IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

Analysis of Heavy Metals in Soil Samples Collected at three Different Industrial Creeks of Satna, India

Manoj Kumar Sharma[1]., Nahid Usmani[2], Laxmi Agnihotri[3]

Department of Chemistry, AKS University, satna, M.P., India

Correspondence: - manojkumarsharma@gmail.com

Abstract

A complete examination of heavy metal was directed in the dirt examples of Modern rivulets situated around Satna city. The review performed at three different examining areas along the modern rivulet of Satna for the time of a half year from Sep-2020 to March 2020. The outcomes uncover that with the exception of hexavalent chromium lead, cadmium and mercury surpass as far as possible set for the dregs. Higher qualities were basically seen at all destinations for Hg. These demonstrate that weighty metal tainting particularly Hg, ought to be considered during improvement systems to safeguard the environment from long haul contamination load.

Keyword:- chromium lead, cadmium and mercury

Introduction

The mining business, filling in as a key part for worldwide financial progression, remains at the nexus of giving crucial unrefined components across different areas. [1] Be that as it may, the consequences of mining exercises on the climate, especially with regards to soil pollution, have arisen as a basic and squeezing natural concern. [2]

The tainting of soil with these weighty metal particles presents huge natural dangers, going from soil and water contamination to the disturbance of environments and the arrival of possibly malicious substances into the climate (Li et al., 2023).[3] The mining processes related with copper, zinc, lead, manganese, and iron, widely used in modern applications, have been recognized as essential supporters of soil defilement.[4] Methodically raised levels of these weighty metals have reliably surfaced in soil tests gathered from mining destinations, requiring a careful examination concerning their complicated ecological effects.[5]

The dirt condition is vital, in light of the fact that it is a general mode for plant development, which supplies fundamental supplements to the plants. Follow weighty metal fixation in the dirts is a main issue in view of their harmfulness and danger to human existence and the climate. [6] Weighty m et al fixations (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn and Co) of each part were broke down by Nuclear Ingestion Spectrophotometer. The enterprises are found exceptionally near human populace and obviously demonstrate decline in ripeness and presence of different metals. The weighty metal soil tainting from mining and purifying makes a wide range of risks.

Soil is considered as a confounding, living, periodically changing and dynamic part in the climate. Due to the various anthropogenic practices in present day locales soil could get dirtied which could cause huge significant metal contamination and which is more obligated for growing the poisons in the soil. City sewage water, current effluents and various unwanted wastes like plastic materials, bottles, broken pieces of metal, etc are moreover dump into the stream nearby them. The toxins are starting to get put away on the soil when the soil gets accumulated by the unsafe substances like metals, minor parts and other regular substances from local and present day regions[7]. Profound metals are normal constituents of the world's covering. The issue of natural contamination because of harmful metals has started to cause concern presently in most significant metropolitan urban communities. The contamination level in the climate is expanding because of industrialization, urbanization, anthropogenic exercises and regular sources. [8][9] Human exercises have definitely modified the equilibrium and biochemical and geochemical patterns of a few weighty metals. The poisonous weighty metals entering the environment might prompt geoaccumulation, bioaccumulation and biomagnifications [10]. An evaluation of the ecological gamble because of soil contamination is of specific significance for farming and non-horticultural regions, since weighty metals, which are perhaps damaging to human prosperity, persevere in soils for a really long time. Considering this reason this study was supposed to investigate the idea of the soil and to sort out the profound metal core interests. [11]

Materials and Methods

Analysis of Heavy Metals in Soil Samples Collected at three Different Industrial Creeks of Rewa, India. Analyzing heavy metals in soil tests gathered from modern regions is urgent for

figuring out the degree of contamination and its possible effect on the climate and human wellbeing. Here is an organized methodology for leading such an examination:

1. **Sampling Design**: Describe how the dirt examples were gathered from the three industrial creeks in Satna, India. Remember data for the quantity of tests taken, their areas inside locations within each creek, and the depth at which they were collected. Ensure that the sampling methodology is rigorous and representative of the area's contamination.
2. **Preparation of Soil Samples**: followed to set up the dirt examples for investigation. This might incorporate air-drying, crushing, and sieving to homogenize the samples and ensure consistency in examination.
3. **Heavy Metal Analysis**: Conduct a thorough analysis of the soil samples for heavy metal contamination. Common heavy metals of concern in industrial areas include lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), and zinc (Zn). Analytical techniques such as atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS)

