"RURAL POVERTY REDUCTION THROUGH MICROFINANCE: A CASE STUDY OF CHHATTISGARH'S RURAL COMMUNITIES"

Nand Lal Sahu, Dr. Utkarsh Kumar,
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya Bilaspur C.G.
[2]Research Guide, Assistant Professor Department of Commerce, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya Bilaspur C.G.
nandsahu1992@gmail.com utkarshbhu93@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the effects of microfinance on reducing rural poverty in the varied communities of Chhattisgarh, India. It emphasizes the role of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in improving access to financial services and explores how these programs empower local residents, especially women, to participate in income-generating activities. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews, the study evaluates changes in income, employment opportunities, and overall quality of life for beneficiaries. The results suggest that microfinance has significantly enhanced financial inclusion, increased household incomes, and elevated the social status of marginalized groups. Nevertheless, challenges such as high-interest rates, over-indebtedness, and insufficient financial literacy remain prevalent. The study concludes with suggestions for policymakers and MFIs to improve the effectiveness of microfinance initiatives, promoting sustainable development and long-term poverty reduction in rural areas of Chhattisgarh.

Key Words : Rural poverty, microfinance, Chhattisgarh, financial inclusion, income generation, women's empowerment, microfinance institutions, sustainable development, rural communities, poverty alleviation, financial literacy, over-indebtedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rural poverty continues to be a significant issue in India, especially in states like Chhattisgarh, where many people depend on agriculture and informal jobs for their livelihoods. Despite national economic growth, rural communities often encounter obstacles to accessing financial services, limiting their ability to invest in education, health care, and entrepreneurial ventures. Microfinance has emerged as a viable solution, offering low-income individuals access to credit and tailored financial services.

This study investigates the effects of microfinance on poverty alleviation in Chhattisgarh's rural areas, emphasizing the role of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in promoting financial inclusion. By providing small loans, savings options, and other financial products, MFIs seek to empower marginalized groups, particularly women, who disproportionately experience poverty. The distinct socio-economic landscape of Chhattisgarh—marked by its tribal communities, agricultural dependence, and varied cultural practices—offers a valuable context for understanding how microfinance initiatives can be customized to meet local needs.

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 12, Iss 01, 2023

Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, this research integrates quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews to evaluate changes in income, employment opportunities, and overall quality of life for beneficiaries. The findings will enhance the understanding of microfinance's role in poverty reduction while identifying the challenges that may limit its effectiveness. Ultimately, this study aims to provide practical recommendations for policymakers and MFIs to strengthen the impact of microfinance programs, promoting sustainable development and better livelihoods in rural Chhattisgarh.

The Integrated Rural Development Program (IRDP) was launched in 1976-77 in selected districts across the country. Due to the excellent results of the program, it was implemented in 230 development blocks in 1978-79. Given the success of the initiative, by the end of that year, it was expanded to 2,600 development blocks. Starting from October 2, 1980, on the birth anniversary of Mahatma Gandhi, the program was introduced in all 5,100 development blocks across the country.

The initiatives under this program included various schemes such as the Haysen Scheme, the collective insurance scheme for women and child development, family loan schemes, and new opportunities for landless individuals, among others.

MICRO FINANCE

Microfinance encompasses a variety of financial services aimed at providing low-income individuals and groups—especially those marginalized from conventional banking—with access to credit, savings, insurance, and other financial products. In the realm of rural poverty alleviation, microfinance seeks to empower disadvantaged communities, enhance financial inclusion, and promote economic independence. In the rural areas of Chhattisgarh, microfinance institutions (MFIs) are vital as they offer small loans and financial services that enable individuals, particularly women, to participate in income-generating activities, improve their livelihoods, and escape the poverty cycle.

Key points include:

- The necessity for the poor to access productive resources, with financial services being crucial for improving their living conditions.
- The understanding that low-income individuals can effectively utilize loans for generating income, saving, and repaying debts.
- The observation that the formal financial sector has largely overlooked low-income populations, leading to a strong demand for credit and savings services among the poor.
- The belief that microfinance can be viable, sustainable, and achieve full cost recovery.
- The acknowledgment that microfinance can significantly influence broader issues, such as women's empowerment and improvements in education, housing, and health.

