ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

"A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF ASSET PRICING MODELS: FROM CAPM TO ADVANCED FACTOR-BASED MODELS"

J.SAHAYA SHABU[1], Dr. E. JOSEPH RUBERT, Dr. J DIVYA MERRY MALAR[2]

1. Research Scholar, Reg No: 19223041061003, Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Nagercoil, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India, jjshabu@gmail.com
2. Professor, Department of Management Studies, Arunachala College of Engineering for Women, Nagercoil, Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India, rubert_mba@yahoo.in
3. Dr. J. Divya Merry Malar, Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Nagercoil, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu, India, divyanvin@gmail.com

Abstract:

This article provides a comprehensive overview of asset pricing models, which are widely used in finance to determine the appropriate prices and expected returns of various financial assets. Starting with the foundational concepts of risk and return, the article delves into different asset pricing models, including the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT), and the Fama-French Three-Factor Model. Each model's key assumptions, formulation, and practical applications are discussed, highlighting their strengths and limitations. Furthermore, the article explores more recent developments in asset pricing models, such as the consumption-based models and the factor-based models. By gaining a deeper understanding of asset pricing models, investors and financial professionals can make more informed investment decisions and assess the pricing efficiency of financial markets.

Introduction:

Asset pricing models play a fundamental role in the field of finance, providing insights into the valuation and expected returns of financial assets. These models serve as a framework for investors, analysts, and researchers to understand the relationship between risk and return and make informed investment decisions. By capturing the risk factors and market conditions that influence asset prices, these models aim to determine fair prices and estimate expected returns.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

The study of asset pricing models dates back several decades and has evolved significantly over time. Early models, such as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) introduced by William Sharpe in the 1960s, laid the foundation for understanding the systematic risk and reward relationship. The CAPM, based on the efficient market hypothesis, suggests that an asset's expected return is directly proportional to its beta, which measures its sensitivity to market movements.

While the CAPM provided valuable insights, researchers and practitioners recognized its limitations, prompting the development of alternative asset pricing models. The Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT), proposed by Stephen Ross in the 1970s, expanded the concept of risk factors beyond the market portfolio. The APT suggests that asset returns can be explained by multiple risk factors, each influencing the asset's price in a unique way.

In the 1990s, Eugene Fama and Kenneth French introduced the Fama-French Three-Factor Model, which added two additional factors to the CAPM - the size factor and the value factor. This model demonstrated that not only market risk, but also a company's size and its book-to-market ratio, can significantly impact expected returns.

In recent years, advancements in asset pricing models have incorporated additional factors, such as momentum, liquidity, and profitability, to further refine the understanding of asset pricing dynamics. Consumption-based models, such as the Intertemporal Capital Asset Pricing Model (ICAPM), emphasize the role of an investor's consumption patterns and intertemporal preferences in determining asset prices.

Factor-based models, such as the Carhart Four-Factor Model and the Fama-French Five-Factor Model, have gained prominence by including additional factors beyond the market, size, and value. These models consider factors like profitability and investment, providing a more comprehensive explanation of asset returns.

As the field of asset pricing continues to evolve, researchers are exploring new dimensions, such as behavioral finance and machine learning techniques, to improve the accuracy and effectiveness of pricing models. These developments aim to capture the complexities and anomalies observed in financial markets, enabling better risk assessment and return estimation.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of asset pricing models, from the foundational models to the more recent advancements. By exploring the key concepts, assumptions, and practical applications of these models, readers will gain a deeper understanding of the factors driving asset prices and expected returns. Furthermore, this knowledge will empower investors, analysts, and researchers to make more informed decisions, assess market efficiency, and contribute to the ongoing evolution of asset pricing theories.

Factors Influencing Asset Prices:

Asset pricing models seek to capture the various factors that influence asset prices. These factors can be broadly categorized into systematic risk factors and idiosyncratic risk factors.

Systematic risk factors are those that affect the overall market or a particular segment of the market. They include macroeconomic variables such as interest rates, inflation rates, and GDP growth. Systematic risk factors impact a wide range of assets and are considered unavoidable risks that cannot be diversified away.

Idiosyncratic risk factors, on the other hand, are specific to individual assets or companies. They include factors such as company-specific events, management decisions, and industry-specific trends. Idiosyncratic risks can be diversified away by holding a well-diversified portfolio.

Asset Pricing Models:

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM):

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is a widely used asset pricing model that helps estimate the expected return of an asset based on its systematic risk. Developed by William Sharpe in the 1960s, the CAPM provides a framework for determining the appropriate required return for an asset or portfolio.

