISSN 1819-6608, Page no.1076-1079.
5. Ismail, M., & Ye, Y. (2010). "CMOS RF Modeling, Characterization, and Applications." Cambridge University Press.
6. Banzhaf, W. (2017). "Artificial Chemistries." Springer.
7. Song, K., & Hajimiri, A. (2002). "A 2.5-GHz Low-Noise Wideband CMOS Amplifier." IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits.
8. Tsividis, Y. P. (1993). "Operation and Modeling of the MOS Transistor." McGraw-Hill.
9. Najm, F. N. (1998). "Interconnect Modeling for Timing and Signal Integrity Analysis." IEEE Design & Test of Computers.
10. Lee, T. H. (2004). "The Design of CMOS Radio-Frequency Integrated Circuits." Cambridge University Press.
11. Grebennikov, A. (2012). "RF and Microwave Transistor Oscillator Design." Wiley.
12. Gray, P. R., & Hurst, P. J. (1997). "Analysis and Design of High-Performance Analog-to-Digital Converters." IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits.
13. Pavan, S., & Pertjjs, M. A. P. (2016). "Low-Power CMOS Circuits: Technology, Logic Design, and CAD Tools." Springer.