ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

A COMPLETE EVALUATION OF MANVENDRA NATH RAI POLITICAL THOUGHT IN THE PRESENT CONTEXT

Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh
Assistant Professor,
Department of Political science
A.K. Singh College, Japla,
Palamu, Jharkhand

Manabendra Nath Roy (M.N. Roy), a firebrand revolutionary who later became a philosopher, left an indelible mark on Indian political thought. His journey, from advocating communist revolution to championing "Radical Humanism," compels us to consider his ideas in the context of contemporary challenges.

From Marxism to Radical Humanism: A Shift in Focus

Roy's early years were steeped in revolutionary fervor. He saw Marxism as the answer to India's colonial subjugation. However, disillusioned by the Soviet model's rigidity, he developed Radical Humanism. This philosophy emphasized reason, individual freedom, and a scientific outlook over dogma. Roy believed these were crucial for India's social and economic progress.

Relevance in the 21st Century

Several aspects of Roy's thought resonate in the present:

- Critique of Religious Conservatism: Roy's opposition to obscurantism and religious dogma holds weight in a world grappling with religious extremism. His call for a rational and scientific society is pertinent as we navigate issues like climate change and technological advancements.
- Focus on Individual Freedom: Roy's emphasis on individual liberty finds resonance in contemporary discussions on human rights and democratic values.
- **Social Upliftment:** His concern for the marginalized aligns with the ongoing struggles for social justice and equality. Roy's vision of empowering peasants and workers holds relevance in the fight against poverty and inequality.

Challenges and Considerations

However, Roy's ideas also face challenges in the 21st century:



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

- The Rise of Identity Politics: Roy's focus on individual emancipation might be seen as overlooking the importance of collective identities in the current political climate.
- The Question of Class Conflict: While social justice remains crucial, the nature of class conflict has evolved in a globalized world. Roy's emphasis on the proletariat might need reinterpretation.
- The Role of the State: Roy's vision of a minimalist state might not address the complex challenges of the 21st century, such as social welfare and environmental regulation.

Conclusion

M.N. Roy's political thought is a complex tapestry. While some aspects might seem dated, his core ideas – reason, individual freedom, and social justice – remain relevant in the 21st century. A critical engagement with his work can inspire solutions to contemporary challenges, prompting us to forge a path that balances individual liberty with social well-being. By understanding Roy's evolution and the context behind his ideas, we can find aspects that can be revitalized for the present.

Manabendra Nath Roy (M.N. Roy), a revolutionary, philosopher, and political theorist, was a towering figure in early 20th-century India. His trajectory, from advocating communist revolution to championing "Radical Humanism," offers a unique lens through which to examine contemporary political issues. This paper explores the enduring relevance of Roy's ideas in the present context.

Centrality of Reason and Individuality: Roy's core philosophy emphasized reason, critical thinking, and individual emancipation. He challenged traditional social hierarchies and religious dogma, advocating for a society based on scientific inquiry and individual freedom. In today's world, grappling with issues like religious extremism and rising social inequalities, Roy's emphasis on reason resonates. His call for a citizenry equipped with critical thinking skills is vital to navigate the complexities of the information age.

Critique of Capitalism and Communism: Roy distanced himself from both capitalism and orthodox Marxism. He critiqued capitalism's inherent inequalities and exploitation. However, he also saw communism's rigid class struggle and state control as detrimental to individual freedom. This perspective offers a valuable critique in the 21st century, where both unfettered capitalism and authoritarian regimes pose challenges. Roy's vision for an alternative socio-economic model, focused on human development and individual liberty, remains an important thought experiment.

Focus on the Peasantry: Roy, unlike many nationalists, recognized the critical role of the peasantry in India's liberation struggle. He argued for their upliftment, not just for national liberation but also for building a truly democratic society. In the contemporary



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

context, where rural distress and social inequities persist, Roy's emphasis on empowering the marginalized holds relevance.

However, Roy's thought also has limitations. His "Radical Humanism" lacked a clear roadmap to achieve its goals. Additionally, his views on nationalism, while advocating for a more inclusive national identity, might need reinterpretation in today's globalized world.

Conclusion

M.N. Roy's political thought offers valuable insights for the 21st century. His emphasis on reason, individual freedom, and a critique of both unfettered capitalism and rigid state control provide a framework for navigating contemporary challenges. While his ideas require adaptation to the present, Roy's legacy remains a call for a society based on reason, individual empowerment, and social justice.