STUDY AREA

Chhattisgarh was established as a state on November 1, 2000, after being carved out from Madhya Pradesh. At the time of its formation, it comprised 16 districts, 3 divisions, 146 development blocks, and 96 tehsils, a process known as the Madhya Pradesh Reorganization

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 12, Iss 01, 2023

Commission of 2000. Chhattisgarh is the 27th state of India, located in the southeastern part of Madhya Pradesh.

The geographical area of Chhattisgarh is 135,191 square kilometers, which accounts for 4.1% of India's total geographical area, making it the 9th largest state in the country by area. Chhattisgarh is a completely landlocked state, surrounded by 7 states and not bordering any foreign country or coastline. It ranks 16th in terms of population in India and is rich in mineral resources. Currently, the number of districts in Chhattisgarh has increased from 16 to 33, and a majority of the state's population is engaged in agriculture-based industries.

TYPES OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS

- **Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs)**: Numerous microfinance institutions function as NBFCs, providing loans specifically designed for the requirements of small farmers, artisans, and entrepreneurs.
- **Cooperatives**: In Bilaspur, cooperative societies offer microfinance services to their members, emphasizing collective development and mutual assistance.
- **Self-Help Groups (SHGs)**: SHGs are community-based organizations that encourage savings and extend credit to their members, especially women, thereby improving their economic standing

PROBLEMS OF MICRO FINANCING SHGS

Despite the notable achievements of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in microfinance, the movement faces several challenges:

1. **Small-Scale Operations**: SHGs typically collect modest savings and offer small loans, indicating a need to expand their activities. Their success cannot solely be evaluated by repayment rates or sustainability; scaling up financial services requires addressing essential development factors such as efficiency, productivity, and the opportunity costs of financial resources.
2. **Winners and Losers**: Women's success within SHGs is often measured by their adherence to group norms—regular savings, timely repayments, and effective household management. This results in a divide, where some women succeed while others struggle. Some individuals find it difficult to repay loans and may resort to high-interest borrowing from moneylenders to meet SHG obligations. As many women enter the same market to produce goods and services, it's inevitable that some will fail to find a market or profit, leading to a categorization of "winners" and "losers." The equal opportunity does not guarantee equal outcomes.
3. **Lack of Sustainability and Self-Sufficiency**: Many SHGs are too small, and their businesses are not viable due to the low income of members. Groups that achieve self-sufficiency are often comprised of those just above the poverty line, creating a trade-off between sustainability and supporting the poorest members. Research indicates that self-sufficient SHGs often do not serve the most impoverished borrowers, and many still rely on subsidies or grants from external sources. A study has shown that only 33% of SHGs survive beyond three years.

4. **Lack of Security**: SHGs operate on mutual trust among members, which means their deposits lack security. They cannot accept deposits from non-members, and there is no legal mechanism in place to resolve disputes.
5. **Limited Impact on Income and Employment**: While SHGs have successfully fostered banking habits among members, their effect on income, employment, and overall living standards remains minimal. Many members continue to struggle below the poverty line, indicating that additional investments are necessary for significant improvement.

IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY

1. **Economic Empowerment**: Access to microfinance has allowed numerous households to boost their incomes and invest in improved agricultural methods, thereby increasing overall productivity.
2. **Women's Empowerment**: Microfinance institutions focused on women have resulted in greater decision-making authority and economic independence, playing a significant role in reducing poverty.
3. **Skill Development**: Many organizations offer training programs in financial literacy and business management, providing beneficiaries with crucial skills.

POVERTY REDUCTION

1. Economic Growth Strategy: This approach emphasizes fostering growth by prioritizing human capital development through improvements in education, health, nutrition, and housing for the labor force.
2. Basic Needs Strategy: This strategy aims to meet fundamental needs, including food, shelter, clean water, sanitation, healthcare, and basic education.
3. Rural Development : Rural development refers to the process of improving the quality of life and economic well-being of people living in rural areas through better infrastructure, education, healthcare, and employment opportunities. It aims to reduce poverty, enhance livelihoods, and promote sustainable growth in rural communities.
4. Targeting Strategy: This strategy concentrates on specific demographic groups (such as women, youth, and individuals with disabilities) to address poverty reduction efforts within society.