Key Concepts of CAPM:

Risk-Free Rate: The CAPM assumes the existence of a risk-free asset with a known return. The risk-free rate represents the return an investor would receive by investing in a risk-free asset, such as government bonds.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Market Risk Premium: The CAPM incorporates the concept of a market risk premium, which represents the excess return that investors demand for taking on systematic risk beyond the risk-free rate. It reflects the additional return required for investing in a diversified portfolio of all risky assets in the market.

Beta: Beta measures the sensitivity of an asset's returns to the overall market returns. It quantifies the asset's systematic risk relative to the market. A beta of 1 indicates that the asset moves in line with the market, while a beta greater than 1 suggests higher volatility, and a beta less than 1 indicates lower volatility compared to the market.

Assumptions of CAPM:

Efficient Markets: The CAPM assumes that financial markets are efficient, meaning that asset prices reflect all available information. Investors cannot consistently earn excess returns by using historical data or publicly available information.

Rational Investor Behavior: The model assumes that investors are rational and risk-averse. Investors make investment decisions based on maximizing their expected returns while considering the associated risk.

Homogeneous Expectations: CAPM assumes that all investors have the same expectations about future returns and risk. This assumption simplifies the estimation of asset prices and allows for the derivation of a single market risk premium.

Practical Applications of CAPM:

Asset Valuation: CAPM provides a tool for estimating the expected return on an asset or portfolio. By incorporating the risk-free rate, market risk premium, and beta, investors can determine the appropriate required return for an asset and evaluate its value relative to the expected return.

Cost of Capital: CAPM is used in corporate finance to estimate the cost of capital for investment projects. It helps determine the discount rate used to calculate the net present value of future cash flows.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Portfolio Construction: CAPM assists in constructing well-diversified portfolios. By considering the systematic risk (beta) of different assets, investors can combine assets to achieve a desired level of risk and expected return.

Performance Evaluation: CAPM is used to evaluate the performance of an investment portfolio or fund manager. The model can compare the actual returns of a portfolio to the expected returns based on its beta, enabling the assessment of whether the portfolio outperformed or underperformed relative to its systematic risk.

Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT):

The APT is an alternative asset pricing model that expands on the CAPM by considering multiple risk factors. It suggests that asset returns can be explained by a linear combination of various risk factors, each with its own risk premium.

Key Concepts:

Factors: The APT assumes that asset returns are influenced by multiple risk factors, which can be systematic or specific to particular assets or industries. These factors capture the sources of variation in asset returns.

Arbitrage: The APT is built upon the concept of arbitrage, which suggests that if two portfolios have the same expected returns but different risk levels, investors would prefer the lower-risk portfolio. This concept helps in identifying mispriced assets and opportunities for risk-free profit.

Risk Premiums: The APT focuses on estimating risk premiums associated with each factor. These risk premiums represent the additional return required by investors to hold an asset in consideration of the associated risk.

Assumptions:

Factor Structure: The APT assumes that asset returns can be explained by a linear combination of various risk factors. These factors capture the systematic risks that affect asset prices. The specific factors and their magnitudes need to be determined through empirical analysis.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

No Arbitrage Opportunities: The APT assumes that there are no opportunities for risk-free arbitrage. This means that the prices of assets are fairly priced, considering the risk factors they are exposed to.

Homogeneous Expectations: The APT assumes that investors have the same expectations about the relationship between factors and asset returns. This assumption allows for simplification in estimating asset prices and risk premiums.

Practical Applications:

Asset Pricing and Valuation: The APT provides a framework for pricing and valuing assets by considering the risk factors that impact their returns. By estimating the risk premiums associated with each factor, investors can assess the appropriate required return for an asset and determine its value relative to the expected return.

Portfolio Management: APT assists in constructing well-diversified portfolios by considering the risk factors that impact asset returns. By selecting assets with low exposure to similar risk factors, investors can reduce the overall risk of their portfolio.

Risk Management: APT helps in identifying and managing risks associated with different assets or portfolios. By understanding the systematic risks captured by the factors in the APT model, investors can take measures to mitigate those risks through diversification or hedging strategies.

Performance Evaluation: APT can be used to evaluate the performance of investment strategies or portfolios. By comparing the actual returns of a portfolio to the predicted returns based on the APT model, investors can assess whether the portfolio has achieved the expected returns considering the risk factors.

