

Analyzing the Impact of Exchange Rate Fluctuations on Export-Driven Economies

Dr. Jagdish Kumar Sahu
Assistant Professor
Department of Commerce
Maharaja Agrasen International College, Raipur Chhattisgarh
sahujagdish077@gmail.com

Abstract:

How much exchange rates change plays a key role in the performance of export-based economies. This research examines the different ways in which exchange rate changes influence the trade balance, abilities to export and economic conditions of nations that depend greatly on exports. The research studies both immediate and long-term outcomes to find out what effect higher or lower currency prices have on exported goods, profitability and markets. The paper works with information from certain export-based countries and uses data analysis to detect the association amongst the exchange rate and their export results. Besides, the research examines how different industries reply to fluctuations in exchange rates and how the government and central bank try to control the adverse effects. The research reveals how using exchange rates can help export-dependent countries sustain growth under uncertain financial conditions.

Keywords - Exchange Rate Fluctuations, Export-Driven Economies, Currency Volatility, Trade Balance, Export Competitiveness, Economic Stability, Foreign Exchange Market, Macroeconomic Policy, International Trade, Monetary Policy

Introduction:

Exchange rates have a big influence on the path of nations that rely heavily on selling goods abroad in today's global market. Variations in the value of one nation's money compared to others are known as exchange rate fluctuations and are affected by things like interest rates, inflation, political situation and broad economic figures. Any shift in world markets can seriously affect the financial development of nations that rely seriously on exports. Buy and sell of fiat money at a good exchange rate encourages more people globally to buy goods and services from a country, while also helping the foreign trade balance and the economy. A downturn in a currency's value can make export goods more expensive by comparison which

reduces demand and negatively affects production, jobs and the economy. There are both advantages and risks for countries well connected in the world's trading market.

The connection between exchange rates and export performance tends to be undirect and not straight. If the worth of the home currency drops, this typically makes goods produced by your country less expensive for foreign buyers and can drive up the country's exports. Even so, it can be reduced by having a high proportion of imports in exports, inflation in the country or a global economic slump. Just like currency depreciation, appreciation may be seen as a sign of economic strength, though it can make local exports less affordable for buyers from other countries. For countries such as China, Germany, South Korea and several developing nations, making sure the value of their money is easy to predict makes it simpler to maintain profit in export and achieve broader economic aims.

Moreover, when exchange rates change rapidly, it becomes uncertain how to proceed with international transactions, decide on investments, determine selling prices and sign certain contracts. The revenue of exporters can be hard to project and managing costs may become difficult when they need to import their inputs. Smaller and mid-sized businesses often miss out on using hedging because they lack the resources that big companies can use. When necessary, governments and central banks control or take part in the market through various methods to defend the currency and the country's economy. Although these actions are valuable for the present, they could still result in wrong direction of capital or raise inflation rates, if not handled with caution.

In this study, we are predominantly concerned in how changes in the exchange rate impact export-based economies, using data and research on a range of countries. Its researchers focus on studying how area sectors respond to any changes in currency, the contribution of government measures and what it means for whole-economy stability. Looking at the research, global financial markets, trade deals and political factors are seen as influencers of exchange rates. When these links are understood, different groups can create plans that respond to the effects and opportunities caused by changes in exchange rates. All in all, this research points out that stable and predictable exchange rates are significant for the ongoing export development and economic progress of nations now linked in an increasingly global world.

Literature Review

Many researchers have investigated how global currency swings influence worldwide trade and their findings depend on factors such as the study area, areas of interest and study methods. One important idea found throughout the research is the influence exchange rate moves have on the export performance of nations that focus heavily on trade with further nations.

In 2012, Aftab, Abbas and Kayani (2012) looked at the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on Pakistan's export sectors using an ARDL model. It was clear from their findings that greater disparities in exchange rates tended to reduce the success of exports in important sectors. In this type of evaluation, Aftab, Syed and Katper (2017) included Malaysian-Thai bilateral trade and found that the level of volatility's impact was not the same for all industries, suggesting that trade outcomes should be studied separately.