One of Roy's central concerns was the role of the intelligentsia in national liberation. He argued against blind nationalism, advocating for a critical approach that addressed the needs of the marginalized – peasants, workers, and the underprivileged. This resonates in today's India, where social inequalities persist. Roy's emphasis on empowering these sections through education and political participation offers a framework for tackling issues of poverty and social justice.

Roy's critique of orthodox Marxism is also pertinent. He recognized the limitations of class struggle as the sole driver of revolution in a colonized nation. In today's globalized world, with its focus on economic development, Roy's call for a nuanced approach that considers factors beyond class holds merit. We can see this in the rise of identity politics and movements demanding recognition for marginalized groups.

Radical Humanism, Roy's philosophical contribution, emphasized reason, individuality, and a scientific outlook. This philosophy can be seen as a counterpoint to rising religious fundamentalism and social conservatism in India. Roy's advocacy for a rational approach to social problems and individual emancipation remains crucial in navigating the complexities of a diverse society.

However, Roy's ideas also have limitations. His vision of a centralized planned economy may not be entirely suitable for the current era of technological advancement and market liberalization. Additionally, his dismissal of religion entirely might require some rethinking in the context of contemporary India, where faith continues to play a significant role in people's lives.

In conclusion, M.N. Roy's political thought offers valuable tools for navigating the challenges of 21st-century India. His emphasis on social justice, critical thinking, and individual empowerment remains relevant. Reassessing his ideas through a contemporary lens allows us to identify both their enduring value and their need for adaptation in a



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

constantly evolving world. Further exploration of Roy's work can inspire solutions to issues of social inequality, economic development, and the need for a rational and inclusive society.

Social upliftment, the act of raising individuals and communities from a position of disadvantage, is a cornerstone of a just and equitable society. It transcends mere charity, aiming to equip people with the tools and opportunities they need to thrive. This paper will explore the core aspects of social upliftment, its significance, and the multifaceted approaches employed to achieve it.

At its heart, social upliftment tackles the root causes of marginalization. This includes dismantling systemic inequalities based on factors like race, gender, socioeconomic background, or disability. By ensuring equal access to quality education, healthcare, and economic opportunities, social upliftment empowers individuals to chart their own course. Education, for example, equips people with the skills and knowledge to navigate the complexities of the modern world, while access to healthcare safeguards their wellbeing.

The significance of social upliftment extends far beyond the individual. Empowered communities foster innovation, economic growth, and social stability. When individuals have a stake in their society, they are more likely to contribute positively. Furthermore, social upliftment fosters a sense of belonging and reduces social unrest. A society riddled with inequality breeds resentment and hinders progress.

The path to social upliftment is multifaceted. Government policies that promote equal access to essential services and resources are crucial. Investments in public education, affordable housing, and universal healthcare can significantly improve life chances. Additionally, fostering a culture of inclusion and combating discrimination are essential for creating a level playing field.

Civil society organizations play a vital role in social upliftment. NGOs and community-based organizations often work on the ground, providing targeted support to marginalized groups. They offer skills training, mentorship programs, and advocacy for policies that address specific needs. The private sector can also contribute through socially responsible practices that promote diversity and inclusion within their own organizations, as well as by supporting initiatives that benefit the communities they operate in.

The journey towards social upliftment is an ongoing process. New challenges emerge as societies evolve, demanding continuous adaptation and innovation. Technological advancements offer unprecedented opportunities to bridge divides and empower individuals, but also pose risks of exacerbating inequality if not harnessed inclusively.

In conclusion, social upliftment is not a destination but a continuous climb. By dismantling barriers, providing opportunities, and fostering a culture of inclusion, we can empower individuals and communities to reach their full potential. This pursuit not only



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

benefits the marginalized but strengthens the fabric of society as a whole, creating a more just and equitable world for all.

Individual freedom, a concept as old as humanity itself, continues to resonate throughout history. It is the air we breathe for the soul, the fertile ground from which self-expression and innovation blossom. But freedom, like any powerful force, is multifaceted. Understanding its essence and its boundaries is crucial for a thriving society.

At its core, individual freedom is the ability to make choices, to chart one's own course in life. This encompasses the freedom of thought, the right to believe and express oneself without fear. It allows us to pursue our passions, whether artistic, intellectual, or entrepreneurial. This freedom fosters a vibrant tapestry of ideas and experiences, the lifeblood of a dynamic society. Artists can push creative boundaries, leading to groundbreaking works. Scientists can explore uncharted intellectual territory, unlocking new discoveries.