To, satisfy the targets and points of the review, the examples were gathered month to month from three modern streams for the time of a half year (Sep-2020 to March 2020). Three locales were chosen viz. Rivulet 1 Bhedvad Khadi (Bamroli), Brook 2 Mithi Khadi (Udhana) and Spring 3 Saniya Hamed (Saroli) where homegrown sewage and modern emanating depleted. The dirt from these locales was gathered by versatile center testing method as portrayed in Nybakken (1988). The dirt was scooped by pushing 50 cm long acrylic center of 5 cm breadth into soil of the spring. Soil test were put away in polythene sacks and brought to the research facility for examination. The investigation of the metals like cadmium (Disc), lead (Pb), hexavalent chromium (Cr+6) and mercury (Hg) were finished by utilizing AAS-Nuclear Retention Spectrophotometer (APHA, 2005).[12]

Results and Discussion

The trial information on weighty metal substance in soil tests gathered from various examining station of modern brook of Surat is introduced in table 1. Complete weighty metal example fixations were contrasted with Break Dregs Quality Rules (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) portrayed in table 2. [13]

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

This plan gives two qualities, In-between time Residue Quality Rules Low (ISQG L) furthermore, ISQG High (ISQG H), which depict three focus ranges for a specific substance. Fixations underneath ISQG L qualities address an insignificant impacts range, which is expected to distinguish conditions where unfriendly organic impacts would be seldom noticed. Focuses equivalent to or more noteworthy than ISQG L, yet underneath ISQG H, address a reach inside which natural impacts happen every so often. Fixations at or above ISQG H values address a plausible impacts range, above which unfavorable natural impacts much of the time happen. Silt quality rules were utilized to recognize conditions under which unfavorable natural impacts might happen (Table 2). ISQG-L additionally addresses an edge level that sets off the necessity for extra ecological insightful work.

From the current assessment it was seen that Cadmium (Album) focus at S1, S2 and S3 examining station lies in the scope of 0.239-2.120 mg/kg, 0.239-0.679 mg/kg and 0.082-0.427 mg/kg separately. It was seen from figure 1 that greatest convergence of Cd was found 2.120 mg/kg in soil at S1 which surpass ISQG Low fixations. Because of bioavailability and numerous mechanical applications like batteries, colors, polymer adjustment, and so on, Cadmium is a vital wellspring of ecological contamination. Disc scattered in the climate can endure in soils and silt for quite a long time**.[14]** When taken up by plants, Cd concentrates along the established pecking order and eventually collects in the group of individuals eating sullied food. By a wide margin, the most notable toxicological property of Disc is its uncommonly lengthy half-life in the human body. When consumed, Cd irreversibly gathers in the human body, in especially in kidneys and other crucial organs such the lungs or the liver.

Table.1 Heavy metal content in soil samples collected at different samplingsites of Industrial creek of Surat

Site	Parameter	Unit	Sep-2020	Oct-2020	Nov-2020	Jan-2020	Feb-2020	Mar-2020
Bhedvad Khadi (Bamroli)(S1)	Cd	mg/kg	0.217	0.288	0.479	2.120	1.230	0.887
	Pb	mg/kg	16.147	20.277	15.108	31.032	51.248	32.745
	Cr^{+6}	mg/kg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Hg	mg/kg	5.346	2.666	1.779	2.648	3.548	1.333
Mithi Khadi (Udhana) (S2)	Cd	mg/kg	0.549	0.237	0.298	0.536	0.339	0.330
	Pb	mg/kg	34.418	11.377	15.735	27.33	29.398	23.165
	Cr^{+6}	mg/kg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Hg	mg/kg	1.757	2.023	0.862	0.734	1.200	1.023
Saniya Hamed (Saroli)(S3)	Cd	mg/kg	0.146	0.159	0.082	0.427	0.419	0.144
	Pb	mg/kg	6.685	15.345	11.032	18.335	29.245	6.232
	Cr^{+6}	mg/kg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Hg	mg/kg	4.037	11.142	7.019	0.644	1.135	5.035