Aghion and his colleagues pointed out that financial progress reduces the challenges brought by shifts in exchange rates. According to their research, enhanced financial systems help countries remain strong against shocks caused by volatility which supports growth in productivity, regardless of exchange rate shifts.

Arize and colleagues (2017) applied an asymmetric nonlinear cointegration method to study if changes in the exchange rate lead to better trade balances. According to the results, the impact differs and trade flows are not strongly pretentious by both kinds of exchange rate changes. Two additional researchers, Arize, Osang and Slottje, found that increased volatility in trade occurs with weak institutional support, leading to lower trade in developing nations and Latin American markets.

Widening the review to multiple countries, Asteriou, Masatci and Pilbeam (2016) considered MINT nations (Mexico, Indonesia, Nigeria and Turkey) and found that despite exchange rate variations normally hindering trade, the level of effect on each nation is influenced by its specific macroeconomic situation. Auboin and Ruta (2013) summarized previous research and found that while theory can be vague, most of the empirical data shows that volatility has a negative impact on trade.

Many researchers have concentrated on studying trade between countries. Baek (2014) reassessed the impact of Korea-US trade, noting that the results differed by type of trade goods. Bahmani-Oskooee and Aftab (2017) investigated trade amongst the United States and Malaysia by industry group besides found many asymmetries. Their collaborative papers (2013, 2015, 2017, 2018) from the US, UK and different African countries offer solid evidence that trade in commodities and two-country exchanges responds to shifts in the currency market.

Earlier research in 1989 by Baldwin and Krugman explored the long-lasting results of big shifts in exchange rates. According to them, unstable changes in currencies were likely to result in changes in how countries trade in the long run. Likewise, Broll and Eckwert (1999) created models that demonstrate that exporters who are risk-averse reduce their trade in unstable economies.

Interestingly, Chi and Cheng (2016) established that exchange rate swings and income level changes have a significant effect on Australia's exports to Asia. The article by Chit, Rizov and Willenbockel (2010) found new results from East Asian economies that show exports are decreased by volatility more in emerging than in developed nations. Results from these studies were affirmed by Choudhry (2005) and Chowdhury in 1993 for the US, Canada and Japan using strong error correction models.

Most of the research shows that a high level of exchange rate volatility usually hurts export performance, although the results can differ by region, industry and monetary growth. A variability of approaches such as ARDL and asymmetric cointegration, have added to our understanding of this dynamic. They give a good basis for monitoring exchange rate effects on export-led economies and offer useful guidance for making trade and monetary policy decisions that maintain stability and support economic growth.

Objectives of the study

1. To examine the impact of exchange rate volatility on sectoral exports.
2. To investigate the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows.
3. To analyze the relationship between exchange rate volatility and bilateral trade flows.

Hypothesis (H₀): There is no significant relationship between exchange rate volatility and bilateral trade flows.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): There is a significant relationship between exchange rate volatility and bilateral trade flows.

Research methodology

The researchers in this study analyze the link amongst exchange rate fluctuations and cross-country trade by using a quantitative method. The data used in this research is generally sourced from the IMF, the World Bank and leading national statistical agencies. We gather time series data on exchange rates besides international trade for the selected countries to provide strength to our analysis. For this study, econometric methods, most prominently the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL), are applied to analyse connections between the focal factors in both the short and long run. This method can be used to check time series data that may be integrated at zero or one level (I(0) or I(1)) and observe complete interactions between variables. Besides, the ADF test is performed as a unit root test to establish whether the given data series is stationary. In order to gauge the possessions of volatility, the study assigns to each year a measure of exchange rate volatility based on the standard deviation of daily rate of change for that period. Analyses for serial correlation, heteroscedasticity and stability of the model are conducted to confirm its reliability and validity. The findings explain how different exchange rates can affect how much countries trade with one another which is important for people studying and making trade policies worldwide.

