ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

Research paper UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

The Role of Innovation in Startup Success: Disrupting Traditional Markets

Dr. Pandit Palande

Professor & Director, Institute of Management,

Shikrapur, Pune, Maharashtra

Abstract

Startups, especially those seeking to disrupt established market segments, rely heavily on innovation to succeed. This study examines how creative strategies affect the development, sustainability, and competitive edge of startups. This study investigates data collected from 210 participants, including startup founders, employees, and investors, to explore the impact of different types of innovation—technological, process, business model, and market innovation—on the success of startups. This study employs quantitative analysis to evaluate essential performance metrics, such as market penetration, revenue growth, customer acquisition, and brand positioning. The results indicate that startups utilizing disruptive innovation surpass their rivals in conventional industries. The study identifies challenges encountered by startups in the implementation of innovation, such as resource limitations and regulatory obstacles. The findings offer significant insights for entrepreneurs, policymakers, and investors aiming to cultivate an innovation-driven startup ecosystem.

Keywords

Startup Success, Disruptive Innovation, Market Penetration, Business Model Innovation.

1. Introduction

Innovation serves as a fundamental element of contemporary entrepreneurship, differentiating successful startups from those that face challenges in achieving market traction. In a period marked by swift technological progress and changing consumer expectations, startups must consistently innovate to maintain competitiveness and challenge established markets. Emerging companies have the potential to disrupt established industry leaders and forge new market opportunities by introducing innovative products, business models, or strategies. Their

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

ability to generate creative solutions enables them to achieve this. This study focuses on the impact of disruptive strategies in enabling new businesses to thrive while established ones struggle, specifically exploring the role of innovation in the success of startups.

According to Clayton Christensen, disruptive innovation is the introduction of groundbreaking products or services that alter the market's landscape. Disruptive innovation distinguishes itself from sustaining innovation by transcending the mundane enhancement of existing solutions; it profoundly questions established business models and generates novel value propositions. Companies such as Uber, Airbnb, and Tesla have shown the ability to revolutionize sectors by employing groundbreaking approaches. By leveraging technology, prioritizing customer-centric models, and implementing agile business strategies, these companies have reshaped consumer behavior and forced established players to either evolve or risk becoming obsolete.

The startup landscape features innovations in technology, process enhancements, new business models, and market strategies. Advanced products, such as AI solutions, blockchain technologies, and automation systems, emerge from technological innovation. The aim of process innovation is to improve productivity, lower costs, and boost operational efficiency.

The startup landscape is characterized by way of technological advancements, procedure enhancements, revolutionary business fashions, and precise market techniques. Artificial intelligence answers, blockchain technology, and automation systems represent advanced technological innovations. Process innovation aims to beautify production, lower charges, and improve operational efficiency. Transforming business models allows startups to reevaluate their value delivery methods, often through the use of digital platforms, subscription services, or decentralized networks. Market innovation involves recognizing consumer segments that are not adequately served and creating products tailored to meet their specific requirements. The use of those forward-wondering techniques, which vicinity an emphasis on sustainable boom, specialty, and scalability, notably will increase the possibility that a startup can be a success.

Emerging agencies generally meet large impediments in the marketplace regardless of the monstrous promise that innovation gives. There are some of hurdles that is probably encountered at the same time as trying to effectively execute new ideas. Some of the extra not

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

unusual ones include insufficient investment, regulatory issues, difficulties in attracting skilled team of workers, and reluctance to exchange at the a part of consumers.

This study aims to evaluate the influence of innovation on startup success through an analysis of key performance indicators, including revenue growth, customer acquisition, and competitive positioning. This study employs a data-driven approach to evaluate the impact of various types of innovation on a startup's capacity to disrupt established markets. This study examines practical examples and empirical data to elucidate the role of innovation in fostering startup growth, enabling businesses to address challenges and capitalize on new opportunities within a dynamic market environment.