DETERMINATION OF POVERTY

The primary goal of the Integrated Rural Development Program is to elevate individuals above the poverty line. In this context, the poverty line is defined based on individuals' basic needs and living expenses, and the minimum income threshold has evolved over time due to inflation. During the Sixth Plan period, a person or family was classified as poor if their monthly income fell below ₹62, or if a family of five earned less than ₹3,500 monthly. A survey conducted in various states in 1987-88 identified families with an annual income of ₹1,600 as living below the poverty line. Similarly, during the Seventh and Eighth Plan periods (1992-1997), families with an annual income of ₹11,000 were also considered to be below the poverty line.

DEFINING POVERTY LINE

An international poverty line of $1.25 per capita per day is commonly used. Dhongde and Minoiu (2001) noted that international poverty lines range from $1 to $2.50 per day. The World Bank established the $1.25 line based on the Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) of 2005. For this analysis, an average exchange rate was considered, estimating the monthly income at PKR 5,960 per capita in Pakistan.

To assess whether poverty levels have declined over time, the study employs the Foster, Greer, and Thorbecke (FGT) poverty measures to evaluate the incidence of poverty (Head Count Ratio), depth of poverty (Squared Poverty), and severity of poverty (Squared Poverty Gap) in selected institutions. These measures are recommended by the World Bank and are widely utilized in various studies. For instance, Shinkai et al. (2007) applied the FGT measures to analyze the incidence, depth, and severity of poverty. The Asian Development Bank's publication, "Poverty Impact Analysis: Selected Tools and Applications," highlights the Foster, Greer, and Thorbecke measures as the most commonly used approach for poverty measurement (ADB, 2007).

Headcount Index

It is the proportion of the population whose consumption (y) is less than the poverty line. Po = Np / N

Where

Po = proportion of poor population

Np = number of poor

N=total population

Poverty Gap Index (PG)/ Intensity of poverty

It is the aggregate shortfall of the poor relative to the poverty line. The income required to bring all the poor above the poverty line. It takes into account the average income of the poor and its distance from the poverty line.

$$P_1 = PG = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(\frac{Z-Y_i}{Z} \right)^1$$

.

Squared Poverty Gap Index (SPG)/ severity of poverty.

It is the mean of the squared proportionate poverty gap. It reflects severity of poverty. It shows income inequality among the poor.

$$P_2 = PG = 1 \; n \sum_{i=}^{q} \left(\frac{Z-Y_i}{Z} \right)^2$$

Z= poverty line
Yi = income or consumption (welfare indicator) below poverty line
q = Number of poor respondents
n = Total number of respondents
α= reflects poverty aversion
P2 is also a high order measure of poverty that captures the distributional changes within the poor segment of the population which the P1 cannot quantify.
The squared poverty gap index measures the weighted sum of poverty gaps, taking into account inequality among the poor. It provides insight into the distribution of welfare and income levels within this group (Makoka & Kaplan, 2005). Specifically, it indicates how far the poor are from the poverty line. This measure is crucial because the standard poverty gap does not capture changes in income distribution among the poor (Makoka & Kaplan, 2005). The squared poverty gap places greater emphasis on those who are further below the poverty line, meaning it prioritizes the needs of the poorest households. In contrast to the poverty gap index, where all observations are weighted equally, the SPG assigns weights that are proportional to base income. A lower SPG value suggests that the majority of the poor in the study are concentrated near the poverty line.

MULTIDIMENSIONAL POVERTY IN CHHATTISGARH

MULTIDIMENSIONAL POVERTY STATISTICS FOR THE GLOBAL MPI IN CHHATTISGARH BY AREA, 2015/16

Tabel-1

Area	Population (%)	Number of Poor	MPI	H (%)	A (%)
Rural	**77.1**	**10.3 million**	**0.183**	**44**	**41.6**
Urban	**22.9**	**0.8 million**	**0.047**	**11.8**	**39.9**

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from NFHS 2005/6 and 2015/16.
Multidimensional poverty in Chhattisgarh is significantly more prevalent in rural areas than in urban ones, as shown in Table-1. This disparity is primarily due to a higher incidence of poverty, with 44.0% of rural residents living in multidimensionally poor households, compared to just 11.8% of their urban counterparts. Additionally, the intensity of poverty is slightly greater in rural areas at 41.6%, compared to 39.9% in urban areas, leading to an overall Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) of 0.183 for rural Chhattisgarh and 0.047 for urban Chhattisgarh. In terms of actual figures, over 10 million people in rural areas are classified as multidimensionally poor, while fewer than one million in urban areas fall into the same category.

