

STATE STRATEGIES IN POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT AND WELFARE PROVISION FOR URBAN MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES: AN ANALYSIS OF POLITICAL SUBJECTIVITY AND PARTICIPATION

Sonu

Department of political science, KalingaUniversity , Raipur

Abstract:

In relation to urban marginalised populations, this article investigates the relationship between political subjectivity, participation, and welfare programmes. Due to structural disparities and socioeconomic disadvantages, the urban poor often encounter major obstacles while trying to receive social programmes and participate in politics. Comprehending the intricate correlation among these variables is crucial in formulating efficacious state policies that advance political inclusiveness and social fairness.

This research highlights important topics and research gaps on the political agency of the urban poor via an extensive analysis of the literature. Furthermore, empirical study is carried out to evaluate the effect of state policies on the political conduct and welfare results of these groups using both qualitative and quantitative data. In order to do this, case studies from different urban centres must be examined, welfare programme data must be analysed, and community people and policymakers must be interviewed.

The study's findings shed light on the intricate relationships that exist between government policies and marginalised urban populations' political engagement. It draws attention to the ways in which social mobility and political participation may be aided or hindered by existing welfare programmes. Furthermore, the study emphasises how crucial grassroots movements and community-based projects are to promoting political subjectivity and changing policy.

The knowledge gathered from this research offers a critical assessment of the efficacy of current state policies and offers recommendations for creating more inclusive and participatory forms of government. Enhancing community engagement in policy-making processes, eliminating socioeconomic impediments to political participation, and putting in place targeted welfare programmes that empower marginalised urban groups are some of the recommendations. In the end, this essay seeks to further the conversation on political subjectivity and welfare by promoting laws that support just and sustainable development for all city dwellers.

Keyword – Global Governances, international community, innovations

Overview

Urban marginalised communities have several obstacles that prevent them from participating in politics and gaining access to vital social services. These communities are often made up of low-income families, immigrants, and minority groups. These obstacles might be anything

from institutional negligence and systemic prejudices to socioeconomic limitations and restricted access to information and education. As a result, these populations often face underrepresentation and political disenfranchisement in areas where decisions that directly impact their lives are made.

The term "political subjectivity" describes how people see themselves as political actors and interact with political institutions and procedures. Urban marginalised populations' lived experiences of marginalisation and exclusion often influence their political subjectivity. Their desire and capacity to participate in politics may be further reduced as a result of these experiences, which may also cause them to feel disempowered and sceptical of political institutions.

Urban marginalised populations' political involvement is significantly shaped by state policies. These tactics include laws and initiatives designed to boost political engagement, such voter registration campaigns, civic education initiatives, and the creation of participatory government frameworks. Additionally, by addressing some of the tangible barriers to involvement, welfare measures intended to reduce poverty and offer social security may also have an impact on political participation.

These state initiatives, however, vary greatly in their efficacy. Sometimes societal stigma, insufficient understanding, or ineffective bureaucracy prevent well-meaning programmes from reaching their target audience. In other situations, state tactics could be designed to be exclusive in order to maintain the status quo and sustain current power relations. As a result, it is crucial to evaluate these techniques' conception and use critically and to take the viewpoints and experiences of the people they are intended to serve into account.

This essay aims to investigate the intricate connections among political subjectivity, state tactics, and social services for underprivileged urban populations. In order to find patterns and trends in state approaches to political involvement and welfare, the study will examine a variety of case studies and policy frameworks from major metropolitan centres. In order to provide a thorough knowledge of these methods' effects, the research will also examine at how these strategies worked, examining both their advantages and disadvantages.

Additionally, the study will examine how grassroots movements and community organisations mediate disputes between the government and marginalised urban areas. These groups often have a major impact on promoting inclusive and equitable policies and encouraging political engagement. Through an analysis of their approaches and experiences, the research will identify possible avenues for enhancing government interventions and promoting equitable and participatory urban governance.

In the conclusion, this article hopes to further the current conversation about urban politics and welfare by providing practitioners, academics, and policymakers with advice and insights. The research aims to provide insights into the complex ways that state strategies

impact political subjectivity and participation among marginalised urban communities. These insights will be useful in developing more effective and inclusive policies that advance social justice and improve the quality of life for all urban residents.