2. Review of Literature

There is a general consensus that innovation is crucial for the success of startups, particularly in disrupting established markets. Christensen's 1997 concept of disruptive innovation illustrates how simpler and more cost-effective technologies or business models can supplant established firms by targeting underserved markets. This technique improves knowledge of how startups disrupt and transform traditional industries.

Building on Christensen's core research, further studies have looked at the mechanisms by which startups produce novel ideas that drive disruptive innovation. Si and Chen's (2020) research looks on how startups generate novel knowledge that challenges established rivals and changes industry landscapes. Their findings emphasize the necessity of cultivating an environment that promotes knowledge creation, serving as a strategic advantage for startups looking to challenge conventional markets.

The foundational concepts of disruptive innovation have undergone thorough examination and critique. Weeks (2015) explores the development of disruptive innovation theory, tackling criticisms and suggesting improvements to more accurately reflect the intricacies of market disruptions. This research illustrates the importance of understanding the emergence of disruptive innovations and their effects on existing market structures.

The influence of digital technologies in promoting disruptive innovation has garnered significant attention. An examination of startups in the digital era reveals that disruptive innovation can be driven and improved through the integration of digital technologies, the

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

application of dynamic capabilities, and the formulation of business models. This research emphasizes the importance of digitalization for startups to effectively compete with established industries.

An investigation has been achieved to observe the efficacy of setup agencies and the have an effect on of disruptive improvements on marketplace dynamics. Si and Chen (2020) offer an in-intensity examination of the outcomes that disruptive technologies exert on markets and enterprise contributors. They focus significantly on generating sales and ensuring customer satisfaction across diverse commercial sectors. This study presents a thorough assessment of the intricate effects that disruptive innovations exert on current market dynamics.

3. Research Objective:

The primary objectives for the paper are:

- To examine the role of innovation in driving the success of startups, particularly in disrupting traditional markets.
- To analyze how different types of innovation—technological, business model, process, and market innovation—contribute to a startup's competitive advantage.
- To investigate the challenges and barriers that startups face while implementing innovative strategies.
- To assess the impact of innovation on key business performance indicators such as revenue growth, customer acquisition, and market expansion.

4. Research Methodology

This study utilized a cross-sectional survey research design to assess the role of innovation in startup success and its effects on disrupting traditional markets. This approach is suitable as it facilitates the gathering of varied perspectives from entrepreneurs, investors, and industry professionals. A sample of 210 respondents was chosen, comprising startup founders, employees, and venture capitalists across diverse industries, to facilitate a thorough examination of innovation's impact in various market segments.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

A stratified random sampling method was employed to classify respondents according to their roles within the startup ecosystem, industry classification, and stage of business maturity. This approach collected insights from startups spanning various sectors, such as technology, healthcare, finance, and e-commerce, encompassing both well-established companies and those in early development phases. The research provided a clearer understanding of how innovation impacts the success of startups in various industries by employing a random selection method from each stratum, thereby reducing selection bias.

Data were collected primarily through structured online surveys, facilitating efficient and widespread participation from respondents in various locations. The survey included 23 closed-ended questions aimed at assessing the degree of innovation adoption, its impact on business performance, and the obstacles faced.

This study formulated the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1

H_0: "There is no significant relationship between innovation adoption and startup success in disrupting traditional markets."

H_1: "A significant relationship exists between innovation adoption and the success of startups in disrupting traditional markets."

Hypothesis 2

H_0: "There is no significant difference in the impact of innovation on startup success across various industries."

H_2: "A significant difference exists in the impact of innovation across various industries regarding startup success."

5. Empirical Results

Table 1: Age Distribution of Respondents

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

18-25	37	17.62%	17.62%	17.62%
26-35	58	27.62%	27.62%	45.24%
36-45	46	21.90%	21.90%	67.14%
46-55	41	19.52%	19.52%	86.66%
Above 55	28	13.33%	13.33%	100.00%
Total	210	100%	100%	

The majority of respondents (27.62%) belonged to the 26-35 age group, indicating that startups are largely driven by young entrepreneurs and professionals. The lowest representation was from those above 55 (13.33%), suggesting fewer older individuals in the startup ecosystem.

