Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

# SOME FRIEDMANN- ROBERTSON-WALKER (FRW) MODELS

# G.S. Dubey, K.K. Singh\* and K.S. Upadhyay\*\* Department of Physics G.S.P.G. College, Samodhpur, Jaunpur (U.P.) India \*Department of Physics P.B.P.G. College, Pratapgarh (U.P.) India \*\* Department of Physics, K.N.P.G. College, Gyanpur Bhadohi (U.P.) India

Abstract : We have attempted to explore some cosmological scenarios arising from the field equations with variable  $\Lambda$  (cosmological constant) taken in a way which conserves the matter tensor. From the field equations and the conservation equation, an equation is obtained in  $\rho$ , R,

A, which points out two trivial solutions:  $2\ddot{\rho}/\rho = 3\frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho^2}$  with  $\Lambda = \left(\frac{1+3\omega}{1+\omega}\right)\frac{k}{R^2}$  and  $\dot{\rho} = 0$ . The

solutions of the field equations have been investigated for  $\Lambda = \gamma/R^2$  where  $\gamma$  is a fixed pure number of the order of unity.

*Introduction :* In Einstein's field equations, there are two parameters, the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ , and the gravitational constant G. The Newtonian constant of gravitation G, plays the role of a coupling constant between geometry any matter in the Einstein field equations. Numerous arguments have been proposed in the past few decades in which G varies with time, such as Bergmann<sup>[4]</sup>, Dirac<sup>[7]</sup>, Dreitlein<sup>[8]</sup>, Gasperini<sup>[9]</sup>, Hoyle<sup>[10, 11]</sup>, Linde<sup>[14]</sup> and Wesson<sup>[16]</sup>. The cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  as a function of time has also been considered by several authors in various variable G theories by Bicknell<sup>[6]</sup> and Lau<sup>[13]</sup>. Several authors such as Beeshan<sup>[3]</sup> and Kalligas<sup>[12]</sup> have proposed to link the variation of G with that of  $\Lambda$ . This approach preverses the conservation of the energy momentum tensor and leaves the form of the Einstein field equations unchanged, Pradhan<sup>[15]</sup> presented cylindrically symmetric inhomogeneous cosmological models with viscous fluid and varying  $\Lambda$ . Bali and Rareek<sup>[2]</sup> have investigated Bianchi Type V magnetized string dust cosmological models with Pertov-type degenerate. In this paper our aim is to explore some cosmological scenarios arising from field equations with variable G and  $\Lambda$  taken in a way which conserves the matter tensor.



## Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

*The Field Equations :* Let us consider an isotropic and homogeneous space time presented by Friedman –Robertson- Walker (FRW) metric together with perfect fluid. The Einstein field equation gives two independent equations with time dependent G and  $\Lambda$  as

$$\frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} = \frac{8\pi G(t)}{3}\rho + \frac{\Lambda(t)}{3} - \frac{k}{R^2},$$
(1)

$$\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = \frac{4\pi}{3} (1+3\omega)\rho G(t) + \frac{\Lambda(t)}{3},$$
(2)

where we have taken an equation of state  $p = \omega \rho$ ,  $\omega = \text{constant}$ . In view of equations (1) and (2), we obtain

$$G\dot{\rho} + 3(1+\omega)\rho G\frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \rho\dot{G} + \frac{\dot{\Lambda}}{8\pi} = 0$$
(3)

The law of conservation gives.

$$\dot{\rho} + 3(1+\omega)\rho \frac{\dot{R}}{R} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\dot{G} = \frac{\dot{\Lambda}}{8\pi\rho}.$$
(5)

Integrating eq. (4), one obtains

$$\rho = CR^{-3(1+\omega)}, C = Constant > 0.$$
(6)

Thus, we get

$$G(R) = G_0 + \frac{1}{8\pi c} \left( \Lambda_0 R_0^{3(1+\omega)} \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi c} \Lambda(R) R^{3(1+\omega)} + \frac{3(1+\omega)}{8\pi c} \int_{R_0}^{R} R' R'^{(2+3\omega)} d\dot{R},$$
(7)

where the subscript zero denotes for the value of the quantity at t =0. If we put  $\omega = -1$ , we get  $\dot{\rho} = 0$ , implies  $\rho = \text{constant}$ . If we consider  $\dot{\rho} \neq 0$  we obtain



Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

$$\frac{2\ddot{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{3\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho^2} = 3(1+\omega)^2 \left[\frac{(1+3\omega)k}{(1+\omega)R^2} - \Lambda\right], \quad \omega \neq -1.$$
(8)

Now R(t) must satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{2}{1+\omega}\dot{H} + 3H^2 \frac{(1+3\omega)}{(1+\omega)} \frac{k}{R^2} - \Lambda = 0,$$
(9)

where  $H = \dot{R} / R$  be the Hubble parameter.