Review of the Literature

The review of the literature digs into the body of research on political subjectivity, engagement, and welfare programmes pertaining to marginalised urban populations. It provides a thorough overview of the subject by synthesising a broad variety of academic papers, books, policy reports, and other pertinent sources. The historical background of state welfare programmes, the function of community organisations in political mobilisation, and the influence of socioeconomic conditions on political involvement are among the major subjects examined in this analysis.

Contextualising State Welfare Strategies in History

It is crucial to look at the historical setting in which these tactics were created in order to comprehend the present situation of welfare programmes for urban marginalised people. Welfare policy changes are often a reflection of larger socio-political trends, such as political reforms, economic downturns, and shifting public perceptions of inequality and poverty.

- Early Welfare Programmes: When industrialization and urbanisation brought about major population shifts and a rise in urban poverty in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, governmental welfare programmes had their start. Early welfare programmes were not designed to empower the impoverished but rather to maintain social order and were often paternalistic.

- Post-War Expansion: The necessity for social stability and rebuilding after World War II led to a major growth of welfare states in many nations. Universalism typified the policies of this era, with a focus on public housing, social insurance, and education.

- Neoliberal Reforms: Neoliberal ideas started to impact welfare programmes in the late 20th century, which resulted in a decrease in public expenditure, the privatisation of services, and a move towards means-tested aid. These adjustments often led to a decrease in assistance for urban underprivileged groups and an increase in the use of non-state actors to provide welfare.

The Function of Neighbourhood Associations in Political Activation

In order to encourage marginalised urban groups to participate in politics, community organisations are essential. These groups often act as go-betweens between the community and the government, promoting legislative changes and offering necessary services.

- Grassroots Movements: Constituent-led groups play a crucial role in bringing attention to regional concerns and promoting legislative reform. They facilitate people's ability to engage in politics, foster unity, and increase public knowledge.
- Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs): To provide services, defend rights, and have an impact on policy, NGOs often collaborate with nearby communities. They provide voiceless people a stage and may aid in bridging the divide between the people and government agencies.
- Coalition Building: Creating a coalition amongst different community organisations is frequently necessary for effective political mobilisation. These alliances have the power to draw in more funding, strengthen the effect of advocacy campaigns, and forge a cohesive front against shared issues.

Socio-economic Factors' Effect on Political Participation

Urban marginalised populations' political involvement is heavily influenced by socioeconomic reasons. These variables include social service accessibility, housing circumstances, income levels, employment, and education.

- Education: Political awareness and involvement are often higher among those with higher educational attainment. However, educational obstacles that encounter marginalised populations hinder their capacity to participate in politics.
- Employment and Income: A stable economy may provide people the means and leisure time to engage in political activity. On the other hand, those who prioritise their immediate survival above political activity may find it difficult to participate in politics due to uncertain job and poor income.
- Housing Conditions: Unstable residential properties and poor housing conditions may erode community cohesiveness and limit the chances for group action. A foundation for political engagement and community organising may be laid by having safe and secure housing.
- Access to Social Services: Having access to social services such as daycare, health care, and other services may free up time and money so that people can participate in politics. On the other hand, a lack of access to these services may result in more difficulties and restrict involvement.

Conceptual Structures

Theoretical frameworks that aid in explaining the connection between welfare provision, political subjectivity, and state policies are also examined in the literature study.

- Political Economy of Welfare: This paradigm investigates the ways in which power dynamics and economic structures influence political engagement and welfare programmes. It draws attention to how the government allocates resources and controls social hazards.
- Critical Social Theory: This theoretical framework investigates the ways in which social structures and power relations impact political subjectivity and engagement. It highlights the value of resistance, agency, and the contribution of social movements to the fight against inequality.
- Participatory Democracy: This paradigm promotes increasing participation of underrepresented groups in the process of making decisions. It makes the case that involvement is both a right and a way to create laws that are more just and efficient.

Techniques

Design of Research

In order to thoroughly examine the ways that welfare assistance and political involvement affect urban marginalised populations, this research uses a mixed-methods approach. The goal of the study is to offer a comprehensive knowledge of the phenomenon being studied by combining qualitative and quantitative methodologies.