Table 2: Gender Distribution of Respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Male	118	56.19%	56.19%	56.19%
Female	91	43.33%	43.33%	99.52%
Other	1	0.48%	0.48%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Male respondents formed the majority (56.19%), reflecting a gender gap in the startup ecosystem. However, female participation (43.33%) is significant, highlighting increasing female involvement in entrepreneurship. The 0.48% identifying as "Other" suggests inclusivity in the sector.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Table 3: Role in the Startup Ecosystem

Role	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Founder/Co-founder	72	34.29%	34.29%	34.29%
Investor/Venture Capitalist	31	14.76%	14.76%	49.05%
Startup Employee	57	27.14%	27.14%	76.19%
Business Consultant/Mentor	28	13.33%	13.33%	89.52%
Other	22	10.48%	10.48%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Most respondents were founders or co-founders (34.29%), highlighting their direct involvement in innovation. Startup employees (27.14%) formed a significant group, while investors and consultants had smaller shares, suggesting their secondary but crucial roles in the ecosystem.

Table 4: Industry of Startup or Business Involvement

Industry	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Technology	58	27.62%	27.62%	27.62%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Healthcare	37	17.62%	17.62%	45.24%
Finance	41	19.52%	19.52%	64.76%
Retail/E-commerce	34	16.19%	16.19%	80.95%
Manufacturing	22	10.48%	10.48%	91.43%
Education	18	8.57%	8.57%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Technology was the dominant industry (27.62%), showing its central role in startup innovation. Healthcare (17.62%) and finance (19.52%) were also significant sectors, reflecting the rising impact of startups in these fields. Education had the lowest representation (8.57%), suggesting fewer innovations in that space.

Table 5: Startup Operating Duration

Duration	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Less than 1 year	37	17.62%	17.62%	17.62%
1-3 years	62	29.52%	29.52%	47.14%
4-6 years	48	22.86%	22.86%	70.00%
7-10 years	36	17.14%	17.14%	87.14%
More than 10 years	27	12.86%	12.86%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Startups within 1-3 years of operation (29.52%) had the highest representation, indicating the prevalence of early-stage businesses. More established startups (7+ years) comprised only 30%, showing the challenges of long-term survival in the ecosystem.

Table 6: Importance of Innovation for Startup Success

Importance Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not important at all	7	3.33%	3.33%	3.33%
Somewhat important	19	9.05%	9.05%	12.38%
Neutral	26	12.38%	12.38%	24.76%
Important	64	30.48%	30.48%	55.24%
Extremely important	94	44.76%	44.76%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

A vast majority (75.24%) believed that innovation is either important or extremely important for startup success, confirming its critical role in business growth. Only a small percentage (3.33%) considered innovation unimportant, emphasizing its near-universal acceptance.

Table 7: Type of Innovation with the Most Impact on Startup Success

Type of Innovation	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Technological Innovation	82	39.05%	39.05%	39.05%
Process Innovation	46	21.90%	21.90%	60.95%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Business Model Innovation	52	24.76%	24.76%	85.71%
Market Innovation	30	14.29%	14.29%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Technological innovation (39.05%) was the most impactful, showing that startups prioritize advanced technology to drive success. Business model innovation (24.76%) and process innovation (21.90%) also played significant roles. Market innovation (14.29%) had the lowest impact, suggesting that startups focus more on operational and technological advancements than merely entering new markets.

Table 8: Extent of Disruptive Innovation Implementation

Extent of Implementation	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not at all	17	8.10%	8.10%	8.10%
Low extent	39	18.57%	18.57%	26.67%
Moderate extent	58	27.62%	27.62%	54.29%
High extent	51	24.29%	24.29%	78.57%
Very high extent	45	21.43%	21.43%	100.00%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

A significant number of startups (27.62%) have implemented disruptive innovation at a moderate extent, with 24.29% adopting it at a high level. Only 8.10% of respondents reported not implementing disruptive innovation at all, suggesting that most startups recognize its importance.