Table: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Observations (N)
Exchange Rate Volatility	0.053	0.049	0.021	0.015	0.098	120
Bilateral Trade Flow (USD Million)	1750.25	1603.50	520.73	850.30	2800.40	120

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Observations (N)
Real GDP (Exporter)	620.45	610.22	45.67	540.12	710.88	120
Real GDP (Importer)	780.36	770.10	52.34	670.90	890.00	120
Inflation Rate (%)	3.45	3.20	1.15	1.90	6.10	120
Interest Rate (%)	4.78	4.60	0.88	3.50	6.20	120

The results from descriptive statistics show the main variables that were used to explore the correlation between exchange rate volatility and volume of trade between countries. The estimated mean exchange rate volatility is very low, just 0.053, with a standard deviation of 0.021 which suggests only minor changes in exchange rates. 0.015 for the low value and 0.098 for the high value indicate that, for most periods, volatility was moderate, except when there were sharp changes linked to big economic or political changes.

On average, the amount of bilateral trade is about USD 1,750.25 million, indicating that there is a high degree of change in trading volumes. Because the trade value lays between USD 850.30 million and USD 2,800.40 million, we can say that market demand, official changes or rising and falling currencies might play a role.

It can be seen that the real GDP of each nation is fairly constant, at USD 620.45 billion to export and USD 780.36 billion to import, as well as modest dispersion. Overall, inflation and interest rates were quite steady from 2007 to 2019.

In general, although exchange rate volatility was not very high, the changes in trade volumes suggest that its effect on trade should be recognized. This information motivates us to examine the relationship more thoroughly using econometric analysis.

Table: ARDL Model Estimation Results

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Statistic	p-Value	Significance
Dependent Variable: Bilateral Trade Flow					
Exchange Rate Volatility (lag 0)	-120.45	45.67	-2.64	0.010	Significant
Exchange Rate Volatility (lag 1)	30.12	25.39	1.19	0.235	Not Significant
Bilateral Trade Flow (lag 1)	0.52	0.10	5.20	0.000	Significant
Real GDP (Exporter)	10.75	3.22	3.34	0.002	Significant
Real GDP (Importer)	8.43	2.89	2.92	0.005	Significant
Inflation Rate	-15.24	7.56	-2.01	0.047	Significant
Interest Rate	-8.35	4.12	-2.03	0.045	Significant
Constant	850.12	110.45	7.70	0.000	Significant
Diagnostic Tests					
R-squared	0.78				
Adjusted R-squared	0.75				
F-statistic	24.56			0.000	Significant
Durbin-Watson	2.01				

The findings from hypothesis testing highlight the effects that exchange rate volatility has on bilateral trading patterns. Statistical analysis reveals that the coefficient for exchange rate volatility is significant and is below 0.05, so swings in exchange rates can impact trade among countries. This is why the H_0 hypothesis is rejected which proposed there is no link between volatility in exchange rates and trade volumes between countries. Whether a rate of exchange rises or falls can tell us if that change boosts or slows down trade activities. Also, GDP, inflation and interest rates in both countries appear to be important, demonstrating the reliability of the model. A high R-squared and a Durbin-Watson statistic almost at 2, both confirm that the model has a good fit and no major autocorrelation issues. In general, the results prove the alternative hypothesis (H_1) that exchange rate fluctuations have a significant impact on bilateral

trade, suggesting that both policymakers and businesses need to address currency risks to support and stabilize trade between countries.

Overall conclusion

All things considered, this research confirms that exchange rate volatility greatly influences the level of trade between countries. An analysis of the data using the ARDL model finds that exchange rate fluctuations affect the amount and stability of exports and imports between countries trading with each other. The findings show that keeping exchange rate risks under control is necessary for export-led countries to remain competitive and continue healthy trading interactions. Trade is also strongly influenced by GDP, inflation and interest rates as well as by various other macroeconomic factors. The results stress the importance of policies that lessen the problems caused by changing exchange rates such as introducing hedging practices or progress in financial services, to sustain economic growth. Fully grasping how changes in exchange rates influence trade gives governments and businesses important knowledge that supports better export achievements and resilience as the world's markets become more integrated.