Multidimensional Poverty Statistics For The Global Mpi In C.G. By District, 2015/16

Table-2

District	Popu. (%)	No. of Poor	MPI	H (%)	A (%)

Dantewada	2.1	415,446	0.323	65.4	49.5
Naraanpur	0.5	99,599	0.281	62.3	45.1
Bastar	5.5	1,016,989	0.268	60.8	44.1
Sarguja	8.5	1,403,190	0.240	54.7	43.9
Jashpur	3	493.083	0.232	54	43
Bijapur	1	144,333	0.205	50.1	40.9
Kabirdham	3.2	465,954	0.203	47.8	42.5
Korea	2.4	325,938	0.189	44.6	42.4
Korba	4.9	573,132	0.164	38.6	42.5
Mahasamund	4.5	560,563	0.159	41.3	38.5
Raigarh	5.7	653,600	0.153	37.8	40.3
Kanker	2.7	304,569	0.148	36.7	40.5
Bilaspur	11.7	1036524	0.119	29.2	40.7
Janjgir-Champa	6.6	612027	0.117	30.6	38.2
Raipur	16	1308431	0.110	27.1	40.4
Rajnandgaon	6.3	529514	0.105	27.8	37.7
Dhamtari	3.2	243863	0.098	25.5	38.4
Durga	12.2	888536	0.093	24	38.8

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from NFHS 2015/16, see OPHI (2018), and UNDP and OPHI (2019a).

With a poverty rate of 36.6%, Table 2 reveals that the rate is considerably lower in the more urbanized districts of Durg (24.0%), Dhamtari (25.5%), Raipur (27.1%), and Rajnandgaon (27.8%). Conversely, poverty rates are much higher in the districts of Bastar (60.8%) and Narayanpur (62.3%), with Dakshin Bastar Dantewada showing that two out of every three residents (65.4%) are multidimensionally poor.

The Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) can be analyzed by specific indicators for each district, enhancing its effectiveness as a policy tool. This analysis illustrates how key indicators vary between districts. For instance, while nutrition is a major factor contributing to multidimensional poverty across all districts, it plays a more significant role in Rajnandgaon (accounting for 38% of poverty) compared to Dakshin Bastar Dantewada, where it contributes 25% to poverty.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT

This aspect of economic development emphasizes the holistic growth of the rural economy in India, necessitating new and profitable initiatives to drive progress.

1. **Women's Education, Skill Development, and Technological Advancement**: Enhancing education and skill training for women to empower them and increase their economic contributions.

2. **Sanitation and Human Development Index**: Improving sanitation facilities and health services to elevate the human development index in rural regions.
3. **Development of Productive Resources and Land Reforms**: Boosting agricultural productivity through efficient resource management and necessary land reforms across all sectors.
4. **Infrastructure Development**: Constructing vital infrastructure such as electricity, irrigation, credit facilities, marketing channels, transportation, rural roads, agricultural research expansion, and information dissemination services.
5. **Special Measures for Poverty Alleviation**: Targeting the creation of productive employment opportunities for marginalized communities to improve living conditions.
6. **Fostering Equality and Cooperation**: Encouraging unity and cooperation within society by addressing issues such as caste discrimination, communalism, fanaticism, and untouchability, which can obstruct rural development. Support should be given to enhance the productivity of rural individuals involved in both agricultural and non-agricultural pursuits.