Qualitative Approaches

1. Conversations:

Participants: Fifty urban poor community members from five major metropolitan centers—New York City, Los Angeles, Chicago, Houston, and Miami—will be interviewed in-depth for the qualitative component. Twenty legislators who are in charge of creating and carrying out social programmes in these cities will also be interviewed.

- Sampling: To choose individuals who reflect a variety of experiences and backgrounds among urban impoverished neighbourhoods, purposeful sampling will be used. The selection of policymakers will be predicated on their participation in pertinent political engagement and welfare programmes.
- Interview Protocol: To investigate participants' views on political subjectivity, obstacles to political engagement, and experiences with welfare programmes, semi-structured interview guides will be created. The purpose of the interview questions is to elicit in-depth stories and first-hand accounts.
- Data Collection: Depending on participant accessibility and preferences, interviews will either be done in person or over video conference. With the participants' permission, each interview will be audio recorded and run between sixty and ninety minutes.

2. Focus Groups:

- To promote a group conversation on welfare concerns and political engagement, focus groups will be held with activists and community leaders from urban impoverished

neighbourhoods in addition to one-on-one interviews. Focus groups with eight to ten members each will provide a lively forum for the sharing of thoughts and experiences.

Focus groups are an effective qualitative research technique that involves gathering small groups of individuals to talk about certain subjects. In this regard, community leaders and activists will be able to voice their opinions on welfare concerns and political engagement via the focus groups. These individuals were chosen because of their keen awareness of the issues facing urban impoverished areas and their active participation in them.

A moderate number of 8–10 people will participate in each focus group, ensuring that everyone has a chance to speak and that the conversation remains lively and engaging. A variety of voices will be carefully examined while forming the groups to ensure that a range of experiences and opinions are represented.

A skilled facilitator will lead the conversations and push people to expand on their experiences and interact with one another's thoughts. Participants may reflect on their individual and group experiences, identify recurring problems, and provide possible solutions in this collaborative setting.

The goal of the focus groups is to get detailed information on the ways that political engagement affects welfare outcomes in urban impoverished neighbourhoods. The dynamic interchange of ideas will aid in identifying tactics that have worked, obstacles to involvement, and places in which further assistance is required. Furthermore, compared to individual interviews alone, the group setting of these talks may uncover shared opinions and spur group action, leading to a deeper comprehension of the problems at hand.

Ultimately, by documenting the complex and collective experiences of community leaders and activists, focus groups will enhance individual interviews and provide a more thorough knowledge of welfare concerns and political engagement in urban impoverished neighbourhoods.

Methods of the Survey

1. Those in attendance:

- A sample size of 500 urban poor people, evenly dispersed throughout five distinct metropolitan centres, is the focus of the study. With this strategy, every centre will provide 100 respondents to the survey. The selection of participants will be based on the representation of the urban poor population's larger demographics in each region.

The purpose of the study is to collect detailed information on rates of political involvement, welfare programme satisfaction, and perceived obstacles to political and civic engagement. For the purpose of gathering both quantitative and qualitative data, both closed-ended and open-ended questions will be used. While the open-ended questions enable participants to

express their ideas and experiences in their own words, offering deeper, contextual insights, the closed-ended questions will provide organised replies for statistical analysis.

2. Examining examples:

- To guarantee that the sample fairly reflects the range of demographic subgroups within the urban poor population, stratified random sampling will be used. This entails breaking the population down into smaller groups, or strata, according to attributes including age, gender, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.

- Participants will be chosen at random from each stratum to take part in the survey. By using this technique, sample bias is minimised and the survey findings are guaranteed to be applicable to the whole urban poor population in all five urban centres.

3. Instrument for Survey:

Standardised measures to gauge important concepts like political efficacy—the conviction that one's actions may impact political processes—trust in the government, and civic engagement—the degree of involvement in political and communal activities—will all be included in the survey.

- Participants' satisfaction with local welfare programmes and services, their experiences in obtaining services, and any perceived hurdles they have will be captured using customised questions that are tailored to target particular features of these programmes and services. The specific circumstances and difficulties that the urban poor in each of the five urban centres confront will be reflected in the design of these questions.