Asset Allocation Decisions: The APT can guide asset allocation decisions by considering the risk factors that impact asset returns. By assessing the risk premiums associated with different factors, investors can allocate their investments to assets with higher expected returns relative to their risk exposures.

Fama-French Three-Factor Model:

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

The Fama-French Three-Factor Model builds upon the CAPM by incorporating two additional factors: size and value. It argues that smaller companies tend to outperform larger ones and that value stocks (those with low price-to-book ratios) outperform growth stocks (those with high price-to-book ratios). The model suggests that these factors capture additional sources of risk and provide a better explanation for asset returns.

Key Concepts:

Market Risk: The Fama-French Three-Factor Model incorporates the market risk factor, which captures the overall movements of the market. It represents the risk and return associated with investing in a broad market portfolio.

Size (SMB): The size factor in the model reflects the historical observation that smaller companies tend to outperform larger companies. It captures the additional risk and return associated with investing in small-cap stocks compared to large-cap stocks.

Value (HML): The value factor accounts for the historical phenomenon that value stocks (stocks with low price-to-book ratios) tend to outperform growth stocks (stocks with high price-to-book ratios). It captures the risk and return associated with investing in value-oriented companies compared to growth-oriented companies.

Assumptions:

Efficient Markets: The Fama-French Three-Factor Model assumes that financial markets are efficient, meaning that asset prices reflect all available information. This assumption allows for the interpretation of the factors as representing systematic risks that are not easily diversified away.

Factor Sensitivity: The model assumes that asset returns are influenced by their sensitivity to the three factors: market risk, size, and value. These factors are considered to be pervasive and have a significant impact on asset returns.

Homogeneous Expectations: The model assumes that investors have the same expectations about the relationship between the factors and asset returns. This assumption simplifies the estimation of expected returns and helps in interpreting the factors as capturing common sources of risk.

Practical Applications:

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Asset Pricing: The Fama-French Three-Factor Model is used for pricing assets by considering their exposure to market risk, size, and value factors. By estimating the risk premiums associated with each factor, investors can determine the expected returns and assess the appropriate required return for an asset.

Portfolio Construction: The model helps in constructing well-diversified portfolios by considering the factors that impact asset returns. By selecting assets with different factor exposures, investors can diversify their portfolio and potentially enhance their risk-adjusted returns.

Performance Evaluation: The Fama-French Three-Factor Model can be used to evaluate the performance of investment strategies or portfolios. By comparing the actual returns of a portfolio to the expected returns based on factor sensitivities, investors can assess whether the portfolio has outperformed or underperformed relative to the factors' influences.

Risk Management: The model aids in identifying and managing risks associated with different assets or portfolios. By understanding the factor sensitivities of assets, investors can assess their exposure to market risk, size risk, and value risk and take appropriate risk management measures.

Academic Research: The Fama-French Three-Factor Model has been widely used in academic research to explore asset pricing anomalies, evaluate the performance of investment strategies, and analyze the relationships between factors and asset returns.

Consumption-Based Models:

Consumption-based asset pricing models, such as the ICAPM, focus on an investor's consumption patterns and intertemporal preferences. These models argue that asset prices are influenced by the interplay between an investor's desire for current consumption and their willingness to defer consumption for the future. Consumption-based models provide insights into how investors make trade-offs between risk and consumption.

Key Concepts:

Intertemporal Consumption: Consumption-based models focus on the intertemporal preferences of investors and the trade-offs they make between present and future consumption. These models aim to understand how consumption patterns and preferences impact asset prices and returns.

IJFANS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES
ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Risk and Return Trade-Off: Consumption-based models explore the relationship between risk and expected returns by considering investors' willingness to bear risk in exchange for potential future consumption. They examine how changes in consumption patterns and risk aversion influence asset prices.

Assumptions:

Time-Additive Utility: Consumption-based models often assume time-additive utility, which means that an investor's utility function depends on the sum of utility levels across different periods. This assumption helps in modeling the trade-offs between present and future consumption.

Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA): Many consumption-based models assume that investors have a constant level of risk aversion, meaning their preferences for risk remain consistent over time. The CRRA assumption simplifies the modeling of risk preferences.

Efficient Markets: Consumption-based models often assume that financial markets are efficient, meaning that asset prices reflect all available information. This assumption allows for the interpretation of asset prices as fair values based on investors' consumption patterns.

Practical Applications:

Asset Pricing: Consumption-based models provide a framework for asset pricing by considering the intertemporal preferences and consumption patterns of investors. These models estimate the expected returns of assets based on the trade-off between present and future consumption.