A Trivial Solution : A trivial solution of eq. (8) reads

$$\frac{2\ddot{\rho}}{\rho} - 3\dot{\rho}^2 / \rho \qquad \text{with} \quad \Lambda = \frac{1 + 3\omega}{1 + \omega} \frac{k}{R^2}.$$
(10)

Several authors have suggested the from of  $\Lambda$  as  $\Lambda = \text{Const./R}^2$ . However in the present case the constant assumes different values in different phases, for example, in radiation-dominated phase  $\omega = 1/3$ , in matter-dominated phase  $\omega = 0$ . Again, we obtain

$$2\dot{H} + 3(1+\omega)H^2 = 0, (11)$$

which gives solution

$$H = \frac{2}{3(1+\omega)} \frac{1}{(t+\cos\tan t)},\tag{12}$$

and hence,

$$R = (mt)^{\frac{2}{3}(1+\omega)}$$

where m be the constant of integration, with R = 0 at t = 0. is obvious that R is independent of k.  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a significant deviation from the standard model. However,  $\Lambda >0$ , required a spatially compact universe. One may evaluate the time  $t = t_{cau}$ , when the whole universe becomes causally connected.

Hence, we get

$$G = G_0 + \frac{k}{4\pi c (1+\omega)} (mt)^{2(1+3\omega)/3(1+\omega)}$$
(14)



Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

here we obtain

$$m = (1 + \omega)\sqrt{6\pi cG_0},\tag{15}$$

for self consistency of the system. It is obvious that G has finite non-zero value G0 initially. It goes on increasing as t in RD phase and then  $t^{2/3}$  in MD phase with  $\dot{G} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It is interesting to note that the deceleration parameter  $q = -\ddot{R}/RH^2$  is constant in this model. i.e.

$$q = \frac{1+3\omega}{2},\tag{16}$$

showing that q = 1 and  $\frac{1}{2}$  in RD and MD phases respectively.

**Solution for**  $\Lambda = \gamma / R^2$ : For this given value of  $\Lambda$ , one obtains

$$G = G_0 + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi c(1+\omega)} \left[ R^{(1+3\omega)} - R_0^{(1+3\omega)} \right]$$
(17)

$$H = \left[ \left\{ \left( \frac{1+\omega}{1+3\omega} \right) \gamma - k \right\} \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{A}{R^{3(1+\omega)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(18)

where A be constant of integration

$$A = \frac{8\pi c}{3} \left[ G_0 - \frac{\gamma}{4\pi c (1+3\omega)} R_0^{(1+3\omega)} \right]$$
(19)

Now, we obtain

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{(1+3\omega)A}{2}R^{-(2+3\omega)}$$
(20)

which shows that  $\ddot{R} \stackrel{>}{<} 0$  accordingly as  $\ddot{A} \stackrel{>}{<} 0$  It is obvious that for non-zero  $\gamma$  and R<sub>0</sub>, one may select A < 0 to avoid  $\ddot{R} \le 0$  and hence the initial singularity. For A = 0, which gives a linearly expanding universe.

$$R = \left[ \left(\frac{2}{3}\gamma - k\right)(t+\beta)^2 - A\left(\frac{2}{3}\gamma - k\right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(22)



#### Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

where  $\beta$  as the constant of integration. Case (1) For  $R_0 \neq$  and  $H_0 = 0$ , we get  $c = \rho_0 R_0^4$ ,  $A = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\gamma - k\right)R_0^2$ , indicating that  $\gamma > \frac{2}{3}k$  for expansion. For  $\gamma = 3$ , we recover the model by

Abdel – Rahman<sup>[1]</sup>, Case (ii)  $R_0 = 0$ , we get  $A = \left(\frac{2}{3}\gamma - k\right)^2 \beta^2$ . For A > 0, we get

$$R = \left[\frac{3\sqrt{A}}{2}t + B_3\right]^{2/3} \tag{23}$$

where  $B_3$  be the constant of integration. Hence, in MD phase it is described by equation (23) depending upon the values of k and  $\gamma$ .