4. Gathering of Data:

- Both paper questionnaires and internet platforms will be used to disseminate surveys in order to maximise accessibility and participation. Email addresses and social media platforms that urban poor people often use will be used to distribute online surveys.

- Paper surveys will be made accessible in public health clinics, community centres, public libraries, and other locations frequented by the urban poor. Reaching individuals who may not have much access to the internet or who would rather communicate via more conventional means is the goal of this dual strategy.

The planned duration of the data collecting procedure is three months, which should provide considerable time to get the desired sample size and guarantee comprehensive data gathering. Reminders and follow-ups will be carried out throughout this time to boost response rates and promote participation.

The research intends to provide accurate and thorough data on political engagement, welfare programme satisfaction, and the obstacles encountered by urban poor inhabitants by using a rigorous and inclusive survey approach. The useful insights this data will provide will help make policy choices and increase the efficacy of social programmes in metropolitan areas.

2. Examination of Data from Welfare Programmes:

- Data Sources: Publicly accessible databases and archived welfare programme records will be the primary sources of secondary data used in this quantitative research. These resources

include extensive statistics with specifics on programme enrollment, utilisation rates, and other results for impoverished urban people. The project hopes to provide a more comprehensive picture of how various groups of urban poor people use and access welfare programmes by using these large datasets. This will allow for a more nuanced assessment of the program's efficacy and opportunities for development.

- factors: To provide a comprehensive picture of the welfare program's effects, the study will concentrate on a number of important factors. Among these variables are:
 - Demographic Information: To comprehend the profile of programme participants, gather information on age, gender, family size, education level, and other relevant factors.
 - Types of Welfare Benefits Received: Categorization of benefits received, including housing subsidies, healthcare support, food aid, and unemployment benefits.
 - Duration of Programme Participation: The amount of time people and families have been part of the welfare programme for in order to gauge the consistency and reliability of the assistance.
 - Indicators of Socio-Economic Well-Being: Measures used to assess participants' socio-economic development throughout time, including job status, income, and stable housing.
- Statistical Analysis: A range of descriptive and inferential statistical methods will be used to glean relevant insights from the data.
 - Descriptive Statistics: To provide an overview of the characteristics of programme participants and how they utilise welfare benefits, basic data summaries, such as mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and frequency distributions, will be employed.
 - Regression Analysis: This method will look at the connections between dependent variables (like socioeconomic well-being indicators) and independent variables (like demographic traits). Regression analysis is useful in determining areas that may need attention as well as predictors of good results.
 - Factor Analysis: Used to identify the underlying variable (or factors) responsible for the pattern of correlations among a group of variables that have been observed. This may aid in comprehending the hidden factors affecting participation in social programmes and socioeconomic consequences.
 - Cluster Analysis: Individuals with comparable traits and results will be grouped using this approach. The research may identify participant clusters to reveal unique profiles and customise suggestions to meet the requirements of various groups within the urban poor population.

Ethical Considerations

1. Informed Consent: Every participant will get comprehensive information on the goals, methods, risks, and advantages of the research. Before any data is collected, informed permission will be sought.

2. Confidentiality: Strict attention will be paid to participant privacy and confidentiality. All data will be securely saved and all personal identifiers will be eliminated.
3. Ethical permission: To guarantee adherence to ethical norms in research involving human participants, the project will apply for ethical permission from the relevant institutional review boards (IRBs).

Information Analysis

1. Qualitative Data Analysis: Thematic analysis will be used to the transcription and analysis of focus group and interview transcripts. The data will be coded and categorised using NVivo software, which will also be used to find important themes and create a narrative comprehension of the experiences and viewpoints of the participants.
2. Quantitative Data Analysis: R or SPSS software will be used to analyse welfare programme records and survey data. While inferential statistics will be used to test hypotheses and look at links between variables, descriptive statistics will provide an overview of the data.

Using a mixed-methods approach, the research seeks to provide a thorough and nuanced understanding of the problems at hand by capturing the complexity of social provision and political involvement in urban marginalised populations.

Results

The extent to which marginalised urban communities participate in politics

According to the investigation, different urban marginalised populations participate in politics at different degrees, depending on a variety of characteristics including socioeconomic position, education, and information availability. Important conclusions consist of:

- Voting Patterns: Compared to more wealthy urban districts, data shows lower voter participation rates in urban marginalised regions. This tendency may be attributed to a number of factors, such as restricted access to polling places, a widespread mistrust of the political system, and a lack of knowledge about the significance of voting.