ND- Not detected (Green = above ISQG Low, Red = above ISQG High)

Table.2 Recommended sediment quality guidelines (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

Element	ISQG Low (mg/kg)	ISQG High (mg/kg)
Cd	1.5	10
Pb	50	220
Cr	80	370
Hg	0.15	1

Notwithstanding its unprecedented combined properties, Cd is likewise an exceptionally harmful metal that can disturb various organic frameworks, ordinarily at dosages that are a lot of lower than most poisonous metals.[16] (Godt et al., 2006).

Present exploratory information showed that Lead (Pb) concentration at S1, S2 and S3 testing station lies in the range of 15.108-51.248 mg/kg, 11.377-34.418 mg/kg and 6.232-29.245 mg/kg separately. It was seen from figure 2 that most extreme centralization of Pb was 51.248 mg/kg in soil at S1 that surpass ISQG Low focuses. Lead is a notable metal poison and it is steadily being gradually gotten rid of the materials that people consistently use. Lead focuses in oceanic and earthly vertebrates will generally increment with expanding age of the living being, and to limit in hard tissues like bone and teeth. Tetra methyl lead supposedly was created from natural and substance methylation of a few inorganic and natural Pb intensifies in the oceanic climate, and has been identified at low fixations in marine mussels, lobsters, and hard fishes. Squanders from Pb mining exercises have seriously diminished or dispensed with populaces of fish and amphibian spineless creatures, either straightforwardly through deadly harmfulness or by implication through poisonousness to prey species. Wellbeing warnings cautioning fishermen against eating Pb polluted fish have been posted in Missouri.[17] (Schmitt and Finger, 1987) Intense harmfulness of Pb in spineless creatures is accounted for at grouping of 0.1-10 mg/l .

In the current examination hexavalent chromium was not found in soil at any site.From the outcomes it was seen that Hg fixation at S1, S2, S3 testing station lies in the scope of 1.333-5.346 mg/kg, 0.734-2.023mg/kg and 0.644-11.142 mg/kg separately. Hg focus at S1 surpass ISQG High fixations in every one of the months where as at S2 and S3 some place it surpass ISQG Low focuses and some place it surpass ISQG High focuses as displayed in figure 3. It was seen that most extreme grouping of Hg was 11.052 mg/kg in soil at S3. Mercury is major areas of strength for an as well as genotoxic metal.[18]. High

convergence of mercury, which could represent a biological risk, prompting pollution of plants**. [19]** Oceanic assets and bioaccumulation in the established pecking order. Albeit natural mercury is somewhat harmless and non-poisonous, it very well may be changed over completely to organomercurials, which are especially harmful and are held in the cells of plants and living creatures. Ongoing investigations have additionally announced that the inorganic mercury is changed into methyl mercury through microbial movement, which is the most poisonous and most bioavailable type of mercury for living organic entities. [20]. Bodaly et al. (1998) have announced that

Treated sewage water released into streams and comparative water bodies could bring about a calculable expansion in the development of alkyl mercury.

The effluents comprising of both homegrown and modern sewage is delivered into the brooks with no treatment, likely wellsprings of the weighty metals in Modern rivulets of Surat. Untreated modern and homegrown waste water is a serious danger to the organic entity staying in the river and furthermore for them where the water of the rivulet exhausted into the environment. The outcomes uncover that aside from Hexavalent Chromium Lead, Cadmium and Mercury surpass as far as possible set for the residue. Higher qualities were fundamentally seen at all destinations for Hg. These demonstrate that weighty metal tainting particularly Hg, ought to be considered during advancement techniques to shield the biological system from long haul contamination load.

Conclusion: Based on the findings of the analysis and risk assessment, propose recommendations for mitigating heavy metal pollution in the industrial creeks of Satna, India. This may include remediation measures such as soil stabilization, phytoremediation, or containment of contaminated areas to prevent further spread of pollutants.