Table 9: Impact of Innovation on Revenue Growth

Impact Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
No impact	14	6.67%	6.67%	6.67%
Minimal impact	32	15.24%	15.24%	21.90%
Moderate impact	55	26.19%	26.19%	48.10%
High impact	61	29.05%	29.05%	77.14%
Critical impact	48	22.86%	22.86%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Nearly 29.05% of startups reported a high impact of innovation on revenue growth, and 22.86% considered it critical. Only 6.67% indicated that innovation had no impact on their revenue, reaffirming its significance in driving business success.

Table 10: Frequency of Introducing New Products/Services

Frequency Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Rarely	19	9.05%	9.05%	9.05%
Once every 2-3 years	34	16.19%	16.19%	25.24%
Annually	52	24.76%	24.76%	50.00%
Twice a year	57	27.14%	27.14%	77.14%
Quarterly or more frequently	48	22.86%	22.86%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Startups tend to introduce new products/services frequently, with 27.14% doing so twice a year and 22.86% every quarter or more. This trend indicates a strong emphasis on innovation cycles to maintain competitiveness.

Table 11: Percentage of Budget Allocated to Innovation and R&D

Budget Allocation	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Less than 5%	28	13.33%	13.33%	13.33%
5-10%	44	20.95%	20.95%	34.29%
11-20%	53	25.24%	25.24%	59.52%
21-30%	47	22.38%	22.38%	81.90%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

More than 30%	38	18.10%	18.10%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Most startups allocate between 11-20% of their budget to innovation (25.24%), with 22.38% investing 21-30%. Only 13.33% allocate less than 5%, showing a strong financial commitment toward research and development.

Table 12: Biggest Barriers to Implementing Innovation

Barrier	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Lack of funding	64	30.48%	30.48%	30.48%
Resistance to change	37	17.62%	17.62%	48.10%
Regulatory challenges	41	19.52%	19.52%	67.62%
Talent shortage	39	18.57%	18.57%	86.19%
Lack of customer adoption	29	13.81%	13.81%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Funding constraints (30.48%) emerged as the biggest barrier, followed by regulatory challenges (19.52%) and talent shortages (18.57%). This indicates that while startups focus on innovation, external limitations impact their execution.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Table 13: Approach to Funding for Innovation

Funding Source	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Bootstrapping	51	24.29%	24.29%	24.29%
Angel investors	42	20.00%	20.00%	44.29%
Venture capital	49	23.33%	23.33%	67.62%
Government grants	36	17.14%	17.14%	84.76%
Crowdfunding	32	15.24%	15.24%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Bootstrapping (24.29%) and venture capital (23.33%) were the most common funding sources. While angel investors played a significant role, government grants and crowdfunding were less utilized.

Table 14: Extent to Which Innovation Helps in Attracting Investors

Impact Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
No impact	12	5.71%	5.71%	5.71%
Minimal impact	28	13.33%	13.33%	19.05%
Moderate impact	49	23.33%	23.33%	42.38%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

High impact	61	29.05%	29.05%	71.43%
for Essential investment	60	28.57%	28.57%	100.00%
Total	210	100.00%	100.00%	

Most respondents (29.05%) considered innovation to have a high impact in attracting investors, with 28.57% stating it was essential. Only 5.71% reported no impact, confirming the importance of innovation for securing investment.

Table 15: Challenges Faced Due to Disruptive Innovation

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes, frequently	62	29.52%	29.52%	29.52%
Yes, occasionally	88	41.90%	41.90%	71.43%
No, not yet	60	28.57%	28.57%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

A majority of startups (41.90%) occasionally face challenges due to disruptive innovation, while 29.52% frequently encounter such obstacles. Only 28.57% have not yet faced challenges, indicating that most startups deal with difficulties when implementing disruptive innovation.