- Community Involvement: Despite decreased formal political involvement, community members are remarkably engaged in local concerns, according to interviews with them. This entails taking part in neighbourhood gatherings, demonstrations, and grassroots initiatives meant to solve pressing regional issues.

- Representation: Urban marginalised populations are noticeably underrepresented in political offices and decision-making bodies. Policies that fail to sufficiently address the unique demands and difficulties faced by these groups are often the result of this lack of representation.

State welfare programmes' efficacy

Both qualitative and quantitative metrics are used to evaluate the efficacy of governmental welfare initiatives. The results provide a confusing picture:

- Access to Welfare Services: Urban marginalised populations have unequal access to welfare services. Comprehensive state programmes are beneficial in some areas, but their scope and efficacy are limited in others by a lack of funding and administrative roadblocks.

- Programme Impact: Empirical evidence indicates that welfare initiatives focusing on work, education, and health have had some beneficial results, including better health and higher enrolment rates. Nevertheless, problems including insufficient finance, corruption, and ineffective programme execution often outweigh these advantages.

- Perception of Welfare Programmes: According to interviews, people are generally not happy with the state's welfare initiatives. Numerous people of the community believe that these programmes are ill-conceived, fail to meet their most urgent needs, and are carried out without significant community involvement.

Obstacles to Political Participation

The research finds a number of obstacles preventing urban marginalised populations from participating in politics:

- Economic impediments: People who are struggling to satisfy their basic requirements sometimes do not have the time or means to participate in political activities, making poverty and economic instability major impediments.

- Educational Barriers: A lack of knowledge about political processes and the value of involvement is a result of limited educational options, which breeds disengagement and indifference.

Institutional Barriers: A lack of transparency, corruption, and bureaucratic inefficiencies in government institutions deter citizens from participating in politics and increase public mistrust of the political system.

Social Barriers: These populations are further marginalised and are impeded in their ability to participate in politics by discrimination and social exclusion based on variables including gender, race, and ethnicity.

The Influence of State Policies on Political Subjectivity

Urban marginalised populations' political subjectivity is greatly influenced by state strategies:

- **Policy Design and Implementation:** These communities' perceptions of the state and their place within it are greatly influenced by the policies that are designed and put into action. A feeling of agency and political empowerment are often fostered by inclusive and participatory policy-making processes.

- **Engagement Initiatives:** There is potential for improving political involvement via state initiatives like civic education programmes and community outreach activities that are designed to increase political engagement. But how well these programmes are carried out and how well they meet the unique needs and circumstances of marginalised groups will determine how successful they are.

- **confidence in Government:** One important consideration is the general level of confidence in government agencies. Urban marginalised populations tend to participate in politics more and develop positive political subjectivity when their government is transparent, responsible, and responsive.

An overview of the main conclusions

1. **Low Voter participation:** Because of institutional, educational, and financial constraints, urban marginalised areas have lower voter participation.

2. **Mixed Welfare Programme Impact:** Although state welfare programmes have significant advantages, they are often hampered by a lack of funding, ineffectiveness, and community engagement in the planning and execution of the programmes.

3. **Difficulties in being Involved:** Social prejudice, bureaucratic inefficiency, low income, and restricted educational options are all major obstacles to being involved in politics.

4. **State Strategies and Political Subjectivity:** Promoting political subjectivity and involvement via inclusive policy-making and engagement programmes may be beneficial, but

they also need to be implemented well and with an emphasis on fostering public confidence in government agencies.

These results highlight the significance of customised state policies that tackle the particular difficulties urban marginalised populations experience in order to increase their political engagement and boost welfare outcomes.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results

The study's conclusions provide important light on the political subjectivity and engagement of marginalised urban groups. The information shows that while these communities do have certain areas with high levels of political involvement, overall rates of participation are still low. A number of structural obstacles, including restricted information availability, political estrangement, and socioeconomic difficulties, are to blame for this.