Portfolio Management: Consumption-based models aid in portfolio construction by considering the risk and return trade-off based on investors' consumption preferences. By aligning the portfolio with investors' intertemporal utility, asset allocation decisions can be made to achieve desired risk and return objectives.

Risk Management: Consumption-based models help in understanding the risks associated with different assets and asset classes. By analyzing the relationship between consumption patterns and asset returns, investors can identify assets that align with their risk preferences and manage risk accordingly.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Economic Forecasting: Consumption-based models have applications in economic forecasting by providing insights into the relationship between consumption and economic variables. By understanding the impact of changes in consumption patterns on asset prices, these models contribute to economic analyses and predictions.

Retirement Planning: Consumption-based models have practical implications for retirement planning. By considering an individual's expected future consumption needs and risk preferences, these models can help in determining optimal saving and investment strategies to ensure adequate funds for retirement.

Factor-Based Models:

Factor-based models have gained popularity in recent years, particularly with the introduction of the Carhart Four-Factor Model and the Fama-French Five-Factor Model. These models include additional factors beyond market, size, and value, such as profitability and investment. By considering these additional factors, they aim to capture more of the variation in asset returns and provide a more comprehensive explanation of asset pricing.

Key Concepts:

Risk Factors: Factor-based models identify a set of common risk factors that influence asset returns. These factors capture systematic sources of risk that affect a wide range of assets. Common factors include market risk, size, value, momentum, profitability, and investment.

Factor Loadings: Factor-based models assign factor loadings to individual assets, representing their sensitivity or exposure to each risk factor. These loadings quantify the asset's contribution to factor risk and help explain its returns in relation to the factors.

Factor Risk Premiums: Factor-based models estimate the risk premiums associated with each factor. These premiums represent the additional return investors demand for bearing the risk associated with a specific factor.

Assumptions:

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Efficient Markets: Factor-based models assume that financial markets are efficient, meaning that asset prices reflect all available information. This assumption allows for the interpretation of factor-based models as capturing systematic risk beyond what can be diversified away.

Factor Independence: Factor-based models often assume that the risk factors are independent of each other. This assumption simplifies the estimation and interpretation of factor loadings and risk premiums.

Homogeneous Expectations: Factor-based models assume that investors have the same expectations about the relationship between the factors and asset returns. This assumption enables the estimation of factor loadings and risk premiums based on aggregated market data.

Practical Applications:

Asset Pricing: Factor-based models provide a framework for pricing assets by considering their exposure to common risk factors. By estimating the factor loadings and associated risk premiums, investors can determine the expected returns and assess the appropriate required return for an asset.

Portfolio Construction: Factor-based models assist in constructing well-diversified portfolios. By considering the factor loadings of assets, investors can construct portfolios with desired factor exposures, enabling them to target specific risk and return characteristics.

Risk Management: Factor-based models help identify and manage risks associated with different assets or portfolios. By understanding the factor exposures of assets, investors can assess their exposure to specific risks and adjust their portfolios accordingly to mitigate risk.

Performance Evaluation: Factor-based models are used to evaluate the performance of investment strategies or portfolios. By comparing the actual returns of a portfolio to the returns predicted by the factor-based model, investors can assess whether the portfolio has generated excess returns or underperformed given its factor exposures.

Quantitative Investment Strategies: Factor-based models are employed in quantitative investment strategies, such as factor investing or smart beta strategies. These strategies seek to systematically exploit the risk premiums associated with specific factors by constructing portfolios that tilt towards factors expected to deliver excess returns.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

Advancements and Challenges:

While asset pricing models have evolved over time, challenges persist in accurately capturing the complexities of asset pricing. Financial markets exhibit anomalies and inefficiencies that deviate from the predictions of traditional models. Researchers are actively exploring behavioral finance, which incorporates psychological biases and investor sentiment into asset pricing models, to better explain these deviations.

Additionally, advancements in machine learning and big data analytics have opened new avenues for refining asset pricing models. These techniques allow for the exploration of vast amounts of data and the identification of non-linear relationships and patterns that traditional models may overlook.

Conclusion:

Asset pricing models are essential tools for understanding the relationship between risk and return and determining fair prices and expected returns of financial assets. From the foundational CAPM to the more recent factor-based models, these frameworks provide insights into the factors influencing asset prices. However, no single model can fully capture the complexities of asset pricing, and ongoing research and advancements are necessary to refine these models and incorporate new insights. By understanding and utilizing asset pricing models, investors and financial professionals can make more informed decisions and navigate the dynamic landscape of financial markets.