CHARACTERISTICS OF MICRONANCE

1. **Targeted Demographics**: refers to distinct segments of a population that are identified for specialized attention or services based on certain characteristics, such as age, gender, income level, or socio-economic status. In microfinance, this often involves prioritizing marginalized groups, such as women or low-income individuals, who are typically excluded from conventional financial systems. The objective is to customize services and support to address the specific needs of these demographics, thereby enhancing financial inclusion and empowerment.
2. **Community-Based Approach**: is a strategy that prioritizes the active participation of local communities in the planning, execution, and assessment of programs or initiatives. This method encourages collaboration, trust, and shared responsibility among community members, ensuring that solutions are customized to meet the unique needs and circumstances of the community. In fields such as microfinance, it typically involves working with local stakeholders to gain support and improve the effectiveness of financial services, ultimately fostering sustainable development and empowerment at the grassroots level.
3. **Diverse Financial Products**: encompass a broad array of financial services and offerings tailored to meet the diverse needs of various clients or market segments. These can include microloans, savings accounts, insurance, investment opportunities, and other financial instruments. This range of products allows institutions to address the unique financial needs and preferences of individuals or groups, especially in microfinance contexts where clients may have specific requirements based on their economic situations and goals. Such diversity fosters financial inclusion and empowers clients to select the services that best enhance their financial health.
4. **Empowerment Focus**: is a strategy that emphasizes enabling individuals or groups to take control of their lives and make informed choices. This approach typically includes offering not

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 12, Iss 01, 2023

just financial resources but also education, training, and skill development. In the realm of microfinance, an empowerment focus seeks to enhance clients' abilities, especially in entrepreneurship and financial literacy, promoting self-reliance and confidence. The overarching aim is to support individuals in improving their socio-economic status and gaining greater independence and agency in their lives.

5. **Small Loan Amounts**: Issues relatively small loans to reduce risk for both lenders and borrowers, making them more accessible for those with limited financial means.
6. **Flexible Repayment Terms**: Often includes adaptable repayment schedules that align with the income patterns of rural households, accommodating agricultural and seasonal earnings.
7. **Social Impact**: Emphasizes social development objectives, such as poverty alleviation, gender equality, and community welfare, in addition to financial gains.
8. **Monitoring and Evaluation**: Utilizes continuous assessment methods to evaluate the impact of microfinance initiatives on beneficiaries and the broader community.
9. **Sustainability Focus**: Aims for long-term sustainability by encouraging responsible lending practices and promoting financial independence among clients.
10. **Adaptability to Local Contexts**: Customizes programs and services to align with the specific socio-economic conditions and cultural practices of various rural communities in Chhattisgarh.

REVIEW OF LITRATURE

Ranabahu Nadeera & Wickramasinghe Ananda (2022) In his study "Sustainable Leadership in Microfinance: A Pathway for Sustainable Initiatives in Micro and Small Businesses". Microfinance plays a crucial role in supporting the startup and growth of micro and small enterprises (MSEs) in developing countries. While existing literature discusses how microfinance institutions (MFIs) can achieve their own sustainability, it often overlooks how these institutions influence the sustainability efforts of MSEs. This paper aims to address this gap by exploring how joint liability lending in microfinance affects the sustainability of MSEs owned by borrowers. Using sustainable leadership as a theoretical foundation, we conceptualize how joint liability lending impacts MSE sustainability through strategic, operational, and community-level leadership functions. We develop a framework based on these concepts and illustrate it with a case study. Our analysis shows that leadership at strategic, operational, and community levels plays a significant role in influencing the sustainability of MSEs. The conceptual framework, propositions, and case study evidence contribute to both theory and practice by demonstrating that joint liability mechanisms can help MFIs promote sustainable practices within micro and small enterprises.

Din Sharaf U, et.al. (2023) In his examined "The Impact of Microfinance on Poverty Alleviation in Rural Areas of Pakistan: A Case of District Zhob", The objective of the study reflected a deductive approach to test hypotheses in light of the study's findings. The first objective, which focused on the relationship between microfinance small loans and poverty alleviation, was achieved with significant results. The second objective, concerning the impact of

ISSN PRINT 2319 1775 Online 2320 7876
 UGC CARE Listed (Group -I) Journal Volume 12, Iss 01, 2023

microfinance small loans on poverty alleviation, was also achieved. The study employed statistical tools to analyze the results and test the study hypotheses. The first hypothesis, which proposed that microfinance had a significant relationship with children's education, was supported. The second hypothesis, which suggested that microfinance had a significant correlation with household expenditure, was also supported. The third hypothesis, which posited that microfinance had a significant correlation with household assets, was likewise confirmed. The research considered variables such as children's education, household expenditure, and household assets to assess the impact of microfinance on poverty alleviation. This study provided valuable information regarding the use of small loans or microcredit at the grassroots level and contributed to the existing body of knowledge in the literature.