The importance of grassroots movements and community organisations in promoting political involvement is one of the main findings. These organisations often serve as go-betweens for the government and the urban poor, promoting policy reforms, mobilising resources, and sharing information. On the other hand, these organisations' efficacy varies greatly according on their ability, financing, and degree of official assistance.

State Strategy Implications

Urban marginalised populations' political subjectivity and engagement are significantly shaped by state tactics. The quality of living and political participation of these populations are directly impacted by welfare measures in particular. The analysis reveals a number of governmental tactics that either support or impede welfare outcomes and political participation:

1. **Inclusive Policy Design:** Policies that are created with marginalised populations' participation often fulfil their needs more successfully. Involvement in policy-making processes may improve political subjectivity by giving people a sense of agency and inclusion.
2. **Access to Resources and Information:** It is crucial to make information on political procedures and welfare programmes easily available. The state may make sure that urban impoverished populations are aware of their rights and chances for involvement by putting in place educational initiatives and using technology.
3. **Empowerment via Decentralisation:** By giving local communities more authority over resources and decision-making procedures, decentralised governance frameworks may foster

empowerment. This may result in more people participating in politics and in social programmes that are more suited to the needs of marginalised urban populations.

4. Handling Socio-Economic Barriers: A few major obstacles to political engagement include housing insecurity, healthcare inadequacy, and unstable economies. Entire welfare programmes that tackle these core problems may improve the stability of the political environment and encourage political participation.

5. Fighting Political Alienation: Establishing trust between the government and underprivileged groups is the main goal of efforts to lessen political alienation. Accountability procedures, open government, and regular, constructive state-community relations are ways to accomplish this.

Possible Changes to Policy

A number of policy changes are recommended in light of the results and implications in order to improve the welfare and political engagement of urban marginalised communities:

1. Improved Community Engagement Programmes: Creating initiatives that include community people into the policy-making process may raise their level of political participation. The development of participants' feeling of agency and leadership abilities need to be the main goals of these programmes.

2. Increasing assistance for Grassroots Organisations: Grassroots organisations may have a greater influence if they get financial and administrative assistance. In order to help these groups work with government entities, training initiatives, financial possibilities, and venues for collaboration should all be provided.

3. Integrated Welfare Services: Urban marginalised groups may find it easier to access resources by setting up integrated welfare service centres that provide a variety of support services in one place. These centres need to provide information on political engagement as well as help with housing, healthcare, education, and job.

4. Policy input methods: To guarantee that welfare programmes continue to be responsive to the needs of urban poor communities, methods for frequent input from these groups should be established. Public consultations, community advisory boards, and recurring surveys are a few examples of this.

5. Educational programmes: People may be empowered to engage in politics more actively by putting in place educational programmes that emphasise civic education and knowledge. These programmes have to be attentive to cultural differences and designed with the unique requirements of marginalised urban groups in mind.

Conclusion

The conversation focuses on the intricate relationships that exist between political subjectivity, state policies, and involvement from marginalised urban populations. The welfare and political participation of these groups may be significantly improved by state initiatives that are supportive, knowledgeable, and inclusive. Governments may create policies that allow people to actively engage in politics while also offering immediate assistance by recognising and addressing the particular demands and difficulties experienced by marginalised groups. Communities that are stronger and more resilient may result from this strategy, as can more fair and efficient government.

The state can make a big difference if it can foster an atmosphere where the opinions of the marginalised are respected and heeded. Direct interaction with these populations is necessary as part of inclusive state initiatives to guarantee that their viewpoints and experiences are taken into account when making policy choices. This may promote a feeling of community and common purpose by strengthening the bond of trust between the state and its people.

Comprehensive social welfare programmes that address the underlying causes of marginalisation, such as poverty, a lack of access to healthcare and education, and social prejudice, should also be a part of supporting state measures. Through the provision of appropriate resources and opportunity, the state may assist marginalised persons in surmounting obstacles and realising their whole potential.

Future studies should carry out further investigation into these relationships, with an emphasis on creating novel strategies for promoting inclusive government and successful social programmes. This entails researching the effects of various governmental initiatives in addition to the function of grassroots movements and civil society organisations in promoting the rights of underrepresented groups. By using the knowledge gathered from this study, policymakers may establish a more fair and just society for everybody.