Reference:

1. Sharpe, W. F. (1964). Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. The Journal of Finance, 19(3), 425-442.
2. Ross, S. A. (1976). The arbitrage theory of capital asset pricing. Journal of Economic Theory, 13(3), 341-360.
3. Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1993). Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds. Journal of Financial Economics, 33(1), 3-56.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

4. Campbell, J. Y., & Cochrane, J. H. (1999). By force of habit: A consumption-based explanation of aggregate stock market behavior. Journal of Political Economy, 107(2), 205-251.
5. Carhart, M. M. (1997). On persistence in mutual fund performance. The Journal of Finance, 52(1), 57-82.
6. Hall, R. E. (1978). Stochastic implications of the life cycle-permanent income hypothesis: Theory and evidence. Journal of Political Economy, 86(6), 971-987.
7. Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2015). A five-factor asset pricing model. Journal of Financial Economics, 116(1), 1-22.
8. Perold, A. F. (2004). The capital asset pricing model. Journal of economic perspectives, 18(3), 3-24.
9. Elbannan, M. A. (2015). The capital asset pricing model: an overview of the theory. International Journal of Economics and Finance, 7(1), 216-228.
10. Barillas, F., & Shanken, J. (2018). Comparing asset pricing models. The Journal of Finance, 73(2), 715-754.
11. Rossi, M. (2016). The capital asset pricing model: a critical literature review. Global Business and Economics Review, 18(5), 604-617.
12. Jensen, M. C., Black, F., & Scholes, M. S. (1972). The capital asset pricing model: Some empirical tests.
13. Cochrane, J. H. (1996). A cross-sectional test of an investment-based asset pricing model. Journal of Political Economy, 104(3), 572-621.
14. Sercu, P. (1980). A generalisation of the international asset pricing model. DTEW Research Report 8002.
15. Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2017). International tests of a five-factor asset pricing model. Journal of financial Economics, 123(3), 441-463.
16. Brennan, M. J. (1989). Capital asset pricing model. In Finance (pp. 91-102). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.
17. Singleton, K. J. (2006). Empirical dynamic asset pricing: model specification and econometric assessment. Princeton University Press.
18. Dawson, P. C. (2015). The capital asset pricing model in economic perspective. Applied Economics, 47(6), 569-598.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876

 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 11,Iss 11 , 2022

19. Durack, N., Durand, R. B., & Maller, R. A. (2004). A best choice among asset pricing models? The conditional capital asset pricing model in Australia. Accounting & Finance, 44(2), 139-162.
20. Pástor, Ľ., & Stambaugh, R. F. (2000). Comparing asset pricing models: an investment perspective. Journal of Financial Economics, 56(3), 335-381.
21. Burton, J. (1998). Revisiting the capital asset pricing model. Dow Jones Asset Manager, 20-28.
22. Hansen, L. P., Heaton, J., & Luttmer, E. G. (1995). Econometric evaluation of asset pricing models. The Review of Financial Studies, 8(2), 237-274.
23. Roy, R., & Shijin, S. (2018). A six-factor asset pricing model. Borsa Istanbul Review, 18(3), 205-217.
24. Galagedera, D. U. (2007). A review of capital asset pricing models. Managerial Finance, 33(10), 821-832.
25. Andrei, D., Cujean, J., & Wilson, M. (2023). The lost capital asset pricing model. Review of Economic Studies, 90(6), 2703-2762.
26. Choudhary, K., & Choudhary, S. (2010). Testing capital asset pricing model: Empirical evidences from Indian equity market. Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics, 3(6), 127-138.
27. Chib, S., Zeng, X., & Zhao, L. (2020). On comparing asset pricing models. The Journal of Finance, 75(1), 551-577.
28. Bajpai, S., & Sharma, A. K. (2015). An empirical testing of capital asset pricing model in India. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 189, 259-265.
29. Jacoby, G., Fowler, D. J., & Gottesman, A. A. (2000). The capital asset pricing model and the liquidity effect: A theoretical approach. Journal of Financial Markets, 3(1), 69-81.
30. Krause, A. (2001). An overview of asset pricing models. University of Bath School of Management. UK.
31. Jurczenko, E., & Maillet, B. (2012). The four-moment capital asset pricing model: between asset pricing and asset allocation. Multi-moment Asset Allocation and Pricing Models, 113-163.