Table 16: Measuring the Success of Innovation

Success Measure	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Revenue growth	73	34.76%	34.76%	34.76%
Customer adoption rate	57	27.14%	27.14%	61.90%
Market share expansion	42	20.00%	20.00%	81.90%
Operational efficiency	38	18.10%	18.10%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Revenue growth (34.76%) is the primary metric for evaluating innovation success, followed by customer adoption rate (27.14%). Market share expansion and operational efficiency play significant roles but are secondary to direct financial and customer-driven results.

Table 17: External Factors Influencing Innovation Strategy

External Factor	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Competition	65	30.95%	30.95%	30.95%
Government policies	39	18.57%	18.57%	49.52%
Market demand	58	27.62%	27.62%	77.14%
Technological advancements	48	22.86%	22.86%	100.00%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Competition (30.95%) and market demand (27.62%) are the most influential external factors shaping startup innovation strategies, with technological advancements (22.86%) playing a vital role. Government policies (18.57%) are less influential, though still significant.

Table 18: Impact of Innovation on Competitive Advantage

Impact Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not at all	11	5.24%	5.24%	5.24%
Slightly	22	10.48%	10.48%	15.71%
Moderately	45	21.43%	21.43%	37.14%
Significantly	67	31.90%	31.90%	69.05%
Transforms our position in the market	65	30.95%	30.95%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Innovation significantly enhances competitive advantage for most startups, with 31.90% reporting high impact and 30.95% indicating it transforms their market position. A small percentage (5.24%) reported no impact..

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Table 19: Leadership Involvement in Fostering an Innovation Culture

Leadership Involvement	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not involved	9	4.29%	4.29%	4.29%
Slightly involved	23	10.95%	10.95%	15.24%
Moderately involved	48	22.86%	22.86%	38.10%
Highly involved	69	32.86%	32.86%	70.95%
Completely dedicated	61	29.05%	29.05%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Leadership plays a strong role in fostering innovation, with 32.86% of respondents stating their leadership is highly involved and 29.05% indicating complete dedication. Only 4.29% reported that leadership is not involved at all.

Table 20: Role of Customer Feedback in Innovation Process

Role of Feedback	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Not considered	8	3.81%	3.81%	3.81%
Rarely considered	17	8.10%	8.10%	11.90%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Occasionally considered	42	20.00%	20.00%	31.90%
Frequently considered	68	32.38%	32.38%	64.29%
Always considered	75	35.71%	35.71%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Customer feedback is crucial in the innovation process, with 35.71% of startups always considering it and 32.38% frequently considering it. A small portion (3.81%) do not consider customer feedback at all.

Table 21: Frequency of Collaboration with External Partners for Innovation

Frequency of Collaboration	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Never	12	5.71%	5.71%	5.71%
Rarely	26	12.38%	12.38%	18.10%
Occasionally	57	27.14%	27.14%	45.24%
Frequently	65	30.95%	30.95%	76.19%
Always	50	23.81%	23.81%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Most startups (30.95%) frequently collaborate with external partners for innovation, and 23.81% always engage in such collaborations. However, 5.71% reported never collaborating, indicating varying levels of openness to external innovation.

Table 22: Handling Failures Related to Innovation

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Avoid innovation risks	41	19.52%	19.52%	19.52%
Learn from failures but move cautiously	78	37.14%	37.14%	56.67%
Encourage risk-taking and learning from failures	91	43.33%	43.33%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Most startups (43.33%) actively encourage risk-taking and learning from failures, while 37.14% prefer a cautious approach. A smaller percentage (19.52%) avoid innovation risks entirely, highlighting varied risk tolerance in the startup ecosystem.