*Solutions for Constant Density* : For  $\rho = \text{constant} = \rho_c$ , we get

$$(1+\omega)\dot{R} = 0, \tag{24}$$

showing three possibilities (1)  $\omega = = -1$  with R = R (t) (ii) R = constant = R<sub>c</sub> with  $\omega \neq -1$  (iii) R = R<sub>c</sub> with  $\omega = -1$ . In view of the above, we get

$$\ddot{R} = \frac{8\pi\rho_c D}{3}R\tag{25}$$

where

$$D = G + \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi\rho_c} = G_0 + \frac{\Lambda_0}{8\pi\rho_c}$$
(26)

showing a inflation for  $\Lambda > 0$  and G > 0, and we obtain

$$R = R_0 \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{8\pi\rho_c D}{3}t}\right]$$
(27)

showing classical inflation as obtained by Kalligas<sup>[12]</sup>. For static universe with  $R = R_c$ , we obtain

$$\Lambda = \frac{(1+3\omega)}{(1+\omega_c)R_c^2}, \qquad G = \frac{k}{4\pi(1+\omega)\rho_c R_c^2}, \qquad (28)$$



#### Research paper© 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed ( Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 10, 2022

**Concluding Remarks**: We have presented two trivial solutions:  $2\ddot{\rho}/\rho = 3\dot{\rho}/\rho^2$  with  $\Lambda = \left(\frac{1+3\omega}{(1+\omega)}\right)\frac{k}{R^2}$  and  $\dot{\rho} = 0$ . The case with  $\Lambda = \left(\frac{1+3\omega}{(1+\omega)}\right)\frac{k}{R^2}$  yields a model starting from by

bang with finite  $G_0$  and has a phase wise constant deceleration parameter q. The model reduces to standard model for k = 0. We recover the Berman<sup>[5]</sup> result for q = 1/2 in the present phase of evolution. We have also investigated for  $\Lambda = \lambda/R^2$ . In special case, a linearly expanding model is obtained which is free from horizon problem. We recover the model of Abdel- Rahman<sup>[1]</sup> with  $\omega = -1$ .

# **References** :

- 1- Abdel Rahman, A.M.M. (1990) : Geln. Rel. Grav., Vol. 22, p 655.
- 2- Bali, R. and Rareek, U. (2009) : Praman. J. Physics, Vol. 72, p 787.
- 3- Beesham, A. (1991) : Int. J. Theor. Phys., Vol. 25, p 1295.
- 4- Bergmann, P.G. (1968) : Int. J. Theor. Phys., Vol. 1, p 25.
- 5- Berman, M.S. (1991) : Gem. Rel. Grav., Vol. 23, p 465.
- 6- Bicknell, G.V. (1976) : J. Phys. A. Math. Gen., Vol. 9, p 1637.
- 7- Dirac, P.A.M. (1973) : Proc. Roy. Sco., Vol. A333, p 403
- 8- Dreitlein, J. (1974) : Phys. Rev. Lett., Vol. 33, p 1243.
- 9- Gasperini, M. (1987) : Class. Q. Grav., Vol. 5, p 521.
- 10- Hoyle, F. (1964) : Proc. Roy. Soc., Vol. A282, p 191.
- 11- Hoyle, F. and Narlikar, J.V. (1971) : Nature, Vol. 233, p 41.
- 12- Kalligas, D. (1992) : Geln. Rel. Grav., Vol. 24, p 351.
- 13- Lau, Y.K. (1985), Aust. J. Phys., Vol. 38, p 547.
- 14- Linde, A.D. (1974) : JETP, Lett., Vol. 19, p 183.
- 15- Pradhan, A. (2004) : IUCAA, -47/2004.
- 16- Wesson, P.S. (1980) : Gen. Rel. Grav., Vol. 16, p 193.
- 17- Migvas, V, schellenberger, G, & Reiprich, T.H. (April 2020)
- 18- Nadathur, S. (2013)
- 19- Saadeh D, Feeney SM, Pontzen A, Periris HY, Mc Even (2016)
- 20- Ade, P.A.R; et al. (October 2016)
- 21- University of oxford (5 December 2018)
- 22- Farnes, J.S. (2018)
- 23- Lombriser, Lucas, lima, Nelson (2017)