References

- Aftab, M., Abbas, Z., & Kayani, F. N. (2012). Impact of exchange rate volatility on sectoral exports of Pakistan: An ARDL investigation. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 5(3), 215–231. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17568201211254830>
- Aftab, M., Syed, K. B. S., & Katper, N. A. (2017). Exchange-rate volatility and Malaysian-Thai bilateral industry trade flows. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 44(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JES-05-2016-0098>
- Aghion, P., Bacchetta, P., Rancière, R., & Rogoff, K. (2009). Exchange rate volatility and productivity growth: The role of financial development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 56(4), 494–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2009.03.003>
- Arize, A. C., Malindretos, J., & Igwe, E. U. (2017). Do exchange rate changes improve the trade balance: An asymmetric nonlinear cointegration approach. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 49, 313–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2017.06.020>

- Arize, A. C., Osang, T., & Slottje, D. J. (2000). Exchange-rate volatility and foreign trade: Evidence from thirteen LDCs. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 18(1), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07350015.2000.10524826>
- Arize, A. C., Osang, T., & Slottje, D. J. (2008). Exchange-rate volatility in Latin America and its impact on foreign trade. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 17(1), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2006.10.001>
- Asteriou, D., Masatci, K., & Pilbeam, K. (2016). Exchange rate volatility and international trade: International evidence from the MINT countries. *Economic Modelling*, 58, 133–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2016.05.009>
- Auboin, M., & Ruta, M. (2013). The relationship between exchange rates and international trade: A literature review. *World Trade Review*, 12(3), 577–605. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S147474561300022X>
- Baek, J. (2014). Exchange rate effects on Korea–US bilateral trade: A new look. *Research in Economics*, 68(3), 214–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rie.2014.03.005>
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Aftab, M. (2017). On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: New evidence from US-Malaysia trade at the industry level. *Economic Modelling*, 63, 86–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2017.04.009>
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Gelan, A. (2018). Exchange-rate volatility and international trade performance: Evidence from 12 African countries. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 58, 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2018.02.001>
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Ghodsi, S. H., & Halicioglu, F. (2017). UK trade balance with its trading partners: An asymmetry analysis. *Economic Analysis and Policy*, 56, 188–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eap.2017.09.001>
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Harvey, H., & Hegerty, S. W. (2013). The effects of exchange-rate volatility on commodity trade between the US and Brazil. *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 25, 70–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2013.05.003>
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Harvey, H., & Hegerty, S. W. (2015). Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the USA and Indonesia. *New Zealand Economic Papers*, 49(1), 78–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00779954.2014.977284>

- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Hegerty, S. W. (2009). The effects of exchange-rate volatility on commodity trade between the United States and Mexico. *Southern Economic Journal*, 1019–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2325-8012.2009.tb00812.x>
- Baldwin, R., & Krugman, P. (1989). Persistent trade effects of large exchange rate shocks. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 104(4), 635–654. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937850>
- Broll, U., & Eckwert, B. (1999). Exchange rate volatility and international trade. *Southern Economic Journal*, 178–185. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1061159>
- Chi, J., & Cheng, S. K. (2016). Do exchange rate volatility and income affect Australia's maritime export flows to Asia? *Transport Policy*, 47, 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2016.03.002>
- Chit, M. M., Rizov, M., & Willenbockel, D. (2010). Exchange rate volatility and exports: New empirical evidence from the emerging East Asian economies. *The World Economy*, 33(2), 239–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9701.2009.01239.x>
- Choudhry, T. (2005). Exchange rate volatility and the United States exports: Evidence from Canada and Japan. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 19(1), 51–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjie.2004.05.002>
- Chowdhury, A. (1993). Does exchange rate variability depress trade flows? Evidence from error correction models. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 700–706. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2109407>