Table 23: Monitoring and Analyzing Market Trends for Innovation Opportunities

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

No, we focus on internal ideas	28	13.33%	13.33%	13.33%
Occasionally	63	30.00%	30.00%	43.33%
Yes, we actively track market trends	119	56.67%	56.67%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

A majority of startups (56.67%) actively track market trends for innovation, while 30.00% do so occasionally. Only 13.33% rely solely on internal ideas, showing a general preference for external market analysis.

Table 24: Impact of Digital Transformation on Startup Innovation

Impact Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
No impact	14	6.67%	6.67%	6.67%
Low impact	29	13.81%	13.81%	20.48%
Moderate impact	56	26.67%	26.67%	47.14%
High impact	58	27.62%	27.62%	74.76%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Transformed our business model	53	25.24%	25.24%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Digital transformation significantly influences startup innovation, with 27.62% reporting a high impact and 25.24% stating it has transformed their business model. Only 6.67% of respondents saw no impact, confirming the widespread adoption of digital strategies.

Table 25: Startup's Innovation Approach

Innovation Type	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Incremental innovation (small improvements)	67	31.90%	31.90%	31.90%
Radical innovation (gamechanging)	45	21.43%	21.43%	53.33%
Both incremental and radical innovation	98	46.67%	46.67%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Most startups (46.67%) employ both incremental and radical innovation, while 31.90% focus on continuous small improvements. Only 21.43% rely solely on disruptive innovations, showing that most businesses balance risk and stability in their strategies.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Table 26: Government Support for Startup Innovation

Government Support Level	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Very poor	32	15.24%	15.24%	15.24%
Poor	51	24.29%	24.29%	39.52%
Average	62	29.52%	29.52%	69.05%
Good	44	20.95%	20.95%	90.00%
Excellent	21	10.00%	10.00%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

Government support for startup innovation is mostly rated as average (29.52%), with 24.29% considering it poor. Only 10.00% rate it as excellent, suggesting a need for improved policies and funding initiatives.

Table 27: Innovation's Role in Global Scaling

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	132	62.86%	62.86%	62.86%
No	78	37.14%	37.14%	100.00%

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

A majority of startups (62.86%) reported that innovation helped them scale globally, while 37.14% have yet to experience this impact, indicating that innovative strategies play a crucial role in international expansion.

Table 28: Biggest Benefit of Innovation for Startups

Benefit Type	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Increased revenue	79	37.62%	37.62%	37.62%
Market expansion	61	29.05%	29.05%	66.67%
Operational efficiency	37	17.62%	17.62%	84.29%
Customer satisfaction	33	15.71%	15.71%	100.00%
Total	210	100.0%	100.0%	

The most significant benefit of innovation for startups is increased revenue (37.62%), followed by market expansion (29.05%). Operational efficiency and customer satisfaction were reported as benefits but were not the primary driving factors.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

Table 29: Chi-Square Test for Association Between Innovation Adoption and Startup Success

Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	21.846	3

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

Likelihood Ratio	23.271	3
N of Valid Cases	210	

The relationship between innovation adoption and startup success in disrupting traditional markets was evaluated using the Chi-Square Test for Independence. The Pearson Chi-Square value is 21.846 with three degrees of freedom, and the Asymptotic Significance (Asymp. Sig.) is 0.000, which is below the conventional significance threshold of 0.05.

The null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted, as the pvalue is below 0.05. This demonstrates a statistically significant correlation between the adoption of innovation and the success of startups in disrupting conventional markets.

Hypothesis 2

Table 30: Chi-Square Test for Differences in the Impact of Innovation Across Industries

Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	17.632	4
Likelihood Ratio	18.945	4
N of Valid Cases	210	

A Chi-Square Test for Independence was performed to analyze the variation in the impact of innovation across various industries. The Pearson Chi-Square value is 17.632 with four degrees of freedom, and the Asymptotic Significance (Asymp. Sig.) is 0.002, which is below the conventional significance threshold of 0.05.

Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, resulting in the acceptance of the alternative hypothesis (H_2). This demonstrates a statistically significant variation in the impact of innovation on startup success among different industries.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

6. Conclusion

This study's findings underscore the essential importance of innovation in shaping the success of startups, especially in their ability to disrupt conventional markets. The statistical analysis reveals a noteworthy connection between the adoption of innovation and the growth of startups, indicating that companies utilizing technological, process, business model, and market innovations surpass their competitors. The studies suggests that the outcomes of innovation vary amongst various industries, with technology and finance startups accomplishing better success as compared to the ones in conventional sectors. The findings highlight the need for startups to adopt non-stop innovation as a core strategy for attaining long-time period sustainability and competitive benefit within the market.

Despite widespread recognition of the benefits of innovation, startups face various challenges, including limited financial resources, legal impediments, and an aversion to change. The commitment of leadership and the execution of market-driven innovation strategies are crucial in tackling these difficulties. The research highlights the critical role of digital transformation in boosting innovation initiatives, as numerous startups indicate that technology-led innovation has fundamentally altered their business frameworks. These insights offer essential direction for entrepreneurs and policymakers aiming to cultivate a more conducive environment for innovation.

This study offers valuable insights into how innovation contributes to the success of startups; however, it is important to recognize certain limitations. The study relies on self-reported data, which could potentially lead to response bias. Furthermore, the research mainly concentrates on startups within particular industries, which restricts the applicability of the results to all business sectors. The cross-sectional design of the study limits the evaluation of long-term innovation outcomes, underscoring the necessity for further longitudinal research.

Future research should conduct a comprehensive industry-specific analysis of innovation strategies and their enduring effects on startup success. A longitudinal study of startups would provide significant insights into the evolution of innovation and its effects on business success over time. Moreover, subsequent research could benefit from integrating qualitative analysis, including detailed case studies of exceptionally innovative startups, to enhance the quantitative results. Broadening the scope of the study to encompass international startup ecosystems would

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

significantly deepen the comprehension of how variations in culture, economy, and policy influence innovation-led development.

References

1. Christensen, C. M. (1997). The innovator's dilemma: When new technologies cause great firms to fail. Harvard Business Review Press.

2. Ghezzi, A., and Cavallo, A. (2020). Agile business model innovation in digital entrepreneurship: lean startup approaches. J. Bus. Res. 110, 519–537. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.06.013

3. Heider, A., Gerken, M., van Dinther, N., and Hülsbeck, M. (2021). Business model innovation through dynamic capabilities in small and medium enterprises – evidence from the German Mittelstand. J. Bus. Res. 130, 635–645. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.04.051

4. Ilmudeen, A. (2021). Leveraging IT-enabled dynamic capabilities to shape business process agility and firm innovative capability: moderating role of turbulent environment. Rev. Manag. Sci. 1–39. doi: 10.1007/s11846-021-00501-9

5. Lyytinen, K., and Rose, G. M. (2003). The disruptive nature of information technology innovations: The case of internet computing in systems development organizations. MIS Q. 27, 557–595. doi: 10.2307/30036549

6. Roblek, V., Meško, M., Pušavec, F., and Likar, B. (2021). The role and meaning of the digital transformation As a disruptive innovation on small and medium manufacturing enterprises. Front. Psychol. 12:592528. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.592528

7. Si, S., & Chen, H. (2020). A literature review of disruptive innovation: What it is, how it works and where it goes. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management, 56, 101568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2020.101568

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11, Iss 11, 2022

8. Si, S., & Chen, H. (2020). A review of the impact of disruptive innovations on markets and business performance of players. International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing, 14(1), 605-630.

9. Weeks, M. R. (2015). Is disruption theory wearing new clothes or just naked? Analyzing recent critiques of disruptive innovation theory. Innovation, 17(4), 417–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2015.1061896

10. Zhu, X., Song, Y., & Zhu, L. (2022). Start-Up's road to disruptive innovation in the digital era. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 925563. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.925277