Kerry Blessing (2024) In their study "The Impact of Microfinance on Poverty alleviation in rural Commuities". The study offers several key insights and conclusions about the effectiveness of microfinance as a development tool. It shows that microfinance positively impacts economic activities in rural areas by providing access to financial resources, enabling rural households to engage in income-generating activities such as small-scale farming, trading, and entrepreneurship. This access to credit helps overcome liquidity constraints, boosting household incomes and improving living standards. Additionally, the study emphasizes microfinance's role in empowering marginalized groups, especially women, who often face significant barriers to financial services and economic opportunities. Microfinance programs targeting women have proven effective in enhancing their economic empowerment, allowing them to contribute more to household income and decision-making. This empowerment not only strengthens their financial stability but also fosters gender equality and social inclusion, with positive effects on education, health, and the overall well-being of households.

OBJECTIVES

1. To assess the impact of microfinance initiatives on income levels and economic stability among rural households in Chhattisgarh.
2. To explore how helpful` microfinance contributes to women's empowerment and their involvement in income-generating activities.
3. To pinpoint the challenges encountered by microfinance beneficiaries, such as over-indebtedness and a lack of financial literacy.
4. To offer evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and microfinance institutions aimed at improving the effectiveness of microfinance programs in reducing rural poverty.

LIMITATIONS

The study is confined to rural communities in Chhattisgarh, which may restrict the applicability of the findings to other regions with different socio-economic conditions. The number of participants surveyed may be insufficient to capture the full diversity of experiences within the rural population, potentially leading to skewed results. The reliance on self-reported data from beneficiaries can introduce bias, as individuals might exaggerate positive outcomes or downplay challenges due to social desirability. The study may not consider the long-term effects of microfinance on poverty reduction, as changes in income and economic status often take time to manifest. The research might focus mainly on specific microfinance products, neglecting the broader financial ecosystem and other factors that contribute to poverty reduction. Although qualitative interviews offer depth, they may not represent the entire population, which can limit the richness of the quantitative data. External economic conditions, such as market fluctuations and natural disasters, may influence the outcomes of microfinance, complicating the evaluation of its direct impact. The absence of a control group restricts the ability to draw definitive causal conclusions about the effectiveness of microfinance in alleviating poverty compared to other interventions. Fluctuations in government policies related to microfinance and rural development can impact the sustainability and effectiveness of programs, making it challenging to establish consistent outcomes over time.

CONCLUSION

The study emphasizes the crucial role of microfinance in alleviating rural poverty in Chhattisgarh, particularly its potential to empower marginalized groups, especially women. The analysis indicates that access to microfinance services significantly boosts household income, encourages entrepreneurship, and enhances social participation among women, thereby improving their quality of life. However, the findings also highlight important challenges that need to be addressed to optimize the impact of microfinance initiatives. Problems such as high-interest rates, over-indebtedness, and a lack of financial literacy among borrowers can diminish the advantages of microfinance, leading to a cycle of financial instability rather than empowerment. To promote sustainable development, it is vital for policymakers and microfinance institutions to devise strategies that improve financial literacy, ensure transparent lending practices, and establish supportive environments for borrowers. By tackling these issues, microfinance can evolve into a more effective instrument for poverty reduction, contributing to long-term economic stability and enhanced social equity in rural communities in Chhattisgarh.

SUGGESTIONS

1. **Improve Financial Literacy Programs**: Implement specialized training for borrowers to enhance their understanding of financial products, budgeting, and debt management. This empowers clients to make informed choices and minimizes the risk of over-indebtedness.
2. **Revise Interest Rates**: Encourage microfinance institutions (MFIs) to adopt more flexible and competitive interest rate structures that are sustainable for both the institutions and borrowers, ensuring that microfinance remains accessible without causing financial pressure.
3. **Broaden Financial Product Offerings**: Develop a diverse range of financial products specifically designed for rural communities, including savings accounts, insurance, and investment options, to promote long-term financial stability.