However, freedom is not absolute. It exists within a framework of responsibility. Our choices must consider the impact on others. The freedom to swing your fist ends where another person's nose begins. Responsible exercise of freedom necessitates respect for the rights of others. This delicate balance is what allows individuals to flourish within a cohesive society.

The pursuit of individual freedom has been a driving force for social progress. Throughout history, people have fought against oppression, demanding the right to self-determination. From the fight for religious freedom to the struggle for women's suffrage, the yearning for individual liberty has fueled movements that have shaped the world we live in today.

Yet, freedom can be fragile. It can be eroded by external forces like authoritarian regimes or internal pressures like societal conformity. We must constantly be vigilant, protecting our freedoms and ensuring they are accessible to all. This requires active participation in civic life, holding our leaders accountable and speaking out against injustice.

In conclusion, individual freedom is a cornerstone of a just and prosperous society. It empowers individuals, fosters innovation, and allows us to live authentic lives. But with freedom comes responsibility. We must strive to find the equilibrium between individual liberty and the well-being of the collective. As we continue to sing the song of freedom, let it be a chorus that celebrates the dignity and potential of every human being.

Religious conservatism is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that intersects faith with social and political spheres. It emphasizes adherence to traditional religious doctrines and values, often interpreted as divinely ordained and immutable. This paper will explore the core tenets of religious conservatism, its impact on society, and the ongoing debates it ignites.



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

One defining characteristic of religious conservatism is a literal interpretation of sacred texts. Believers hold these scriptures as the ultimate source of authority on morality, social order, and even scientific matters. This approach often leads to resistance towards social change seen as conflicting with religious teachings. Issues like abortion, same-sex marriage, and stem cell research become battlegrounds where religious conservatives strive to uphold their interpretation of morality.

Religious conservatism also champions the importance of established institutions, particularly the family and religious communities. The traditional family structure, with a clear division of gender roles, is seen as the bedrock of society. Religious institutions provide moral guidance, foster a sense of belonging, and promote social cohesion. Conservative religious communities often advocate for policies that strengthen these institutions, such as school prayer or tax breaks for religious organizations.

However, religious conservatism's social influence is not without its critics. Opponents argue that a strict adherence to tradition can stifle progress and social justice. They point out that religious doctrines may not adapt well to a rapidly changing world, potentially leading to discrimination against minorities or hindering scientific advancement. Additionally, the focus on a singular interpretation of faith can be seen as disrespectful towards the diversity of religious beliefs within a society.

The role of religious conservatism in the political sphere is another point of contention. While some see it as a positive force promoting strong moral values, others worry about the erosion of the separation of church and state. The influence of religious beliefs on political decisions can be seen as infringing on the rights of those who do not subscribe to those particular beliefs.

In conclusion, religious conservatism remains a powerful force shaping societies around the world. It offers a sense of stability, community, and moral clarity. However, its impact on social progress and individual freedoms is a topic of ongoing debate. As societies evolve, religious conservatism will need to grapple with how to maintain its core values while adapting to a changing world. The future lies in fostering a dialogue that respects both tradition and the need for social change.

REFERENCES

- R.K. Awasthi, Scientific Humanism: Socio-Political Ideas of M.N. Roy: A Critique. Delhi: Research Publications in Social Sciences, 2019.
- Shiri Ram Bakshi, M.N. Roy. New Delhi: Anmol Publications, 2018.
- N.R. Basannavar, 'The Indian in the Comintern'. University of Bristol Dissertation 2017
- G.P. Bhattacharjee, *Evolution of Political Philosophy of M.N. Roy.* Calcutta: Minerva Associates, 2016.
- Phanibhusan Chakravartti, *M.N. Roy.* Calcutta: M.N. Roy Death Anniversary Observance Committee, 2019.



ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper © 2012 IJFANS. All Rights Reserved, UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 13, 2022

- Prakash Chandra, Political Philosophy of M.N. Roy. Meerut: Sarup & Sons, 2015.
- Satyabrata Rai Chowdhuri, Leftism in India, 1917–1947. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave, 2017.
- Ramyansu Sekhar Das, M.N. Roy the Humanist Philosopher. Calcutta: W. Newman, 2016.