References

1. Soltani, N., Keshavarzi, B., Moore, F., Sorooshian, A., Ahmadi, M.R., 2017.

Distribution of potentially toxic elements (PTEs) in tailings, soils, and plants around gol-E-Gohar iron mine, a case study in Iran. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 18798–18816. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9342-5.

2. Mir, M.A., Arya, S., Kak, A.M., 2020. Health risk assessment of heavy metals for population via consumption of pulses and cereals. Int. J. Biol. Innov. 02, 241–246. https://doi.org/10.46505/ijbi.2020.2222.

3.Rayhan Khan, M. A.; Ara, M. H.; Dhar, P. K. Assessment of heavy metals concentrations in the soil of Mongla industrial area, Bangladesh. Environ. Health Eng. Manage. **2019**, 6, 191– 202, DOI: 10.15171/ehem.2019.22

4. Hou, D. et al. Metal contamination and bioremediation of agricultural soils for food safety and sustainability. Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. **1**, 366–381 (2020).

5. Wuana, R.A., Okieimen, F.E., 2011. Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. ISRN Ecol. 2011, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/402647.

6. Saleh, M. I. and Kiyoshi,O. 2006. Improvement of crop yield, soil moisture distribution and water use efficiency in sandy soils by clay application. In: Tenth International Water Technology Conference, IWTC10 Alexandria, Egypt, 797- 811.

7. Rakesh Sharma, M.S., Raju, N.S. 2013. Correlation of heavy metal contamination with soil properties of industrial areas of Mysore, Karnataka, India by Cluster analysis. Int. Res. J. Environ. Sci., 2(10): 22– 27.

8. Patnaika, Lipika Raut, Dipti Behera, Lipika Nayak, Ashwini Mishra, Saswati Swain, Sambit 2013.Physico- chemical and heavy metal characterization on of soil from industrial belt of cuttuck,Orissa, Asian j. exp. Sci., 4 :219-225.

9. Dwivedi, A.P., Tripathi, I.P., Kumar, M. and Suresh. 2013. Assessment of soil and ground water quality in rewa district of Vindhya plateau, India, Journal of Environment Science and Engg., 55:51-64.

10. Pravin, U.S., Ravindra, M.M., Manisha, P.T. 2012. Sediment contamination due to toxic heavy metals in Mithi river of Mumbai. Adv. Anal. Chem., 2(3): 14–24.

11.Patnaika, Lipika Raut, Dipti Behera, Lipika Nayak, Ashwini Mishra, Saswati Swain, Sambit 2013.Physico- chemical and heavy metal characterization on of soil from industrial belt of cuttuck,Orissa, Asian j. exp. Sci., 4 :219-225

12. APHA(American Public Health Association). 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water

and Waste Water. 19th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington. DC.

13. Anzecc/Armcanz. 2000. Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council/Agricultural and resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra.

14. Bernard, A. 2008. Cadmium & its adverse effects on human health. Indian J. Med. Res., 128(4): 557–564.

15. Johri, N., Jacquillet, G., Unwin, R., 2010. Heavy metal poisoning: the effects of cadmium on the kidney. BioMetals, 23(5): 783–792.

16. Godt, J., Scheidig, F., Grosse-Siestrup, C., Esche, V., Brandenburg, P., Reich, A, et al. 2006. The toxicity of cadmium and resulting hazards for human health. J. Occup. Med. Toxicol., 1: 22.

17. Schmitt, C.J., Finger, S.E. 1987. The effects of sample preparation on measured concentrations of eight elements in edible tissues of fish from streams contaminated by lead mining. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 16(2): 185–207.

18. Fridovich, I. 1986. Biological effects of the superoxide radical. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 247(1): 1–11.

19.Cho, U., Park, J.O. 2000. Mercury induced oxidative stress in tomato seedlings. Plant Sci., 156(1): 1–9.

20. Bodaly, R.A., Rudd, W.M., Flett, R.J. 1998. Effect of urban sewage treatment on total and methyl mercury concentrations in effluents. Biogeochemistry, 40(2-3): 279–291.