4. **Enhance Support Networks**: Create community support systems that provide mentorship and business training for microfinance clients. Peer support groups can boost accountability and offer a space for sharing experiences and resources.
5. **Implement Impact Monitoring and Evaluation**: Establish effective monitoring and evaluation systems to assess the long-term effects of microfinance on poverty reduction and community development. Regular evaluations can help refine programs and identify best practices.
6. **Advocate for Inclusive Policies**: Promote policies that facilitate the integration of microfinance into broader economic development strategies, ensuring that its benefits align with local needs and priorities.
7. **Encourage Collaborative Efforts**: Foster partnerships between MFIs, government agencies, and non-governmental organizations to create a comprehensive approach to rural development that addresses not only financial services but also health, education, and infrastructure improvements.
8. **Emphasize Sustainable Practices**: Urge microfinance programs to adopt sustainability principles, such as promoting environmentally friendly business practices, to strengthen community resilience and ensure long-term viability.

REFERENCES

1- Kbadker, Sbahidur R. (2005) Microfinance and Poverty: Evidence Using Panel Data from Bangladesh. *The World Bank Economic Review*. 19(2) : Page No.-264.

2- Ebimobowei Appah, Sophia Jogn M. and Wisdom Soreh (2012) An Analysis Of Microfinance And Poverty Reduction In Bayelsa State Of Nigeria. *Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review.* 1(7) : Page No.-43.

3- Dube Ashish, Agarwal & Kumar Rajesh (2012) Schemes Operated for Rural Entrepreneurship Development in Chhattisgarh State. *Research Journal Humanities and Social Sciences.* page No.-55

4- Imtiaz Aisha and Mehmood Hafiz Zahid (2014) Impact of Microfinance on Poverty Reduction : A Case Study of District Faisalabad. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*. 5(9) : Page No.-60.

5- Balamurugan, P. and Selvaraj, A. (2014) Micro Finance and Poverty Alleviation: An Analysis with SHGS Contribution. *International Journal for Research in Management and Pharmacy*. 3(1) : Page No.-13

6- Kumah Aaron and Boachie Williams Kwasi (2016) An Investigation into the Impact of Microfinance in Poverty Reduction in Less Developed Countries (LDCs) : A Case of Ghana. American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology. and Sciences. 26(3) : Page No.-190.

7- Sharma, Dipti and Mani, Ronald V. (2016) Micro Finance- A Case Study. *International Journal of Arts Humanities and Management Studies*. 2(8) : Page No.-11.

8- Shrivastava Narendra Kumar (2016) A Critical Study of the Integrated Rural Development Program in India. *International Research Journal of Management Sociaology & Humanity.* 7 (8) : Page No.- 40.

9- Devangan Dev Kumar (2017) Cottage Industries in Bilaspur District: Income Analysis of Workers. *Kaav International Journal of Arts Humanities & Social Sciences*. 4(3) : Page No-211.

10- Sengupta Brinda & Agarwal Satish (2017) The Role of Agricultural Workers and Employment in Rural Development of Chhattisgarh. *International Journal Reviews and Research in Social Science.* Page No.-246.

11- Kumar Vinod (2018) Indian Rural Economy and Employment: Problems and Solutions. *Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharik Patrika* 5(11) : Page No.-108.

12- Verma Khusbu, Pal Kant Suryya And Kumar Vinay (2020) The Impact of Microfinance on Rural Sector and its Contribuution Towards Indian Economy. *Internation Journal of Advanced Science and Techonology.* 29(3s) : Paga No.-1544.

13- Sahu Dileshwari & Samal Anita (2021) A Study on the Impacts on the Lives of Workers Under the MGNREGA Scheme: A Special Reference to Rajnandgaon District. *International Journal of Sceintific Research in Sciencce and Techonology*. 8(4) : Page No. 257.

14- Ranabahu N., & Wickramasinghe A. (2022) Sustainable Leadership in Microfinance: A Pathway for Sustainable Initiatives in Micro and Small Businesses. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. 14(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095167

15- Din Sharaf U, et.al. (2023) The Impact of Microfinance on Poverty Alleviation in Rural Areas of Pakistan: A Case of District Zhob. *Research Journal for Societal Issues*. 5(2) : Page No.-224.

16- https://ophi.org.uk/sites/default/files/2024-03/Chhattisgarh_2020_%28online%29_4vs.pdf
https://sakti.cg.gov.in/%e0%a4%87%e0%a4%a4%e0%a4%bf%e0%a4%b9%e0%a4%be%e0%a4%b8/

17- www.kaavpublications.org